arXiv:1604.07922v1 [math.AP] 27 Apr 2016

GLOBAL REGULARITIES OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL DENSITY PATCH FOR INHOMOGENEOUS INCOMPRESSIBLE VISCOUS FLOW WITH GENERAL DENSITY

XIAN LIAO AND PING ZHANG

Abstract. Toward the open question proposed by P.-L. Lions in [22] concerning the propagation of regularities of density patch for viscous inhomogeneous flow, we first establish the global in time well-posedness of two-dimensional inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes system with initial density being of the form: $\eta_1\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0}+\eta_2\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0^c}$, for any pair of positive constants (η_1,η_2), and for any bounded, simply connected $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ domain Ω_0. We then prove that the time evolved domain $\Omega(t)$ also belongs to the class of $W^{k+2,p}$ for any $t>0$. Thus in some sense, we have solved the aforementioned Lions' question in the two-dimensional case. Compared with our previous paper [21], here we remove the smallness condition on the jump, $|\eta_1-\eta_2|$, moreover, the techniques used in the present paper are completely different from those in [21].

Keywords: Inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, density patch, striated distributions, Littlewood-Paley theory.
AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35Q30, 76D03

1. Introduction

We consider the following two-dimensional inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\rho+\mathrm{div}(\rho v)=0, & (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^2,\\ \partial_t(\rho v)+\mathrm{div}(\rho v\otimes v)-\Delta v+\nabla\pi=0,\\ \mathrm{div}\, v=0,\\ (\rho,v)|_{t=0}=(\rho_0,v_0). \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Here the unknown ρ is a positive function[1] which represents the density of the fluid at time t and point x, $v=(v_1,v_2)$ stands for the velocity field of the fluid, and π designates the pressure at time t and point x, which ensures the incompressibility of the fluid.

This system (1.1) can be used as a model to describe a viscous fluid that is incompressible but has nonconstant density. Basic examples are mixtures of incompressible and non-reactant components, flows with complex structure (e.g. blood flow or model of rivers), fluids containing a melted substance, etc.

Let us notice that in the case where $\rho_0\equiv 1$, the system (1.1) turns out to be the classical incompressible Navier-Stokes system (NS). We have to keep in mind that the system (1.1) is much more complex than (NS).

This system (1.1) has three major basic features, and let us state them in general space dimension $d\geq 2$. Firstly, the incompressibility condition on the convection velocity field in

Date: April 26, 2016.

[1]We do want to avoid vacuum.

the density transport equation ensures that

$$\|\rho(t)\|_{L^\infty} = \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{meas}\big\{\, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \alpha \le \rho(t,x) \le \beta \,\big\} \text{ is independent of } t \ge 0, \tag{1.2}$$

for any pair of non-negative real numbers (α, β). Secondly the kinetic energy is formally conserved

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho|v(t)|^2 \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^t \|\nabla v(t')\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \mathrm{d}t' = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_0(x)|v_0(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x. \tag{1.3}$$

The third basic feature is the scaling invariance property. Indeed, if (ρ, v, π) is a solution of (1.1) on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d$, then the rescaled triplet $(\rho, v, \pi)_\lambda$ defined by

$$(\rho, v, \pi)_\lambda(t,x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \big(\rho(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \lambda v(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \lambda^2 \pi(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)\big), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.4}$$

is also a solution of (1.1) on $[0, T/\lambda^2]\times\mathbb{R}^d$. This leads to the notion of critical regularity.

Based on the energy estimate (1.3), J. Simon constructed in [26] the global weak solutions of (1.1) with finite energy. More generally, P.-L. Lions proved the global existence of weak solutions to the inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes system with variable viscosity in the book [22].

In the case of smooth initial data with no vacuum, the existence result of strong unique solutions goes back to the work of O.-A. Ladyzhenskaya and V.-A. Solonnikov [20]. Motivated by (1.4), R. Danchin [12] established the well-posedness of (1.1) in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$ in the so-called *critical functional framework* for small perturbations of some positive constant density. The basic idea in [12] is to use functional spaces (or norms) that have the same *scaling invariance* as (1.4). This result was extended to more general Besov spaces in [1, 2, 3, 4, 23].

Given that in all those aforementioned works, the density has to be at least in the Besov space $\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one cannot capture discontinuities across some hypersurface. In fact, the Besov regularity of the characteristic function of a smooth domain is only $\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore, those results do not apply to a mixture flow composed of two separate fluids with different densities.

The first breakthrough along this line was made by R. Danchin and P.-B. Mucha in [13], where they basically proved the global well-posedness of (1.1) with initial density allowing discontinuity across a C^1 interface with a sufficiently small jump. Later on, even ρ_0 is only bounded and with small fluctuation to some positive constant, J. Huang, M. Paicu and the second author in [19] could show the global existence of solutions to (1.1) in a *critical functional framework*, and the uniqueness was obtained provided assuming slightly more regularities on the initial velocity field. R. Danchin and the second author extended this result to the half-space setting in [15].

In the general case where $\rho_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with a positive lower bound and $v_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, R. Danchin and P.-B. Mucha [14] proved that the system (1.1) has a unique local in time solution. Furthermore, with the initial density fluctuation being sufficiently small, for any initial velocity $v_0 \in B^1_{4,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, or $v_0 \in B^{2-\frac{2}{q}}_{q,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with small size for $1 < p < \infty, d < q < \infty$ and $2 - \frac{2}{p} \neq \frac{1}{q}$, they also proved the global well-posedness of (1.1). M. Paicu, Z. Zhang and the second author [24] improved the well-posedness results in [14] with less regularity assumptions on the initial velocity.

A natural question to ask is whether it is possible to propagate the boundary regularities of the interface of the fluids. In particular, P.-L. Lions proposed the following open question in [22]: suppose the initial density $\rho_0 = \mathbf{1}_D$ for some smooth domain D, Theorem 2.1 of [22]

ensures at least one global weak solution (ρ, v) of (1.1) such that for all $t \geq 0$, $\rho(t) = \mathbf{1}_{D(t)}$ for some set $D(t)$ with $\mathrm{vol}(D(t)) = \mathrm{vol}(D)$. Then whether or not the regularity of D is preserved by time evolution? Since this problem is very sophisticated due to the possible appearance of vacuum, as a first step toward this question, here we aim at establishing the global well-posedness of (1.1) with the initial density $\rho_0(x) = \eta_1 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0} + \eta_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0^c}$ for any pair of positive constants (η_1, η_2), and for any bounded simply connected $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ domain Ω_0.

More precisely, let Ω_0 be a simply connected $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $k \geq 1$, $p \in]2, 4[$, bounded domain. Let $f_0 \in W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\partial\Omega_0 = f_0^{-1}(\{0\})$ and ∇f_0 does not vanish on $\partial\Omega_0$. Then we can parametrize $\partial\Omega_0$ as

$$\gamma_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \mapsto \partial\Omega_0 \text{ via } s \mapsto \gamma_0(s) \text{ with } \partial_s \gamma_0(s) = \nabla^\perp f_0(\gamma_0(s)). \tag{1.5}$$

For any $\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$, we take the initial density ρ_0 and the initial velocity v_0 of (1.1) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_0(x) = \eta_1 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0}(x) + \eta_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0^c}(x), \quad v_0 \in L^2 \cap \dot{B}^{s_0}_{2,1} \quad \text{and} \\ &\partial^\ell_{X_0} v_0 \in L^2 \cap \dot{B}^{s_\ell}_{2,1} \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, \cdots, k, \quad \text{and} \quad X_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \nabla^\perp f_0,\ \partial_{X_0} v_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} X_0 \cdot \nabla v_0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $s_0 \in]0, 1[$, $s_\ell \overset{\text{def}}{=} s_0 - \epsilon\ell/k$ for some fixed $\epsilon \in]0, s_0[$, and $p \in \big]2, 2/(1 - s_k)\big[$.

The main ideas for us to solve the above problem come from J.-Y. Chemin [7, 8, 9, 10]. Indeed, by using the idea of conormal distributions or striated distributions, J.-Y. Chemin [8, 9] (see also [6]) proved the global regularities of the two-dimensional vortex patch for ideal incompressible flow. One may also check [11, 16, 17, 18, 25] for some extensions. We emphasize that the initial velocity field v_0 satisfying (1.6) belongs to some striated distribution space. To avoid technicalities, here we prefer not to present details of such spaces. Interested readers may check the book [10] and the references therein.

The main result of this paper states as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let the initial data (ρ_0, v_0) be given by (1.5) and (1.6), for any pair of positive constants (η_1, η_2). Then (1.1) has a unique global solution (ρ, v) such that $\rho(t, x) = \eta_1 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(t)}(x) + \eta_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(t)^c}(x)$, with $\Omega(t)$ being a bounded, simply connected $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ domain for any $t > 0$.*

Remark 1.1. *For Ω_0 given by (1.5), it follows from [21] that the initial velocity v_0 with vorticity $\omega_0 = \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0}(x)$ satisfies the assumptions of (1.6). Hence in particular, Theorem 1.1 ensures the global well-posedness of (1.1) with initial density $\rho_0 = \eta_1 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0}(x) + \eta_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0^c}(x)$ and initial vorticity $\omega_0 = \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0}(x)$.*

Remark 1.2.

(1) *Besides the difficulties encountered in [21], here we remove the smallness assumption on the jump, $|\eta_1 - \eta_2|$, which is crucial in [21] to exploit the maximal regularity estimate for the time evolutionary Stokes operator to handle the $W^{2,p}$ estimate of the velocity field.*

(2) *The method of time-weighted energy estimate in [24] will play an important role here. However, in order to propagate the $W^{2,p}$ regularity of the tangential vector field X_0 of $\partial\Omega_0$, we need to deal with the energy estimate of $\nabla\partial_t v$, which we can not go through under the mere bounded density assumption. We overcome this difficulty by working with the energy estimate of $\nabla D_t v$, where $D_t = \partial_t + v\cdot\nabla$ denotes the material derivative.*

(3) *With initial density being only in the bounded function space, we can not apply the classical ideas in [12] to propagate the Besov regularity of the velocity field as in the previous papers [15, 19, 24]. Namely, given the initial velocity field $v_0 \in \dot{B}^s_{p,r}$, they did not prove the solution v belonging to $C([0, \infty[; \dot{B}^s_{p,r})$. In this paper, motivated*

by the characterisation of Besov spaces with positive regularity index, we succeed in establishing the propagation of the Besov regularity of the velocity field. One may check Theorem 2.1 below for details.

2. Structure and main ideas of the proof

Before proceeding, let us first recall the definitions of Besov norms from [5] for instance.

Definition 2.1. *Let us consider a smooth radial function φ on $\mathbb{R}$, the support of which is included in $[3/4, 8/3]$ such that*

$$\forall \tau > 0\,,\ \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\tau) = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \chi(\tau) \overset{\text{def}}{=} 1 - \sum_{j\geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\tau) \in \mathcal{D}([0, 4/3]).$$

Let us define

$$\Delta_j a \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}|\xi|)\widehat{a}), \quad \textit{and} \quad S_j a \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-j}|\xi|)\widehat{a}).$$

Let (p, r, κ) be in $[1, +\infty]^3$ and s in $\mathbb{R}$. We define the Besov norms by

$$\|a\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \big\|\big(2^{js}\|\Delta_j a\|_{L^p}\big)_j\big\|_{\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})} \quad \textit{and} \quad \|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\kappa_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \big\|\big(2^{js}\|\Delta_j a\|_{L^\kappa_t(L^p)}\big)_j\big\|_{\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})}.$$

We remark that in the particular case when $p = r = 2$, the Besov spaces $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}$ coincide with the classical homogeneous Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}^s$.

For notational simplicity, we always denote $\mathcal{B}^s \overset{\text{def}}{=} \dot{B}^s_{2,1}$.

As a matter of fact, we shall prove a much more general version of Theorem 1.1. More precisely, we assume the initial data satisfying

$$0 < \rho_* \leq \rho_0 \leq \rho^*, \quad v_0 \in L^2 \cap \mathcal{B}^{s_0} \text{ for some } s_0 \in]0, 1[, \tag{2.1}$$

along with the following striated regularity assumptions for $\ell = 1, \cdots, k$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{X_0}^{\ell-1} X_0 \in W^{2,p}, \quad \partial_{X_0}^{\ell} \rho_0 \in L^\infty, \quad \partial_{X_0}^{\ell} v_0 \in L^2 \cap \mathcal{B}^{s_\ell}, \quad \text{with} \\ &s_\ell \overset{\text{def}}{=} s_0 - \epsilon\ell/k, \ \text{ for some } \epsilon \in]0, s_0[, \quad \text{and} \quad p \in \big]2, 2/(1 - s_k)\big[, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for some vector field $X_0 = (X_0^1, X_0^2)$ and $\partial_{X_0} f \overset{\text{def}}{=} X_0 \cdot \nabla f$. Moreover, to avoid cumbersome calculations, without loss of generality, we assume the divergence free condition on the initial vector field X_0:

$$\operatorname{div} X_0 = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Given the convection velocity field v, we define $X(t) = (X^1(t), X^2(t))$ via

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t X + v \cdot \nabla X = X \cdot \nabla v, \\ X(0, x) = X_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Conventions. In this whole context, we shall use the following conventions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_X \overset{\text{def}}{=} X \cdot \nabla, \quad D_t \overset{\text{def}}{=} \partial_t + v \cdot \nabla, \quad f_t \overset{\text{def}}{=} \partial_t f, \quad \sigma(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \min\{1, t\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \epsilon/k, \\ &\rho^\ell \overset{\text{def}}{=} \partial_X^\ell \rho, \quad \mathrm{v}^\ell \overset{\text{def}}{=} \partial_X^\ell v, \quad \pi^\ell \overset{\text{def}}{=} \partial_X^\ell \pi, \quad \mathrm{X}^\ell \overset{\text{def}}{=} \partial_X^\ell X, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_X^{-1} f \overset{\text{def}}{=} 0, \\ &\mathcal{C}(v_0, u_0, s) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^s} \exp\big(\mathcal{A}_0 \exp\big(\mathcal{A}_0 \|v_0\|_{L^2}^4\big)\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}(v_0, s) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{C}(v_0, v_0, s), \\ &\mathcal{H}_\ell(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \underbrace{\exp\exp\exp\cdots\exp}_{\ell+1 \text{ times}}\big(\mathcal{A}_\ell \langle t\rangle^2\big) \quad \text{with} \quad \langle t\rangle \overset{\text{def}}{=} \big(1 + t^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where the constant $\mathcal{A}_\ell$, $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ depends only on $\rho_*, \rho^*, s_0, \theta_0$ and $\sum_{0\leq m\leq \ell}\big(\|\partial_{X_0}^{m-1}X_0\|_{W^{2,p}} + \|\partial_{X_0}^m\rho_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_{X_0}^m v_0\|_{L^2\cap\mathcal{B}^{sm}}\big)$, which may vary from lines to lines in the whole context.

Theorem 2.1. *Let the initial data (ρ_0, v_0, X_0) fulfil the assumptions (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). Then the coupled system (1.1) and (2.4) has a unique global solution $(\rho, v, \nabla\pi, X)$ so that for any $t > 0$*

$$A_0(t) \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0) \quad \textit{with} \quad A_0(t) = A_{01}(t) + A_{02}(t), \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{01}(t) \overset{def}{=}& \|v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)\cap L^2_t(\dot H^1)} + \|v\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_0})\cap L^2_t(\mathcal{B}^{1+s_0})} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}(v_t, \nabla^2 v, \nabla\pi)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \\ &+ \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^1)} + \big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(v_t, \nabla^2 v, \nabla\pi)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v_t\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}, \\ A_{02}(t) \overset{def}{=}& \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\big(\nabla D_t v, D_t\nabla v, \nabla v_t\big)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\big(D_t^2 v, \nabla^2 D_t v, \nabla D_t\pi\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and for $\ell \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &A_\ell(t) \leq \mathcal{H}_\ell(t) \quad \textit{with} \\ &A_\ell(t) \overset{def}{=} \|\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_\ell})\cap L^2_t(\mathcal{B}^{1+s_\ell})} + \|\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})} + A_{\ell1}(t) + A_{\ell2}(t), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\ell1}(t) \overset{def}{=}& \|\rho^\ell\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)} + \|\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)\cap L^2_t(\dot H^1)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \\ &+ \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\big(\partial_t\mathrm{v}^\ell, \nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell, \nabla\pi^\ell\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \quad \textit{and} \\ A_{\ell2}(t) \overset{def}{=}& \big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}(D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell, \nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell, \nabla\pi^\ell)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \\ &+ \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^\ell, \nabla^2 D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell, \nabla D_t\pi^\ell)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Admitting Theorem 2.1 for the time being, we now turn to the proof Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For f_0 given by (1.5), we take $X_0 = \nabla^\perp f_0$. Then we deduce from (1.5) and (1.6) that for $\ell = 1, \cdots, k$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{div} X_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{X_0}^{\ell-1}X_0 \in W^{2,p} \quad \text{and} \\ &0 < \min\{\eta_1, \eta_2\} \leq \rho_0 \leq \max\{\eta_1, \eta_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{X_0}^\ell\rho_0 \equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by virtue of Theorem 2.1, the coupled system (1.1) with (2.4) has a unique global solution $(\rho, v, \nabla\pi, X)$ so that the inequalities (2.6) and (2.8) are valid for any $t > 0$.

Let us denote by $\psi(t, \cdot)$ the flow associated with the vector field v, that is

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}\psi(t,x) = v(t, \psi(t,x)), \\ \psi(0,x) = x, \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

from which and Corollary 2.1 (see below), we infer for any $t > 0$,

$$\|\nabla\psi(t) - Id\|_{L^\infty} \leq \exp\big(\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty)}\big) \leq \exp\big(\langle t\rangle\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}\big) \leq \exp\left(\mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle\right); \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2\psi(t)\|_{L^p} \leq& \|\nabla\psi\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^1_t(L^p)}\exp\big(\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty)}\big) \\ \leq& \exp\left(\mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle\right)\|\sigma^{-\left(1-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\|_{L^2_t}\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2_t(L^p)} \leq \exp\left(\mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Here we used the fact that $2 < p < 2/(1-s_k)$ so that $1 - 1/p - s_0/2 < 1/2$.

Let $\Omega(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \psi(t, \Omega_0)$. Due to (1.6), we deduce from the transport equation of (1.1) that

$$\rho(t,x) = \eta_1 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(t)}(x) + \eta_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(t)^c}(x). \tag{2.13}$$

Next we are going to prove that $\Omega(t)$ is of class $W^{3,p}$. Indeed let $\partial\Omega(t)$ be the level surface of $f(t,\cdot)$. Then f solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla f = 0, \\ f(0,x) = f_0(x), \end{cases}$$

which implies that $X(t,x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \nabla^\perp f(t,x)$ solves (2.4). We thus deduce from (2.4) and (2.10) that the tangential vector field $X(t,x) = \nabla^\perp f(t,x)$ satisfies

$$X(t, \psi(t,x)) = X_0(x) \cdot \nabla \psi(t,x). \tag{2.14}$$

Moreover, in view of (2.8), we have

$$\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1} \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+; W^{2,p}), \quad \text{for } \ \ell = 1, \cdots, k. \tag{2.15}$$

Then it follows then from (2.11), (2.12) and (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i \partial_j \big(X(t, \psi(t,x))\big) &= \partial_i \partial_j \psi(t,x) \cdot \nabla X(t, \psi(t,x)) \\ &\quad + (\partial_i \psi \otimes \partial_j \psi)(t,x) : \nabla^2 X(t, \psi(t,x)) \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+; L^p), \quad \forall\ 1 \le i,j \le 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we deduce from (1.5) and (2.14) that

$$\partial_s(\psi(t, \gamma_0(s))) = X_0(\gamma_0(s)) \cdot \nabla \psi(t, \gamma_0(s)) = X(t, \psi(t, \gamma_0(s))) \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+; W^{2,p}), \tag{2.16}$$

that is, $\Omega(t)$ belongs to the class of $W^{3,p}$ for any $t > 0$.

Along the same line to the derivation of (2.16), we write

$$\partial_s^\ell(\psi(t, \gamma_0(s))) = \partial_s^{\ell-1}\big((\partial_{X_0}\psi)(t, \gamma_0(s))\big) = \cdots = (\partial_{X_0}^\ell \psi)(t, \gamma_0(s)), \quad \forall\ \ell \ge 1.$$

Thus in order to prove that $\partial\Omega(t) = \psi(t, \gamma_0(\partial\Omega_0))$ belongs to the class of $W^{k+2,p}$ for any $t > 0$, it suffices to show that $\partial_{X_0}^\ell \psi \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+; W^{2,p})$ for any $\ell \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$.

To this end, we notice that (2.14) implies that

$$X(t,x) = (\partial_{X_0}\psi)(t, \psi^{-1}(t,x)),$$

so that for any smooth function f, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_X\big(f(\psi^{-1}(t,x))\big) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 X^i(t,x) \partial_\alpha f(\psi^{-1}(t,x)) \frac{\partial(\psi^{-1})^\alpha(t,x)}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 (X_0^j \partial_j \psi^i)(t, \psi^{-1}(t,x)) \frac{\partial(\psi^{-1})^\alpha(t,x)}{\partial x_i} \partial_\alpha f(\psi^{-1}(t,x)) \\ &= (\partial_{X_0} f)(\psi^{-1}(t,x)). \end{aligned}$$

Then an inductive argument leads to

$$\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t,x) = \partial_X^{\ell-1}\big((\partial_{X_0}\psi)(t, \psi^{-1}(t,x))\big) = (\partial_{X_0}^\ell \psi)(t, \psi^{-1}(t,x)), \quad \forall\ \ell \ge 1,$$

which together with (2.11), (2.12) and (2.15) implies $\partial_{X_0}^\ell \psi \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+; W^{2,p})$ for any $\ell \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

The rest of this section is devoted to the outline of the proof to Theorem 2.1. Let us begin with the notations we are going to use in the whole context.

Notations: We denote $(a|b) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} a|b\,\mathrm{d}x$ to be the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ inner product of a and b, and $[A;B] = AB - BA$ to be the commutator of the operators A and B. For $a \lesssim b$, we mean that there is a uniform constant C, which may be different on different lines, such that $a \leq Cb$. Finally we denote $(d_q)_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}$ to be a generic element of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} d_q = 1$.

We shall first prove in Section 3 that there holds (2.6) under the assumption (2.1).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(\rho, v, \nabla\pi)$ be a smooth enough solution of (1.1) and $A_{01}(t)$ be the functional given by (2.7). Then under the hypothesis of (2.1), the following inequality is valid for any $t \geq 0$*

$$A_{01}(t) \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0).$$

Let us remark that the essence of the proof of Proposition 2.1 is to derive the *a priori* estimates for $\|v\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_0})}$ and $\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}} v_t\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}$, which is completely new compared with the previous references [15, 19, 24]. Due to the fact that the density function only belongs to the bounded function space, we can not use the classical ideas in [12], namely, we can not apply the operator Δ_j to the momentum equation of (1.1) and then perform energy estimate for $\Delta_j v$.

Here the main idea of the proof to the propagation of the Besov regularities of the velocity field is motivated by the characterization of Besov norms with positive regularity index. More precisely, we write

$$v = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} v_q \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla\pi = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} \nabla\pi_q, \tag{2.17}$$

with $(v_q, \nabla\pi_q)$ solving

$$\begin{cases} \rho\partial_t v_q + \rho v\cdot\nabla v_q - \Delta v_q + \nabla\pi_q = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} v_q = 0, \\ v_q|_{t=0} = \Delta_q v_0. \end{cases} \tag{2.18}$$

Then by performing H^1 energy estimate to (2.18), one has

$$\|v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t v_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} + 2^{-q}\big(\|\nabla v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\partial_t v_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\big) \lesssim d_q 2^{-qs_0}\|v_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_0}}. \tag{2.19}$$

We thus deduce from Bernstein-type lemma (see Lemma 2.1 of [5] for instance) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} &\leq \sum_{q>j} \|\Delta_j v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + 2^{-j}\sum_{q\leq j} \|\Delta_j \nabla v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{q>j} \|v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + 2^{-j}\sum_{q\leq j} \|\nabla v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \lesssim d_j 2^{-js_0}\|v_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_0}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with Definition 2.1 ensures that $v \in \widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_0})$. Indeed the same procedure would imply that $v \in \widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\dot{B}^{s_0}_{2,r})$ for any $r \in [1,\infty]$ provided that $v_0 \in \dot{B}^{s_0}_{2,r}$. However in this case, (2.19) would become

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t v_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} + 2^{-q}\|\partial_t v_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \lesssim c_{q,r} 2^{-qs_0}\|v_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_0}_{2,r}},$$

where $(c_{q,r})_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a generic element of $\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\big\|(c_{q,r})_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})} = 1$. As a result, we infer

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\partial_t v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\partial_t v_q\|^{s_0}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t v_q\|^{1-s_0}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \lesssim \|v_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_0}_{2,r}} \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} c_{q,r}.$$

In order to guarantee the series, $\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} c_{q,r}$, to be convergent, the only choice is $r = 1$. That is the reason why we choose to work with the initial velocity in the Besov space, $\mathcal{B}^{s_0}$, instead of the classical Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{s_0}$.

With Proposition 2.1, we shall exploit the 2-D interpolation inequality

$$\|a\|_{L^r} \leq C\|a\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{r}}\|\nabla a\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2}{r}} \quad \forall\ r \in]2,\infty[, \tag{2.20}$$

to prove that

Corollary 2.1. *Let $r \in [2,\infty[$. Then under the same assumptions of Proposition 2.1, we have for any $t \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}} v\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{r}\right)} v\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\big\|_{L_t^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}(L^r)} \\
&+ \big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\nabla v\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)} + \big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\left(v_t, \nabla^2 v, \nabla\pi\right)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} \\
&+ \big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\left(v_t, \nabla^2 v, \nabla\pi\right)\big\|_{L_t^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}(L^r)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\big\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

In order to derive the *a priori* estimate of $\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{1,p})}$, not only we need to perform the energy estimate for v_t but also the energy estimate of ∇v_t. To this end, we get, by applying ∂_t to the momentum equation of (1.1), that

$$\rho\partial_t v_t + \rho v\cdot\nabla v_t - \Delta v_t + \nabla\pi_t = -\rho_t(v_t + v\cdot\nabla v) - \rho v_t\cdot\nabla v.$$

To perform the energy estimate for ∇v_t, we need to deal with such terms as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_t D_t v|v_{tt}\,\mathrm{d}x = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v\cdot\nabla\rho D_t v|v_{tt}\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

which is impossible to go through with non-Lipschitz density function ρ. This is nevertheless the case here.

The idea to overcome this difficulty is to apply the material derivative D_t instead of ∂_t to the momentum equation of (1.1), which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho D_t^2 v - \Delta D_t v + \nabla D_t\pi = F_D(v,\pi) \quad \text{with} \\
&\qquad F_D(u,\Pi) \overset{\text{def}}{=} -2\nabla v_\alpha\cdot\partial_\alpha\nabla u - \Delta v\cdot\nabla u + \nabla v_\alpha\partial_\alpha\Pi.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Here and in what follows, repeated indices of α means summation of α from 1 to 2. We remark that the advantage of exploiting the operator D_t is that $D_t\rho = 0$, so that difficult terms mentioned before do not appear anymore.

Note that due to $\operatorname{div} v = 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{div} D_t v = \operatorname{div}(v\cdot\nabla v) = \partial_\alpha v\cdot\nabla v_\alpha \quad \text{and} \\
&\operatorname{div} D_t^2 v = \operatorname{div}\mathfrak{b}_0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{b}_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} v\cdot(\nabla v_t + D_t\nabla v) + D_t v\cdot\nabla v.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

In Subsection 3.3, we shall use the following lemma to perform $\dot{H}^1$ energy estimate for $D_t v$:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(\mathrm{w}, \nabla\mathrm{q})$ be a smooth enough solution of the following system:*

$$\begin{cases} \rho D_t^2\mathrm{w} - \Delta D_t\mathrm{w} + \nabla\mathrm{q} = \mathrm{F}, \\ \operatorname{div} D_t\mathrm{w} = \operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a} \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{div} D_t^2\mathrm{w} = \operatorname{div}\mathfrak{b}. \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

Then for any $s \in]0,1[$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}} D_t \mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)\cap L^2_t(\dot{H}^1)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}} \nabla D_t \mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}(D_t^2 \mathrm{w}, \nabla^2 D_t \mathrm{w}, \nabla \mathrm{q})\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \\
&\quad \leq C \exp\big(C\|v_0\|^2_{L^2}\big)\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}(D_t \mathrm{w}, \mathfrak{a})\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}} \nabla \mathfrak{a}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}(\nabla \operatorname{div} \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathrm{F})\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

The main result of Subsection 3.3 states as follows:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $A_{02}(t)$ be the functional given by* (2.7)*. Then under the assumptions of Proposition 2.1, the following estimate is valid for any $t \geq 0$*

$$A_{02}(t) \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0).$$

This verifies the Estimate (2.6). Next let us turn to the proof of (2.8) for $\ell = 1$. To this end, let us first derive the equations satisfied by $(\rho^1, \mathrm{v}^1, \nabla\pi^1)$. It is easy to observe from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$[\partial_X; D_t] = 0, \text{ that is, } \partial_X D_t f = D_t \partial_X f. \tag{2.26}$$

Then we get, by applying ∂_X^ℓ to the transport equation of (1.1), that

$$D_t \rho^\ell = \partial_t \rho^\ell + v \cdot \nabla \rho^\ell = 0, \quad \ell = 1, \cdots, k,$$

which implies

$$\|\rho^\ell\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^\infty)} \leq \|\partial^\ell_{X_0} \rho_0\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \ell = 1, \cdots, k. \tag{2.27}$$

While due to $\operatorname{div} X_0 = 0 = \operatorname{div} v$, we deduce from (2.4) that

$$\partial_t(\operatorname{div} X) + v \cdot \nabla(\operatorname{div} X) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \operatorname{div} X_0 = 0. \tag{2.28}$$

Hence $\operatorname{div} v = \operatorname{div} X = 0$, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\operatorname{div} \mathrm{v}^1 = \operatorname{div}(\partial_X v) = \partial_\alpha X \cdot \nabla v_\alpha = \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla X). \tag{2.29}$$

It is also easy to observe that

$$[\partial_X; \partial_i] f = -\partial_i X \cdot \nabla f \quad \text{and} \quad [\partial_X; \partial_i^2] f = -\partial_i^2 X \cdot \nabla f - 2\partial_i X \cdot \nabla \partial_i f, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{2.30}$$

In view of (2.26), (2.29) and (2.30), by taking ∂_X to the momentum equation of (1.1), we find that $(\mathrm{v}^1, \nabla\pi^1)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \rho \partial_t \mathrm{v}^1 + \rho v \cdot \nabla \mathrm{v}^1 - \Delta \mathrm{v}^1 + \nabla \pi^1 = F_1(v, \pi), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathrm{v}^1 = \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla X), \\ \mathrm{v}^1|_{t=0} = \partial_{X_0} v_0, \end{cases} \tag{2.31}$$

where the source term $F_1(v, \pi)$ is given by

$$F_1(v, \pi) \overset{\text{def}}{=} -\rho^1 D_t v - (\Delta X \cdot \nabla v + 2\partial_\alpha X \cdot \nabla \partial_\alpha v) + \nabla X^\alpha \partial_\alpha \pi. \tag{2.32}$$

In Section 4, we shall use the following lemma to work with the H^1 energy estimate of v^1:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(\rho, v, \nabla\pi)$ be a smooth enough solution of* (1.1) *and $(u, \nabla\Pi)$ be determined by*

$$\begin{cases} \rho \partial_t u + \rho v \cdot \nabla u - \Delta u + \nabla \Pi = f, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2; \\ \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} g, \end{cases} \tag{2.33}$$

with initial data $u_0 = 0$. Then for any $s \in]0,1[$ and $\delta \in]s,1[$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\nabla u\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}(u_t, \nabla^2 u, \nabla\Pi)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \\
&\quad\leq \mathcal{A}_0\Big(\frac{\langle t\rangle}{\delta - s}\|g(0)\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^\delta} + \|\sigma^{-\frac{1-(s_0-s)}{2}}\nabla g\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)} \\
&\qquad + \big\|\sigma^{-\frac{s}{2}}(f, g_t)\big\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla g\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}(g_t, \nabla \operatorname{div} g, f)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

The main result concerning the H^1 energy estimate of v^1 lists as follows:

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that the initial data, (ρ_0, v_0, X_0), satisfies the assumption* (2.1), (2.3) *and $\partial_{X_0}\rho_0 \in L^\infty$, $\partial_{X_0} v_0 \in L^2 \cap \mathcal{B}^{s_1}$. Let $(\rho, v, \nabla\pi, X)$ be a smooth enough solution of the coupled system* (1.1) *and* (2.4). *Then one has*

$$A^2_{11}(t) \leq \exp\big(\mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle^2\big)\Big(\mathcal{A}_1 + \int_0^t \big(\mathfrak{B}_0(t') + \sigma(t')^{-1+\frac{\theta_0}{2}}\big)\|\nabla X(t')\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,dt'\Big), \tag{2.35}$$

where θ_0 is defined in (2.5), *the functional $A_{11}(t)$ is determined by* (2.9) *and*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{B}_0(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=}\ & \|\nabla v(t)\|^2_{L^2} + \big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}(v_t, \nabla^2 v, \nabla\pi, D_t v, v\otimes\nabla v)(t)\big\|^2_{L^r} \\
&+ \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v_t(t)\|^2_{L^2} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v(t)\|^2_{L^\infty\cap L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} + \big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(D_t v, v\otimes\nabla v, v_t)(t)\big\|^2_{L^\infty} \\
&+ \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\big(D_t^2 v, \nabla^2 D_t v, D_t\nabla^2 v, \nabla D_t\pi, D_t\nabla\pi\big)(t)\big\|^2_{L^2} \\
&+ \big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}(\nabla D_t v, D_t\nabla v, \nabla(v\otimes\nabla v), \nabla v_t)(t)\big\|^2_{L^r} + \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\mathfrak{b}_0(t)\|^2_{L^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

for any $r \in]2,\infty[$, and where $\mathfrak{b}_0$ is given by (2.23).

To derive the equation of $(D_t\mathrm{v}^1, \nabla D_t\pi^1)$, we get, by applying the operator D_t to the v^1 equation of (2.31) and using $D_t\rho = 0$, that

$$\rho D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1 - \Delta D_t\mathrm{v}^1 + \nabla D_t\pi^1 = F_D(\mathrm{v}^1, \pi^1) + D_t F_1(v,\pi) \overset{\text{def}}{=} F_{1D}, \tag{2.37}$$

where F_D and F_1 are given by (2.22) and (2.32) respectively, and we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{1D} = &-2\partial_\alpha v\cdot\nabla\partial_\alpha\mathrm{v}^1 - \Delta v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^1 + \nabla v_\alpha\partial_\alpha\pi^1 \\
&- \rho^1 D_t^2 v - D_t(\Delta X\cdot\nabla v + 2\partial_\alpha X\cdot\nabla\partial_\alpha v) + D_t(\nabla X^\alpha\partial_\alpha\pi).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

In Section 5, we shall apply Lemma 2.1 to deal with the time-weighted H^1 energy estimate of $D_t\mathrm{v}^1$. This together with Proposition 2.3 leads to the energy estimate of $D_t\mathrm{v}^1$ and $\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^1$, namely

Proposition 2.4. *Let $(\rho, v, \nabla\pi, X)$ be a smooth solution of the coupled system* (1.1) *and* (2.4). *Then under the hypothesis of* (2.1), (2.2) *for $\ell = 1$ and* (2.3), *the Estimate* (2.8) *is valid for $\ell = 1$.*

To handle the estimate (2.8) for ℓ varying from 2 to k when $k \geq 2$, we need to derive the equations satisfied by $(\mathrm{v}^\ell, \nabla\pi^\ell)$ and $(D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell, \nabla D_t\pi^\ell)$ respectively. Indeed by taking $\partial_X^{\ell-1}$ with $\ell \geq 2$ to (2.31), we write

$$\rho\partial_t\mathrm{v}^\ell + \rho v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell - \Delta\mathrm{v}^\ell + \nabla\pi^\ell = F_\ell(v,\pi), \tag{2.39}$$

where the source term $F_\ell(v,\pi)$ is determined inductively by

$$F_\ell(v,\pi) = F_1(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}, \pi^{\ell-1}) + \partial_X F_{\ell-1}(v,\pi), \quad \ell \geq 2,$$

with the function $F_1(\cdot,\cdot)$ being given by (2.32). We thus get by induction that

$$\begin{aligned}F_\ell(v,\pi) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\partial_X^i F_1(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i},\pi^{\ell-1-i})\\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\partial_X^i\Big(-\rho^1 D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}-2\partial_\alpha X\cdot\nabla\partial_\alpha\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}-\Delta X\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}+\nabla X\cdot\nabla\pi^{\ell-1-i}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account of the fact (2.26) that $[\partial_X; D_t]=0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}F_\ell(v,\pi) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\sum_{j=0}^{i}C_i^j\Big(&-\rho^{j+1}D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-j}-2\partial_X^j\partial_\alpha X\cdot\partial_X^{i-j}\nabla\partial_\alpha\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\\ &-\partial_X^j\Delta X\cdot\partial_X^{i-j}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}+\partial_X^j\nabla X\cdot\partial_X^{i-j}\nabla\pi^{\ell-1-i}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{2.40}$$

On the other hand, by applying the operator D_t to (2.39) and using $D_t\rho=0$ once again, we find

$$\rho D_t^2\mathrm{v}^\ell-\Delta D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell+\nabla D_t\pi^\ell = D_tF_\ell(v,\pi)+F_D(\mathrm{v}^\ell,\pi^\ell)\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=}F_{\ell D},\tag{2.41}$$

where $F_\ell(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $F_D(\cdot,\cdot)$ are given respectively by (2.40) and (2.22). Again thanks to $[\partial_X; D_t]=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}F_{\ell D} = &-2\partial_\alpha v\cdot\nabla\partial_\alpha\mathrm{v}^\ell-\Delta v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell+\nabla v\cdot\nabla\pi^\ell+\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\sum_{j=0}^{i}C_i^j\Big(-\rho^{j+1}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-j}\\ &-D_t\big(2\partial_X^j\partial_\alpha X\cdot\partial_X^{i-j}\nabla\partial_\alpha\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}+\partial_X^j\Delta X\cdot\partial_X^{i-j}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}-\partial_X^j\nabla X\cdot\partial_X^{i-j}\nabla\pi^{\ell-1-i}\big)\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{2.42}$$

With (2.39) and (2.41), by repeating the proof of Proposition 2.4 and through an inductive argument, we shall prove in Sections 6 and 7 that

Proposition 2.5. *Let $(\rho, v, \nabla\pi, X)$ be the smooth solution to the coupled system* (1.1) *and*(2.4). *Then under the assumptions of* (2.1), (2.2) *and* (2.3) *with $k\geq 2$, the Estimate* (2.8) *is valid for $\ell=2,\cdots,k$.*

Now we are in the position to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By mollifying the initial data satisfying the assumptions (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we first construct the global approximate solutions to (1.1). Then along the same lines to the proof of the above propositions, we obtain similar uniform estimates as (2.6) and (2.8) for such approximate solutions. Finally a standard compactness argument as that used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [19] completes the existence part of Theorem 2.1. The uniqueness of such solutions has been proved in [24]. We skip the details here. □

3. The propagation of Besov regularities of the velocity field

The goal of this section is to prove the Estimate (2.6). Toward this, let us first present some preliminary estimates for the linearized system (2.33).

3.1. **Some preliminary energy estimates.** Let $(\rho, v, \nabla\pi)$ be a smooth enough solution of (1.1). We first deduce from (1.1) and (2.1) that

$$\rho_* \leq \rho(t,x) \leq \rho^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho_0}v_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \forall\ t \geq 0, \tag{3.1}$$

so that we deduce from (2.20) that

$$\|v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)} \leq C\|v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\|v_0\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall\ t \geq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(u, \nabla\Pi)$ be a smooth enough solution of* (2.33)*. Then we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(u_t, \nabla^2 u, \nabla\Pi)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\big(\|v\|_{L^4}^4\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(g_t, \nabla\operatorname{div} g, f)\|_{L^2}^2\big). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. By taking $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ inner product of the u equation of (2.33) with u_t, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho|u_t|^2\,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\big(f - \nabla\Pi - \rho v\cdot\nabla u\big)|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

It follows from the 2-D interpolation inequality (2.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho v\cdot\nabla u|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| \leq& C\|v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}\\ \leq& C\|v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we get, by taking the space divergence operator to the u equation of (2.33), that

$$\Delta\Pi = \operatorname{div}\big(f - \rho u_t - \rho v\cdot\nabla u\big) + \Delta\operatorname{div} u,$$

which together with the condition $\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} g$ yields

$$\|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^2} \leq C\big(\|\nabla\operatorname{div} g\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4} + \|f\|_{L^2}\big),$$

from which, and the u equation of (2.33), we deduce that $\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}$ satisfies the same estimate. This together with (2.20) ensures

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^2} \leq C\big(\|\nabla\operatorname{div} g\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2}\big). \tag{3.4}$$

Hence we get, by using Young's inequality, that

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho v\cdot\nabla u|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| \leq C\big(\|v\|_{L^4}^4\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\operatorname{div} g\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2}^2\big) + \frac{1}{6}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2.$$

While we get, by using integration by parts and (3.4), that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla\Pi|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| =& \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla\Pi|g_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| \leq \|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^2}\|g_t\|_{L^2}\\ \leq& C\big(\|v\|_{L^4}^4\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(g_t, \nabla\operatorname{div} g, f)\|_{L^2}^2\big) + \frac{1}{6}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

As a result, it comes out

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\big(\|v\|_{L^4}^4\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(g_t, \nabla\operatorname{div} g, f)\|_{L^2}^2\big).$$

This together with (3.4) leads to (3.3). □

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(u,\nabla\Pi)$ be a smooth enough solution of (2.33) with $g=0$. Then there hold for any $t\geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq C\big(\|\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0\|^2_{L^2}+\|f\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}\big),\\ &\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|(u_t,\nabla^2u,\nabla\Pi)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq C\big(\|\nabla u_0\|^2_{L^2}+\|f\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\big)\exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big),\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla u\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+&\big\|\sigma^{\frac12}(u_t,\nabla^2u,\nabla\Pi)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\ &\leq C\big(\|u_0\|^2_{L^2}+\|f\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac12}f\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\big)\exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big).\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

Proof. By taking $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ inner product of the u equation of (2.33) with u and making use of the transport equation of (1.1), we get

$$\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho|u(t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}x+\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}f|u\,dx\leq\|f\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^2}.\tag{3.7}$$

Integrating the above inequality over $[0,t]$ yields

$$\frac12\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\frac12\|\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0\|^2_{L^2}+C\|f\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\frac14\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)},$$

which leads to the first inequality of (3.5).

In view of (3.2), the second inequality of (3.5) can be achieved by applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.3).

While we get, by multiplying (3.3) by $\sigma(t)$ and then integrating the resulting inequality over $[0,t]$, that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|^2_{L^2}+&\int_0^t\sigma(t')\big\|(u_t,\nabla^2u,\nabla\Pi)\big\|_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\ &\leq\int_0^t\|\nabla u(t')\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'+C\int_0^t\big(\|v\|^4_{L^4}\sigma(t')\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2}+\sigma(t')\|f(t')\|^2_{L^2}\big)\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

Then in view of (3.2) and the first inequality of (3.5), we achieve (3.6) by applying Gronwall's inequality. □

In particular, taking $u=v$ and $f=g=0$ in (3.6) gives rise to

Corollary 3.1. *Let $(\rho,v,\nabla\pi)$ be a smooth enough solution of (1.1). Then there holds*

$$\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac12}(v_t,\nabla^2v,\nabla\pi)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq C\|v_0\|^2_{L^2}\exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big).\tag{3.9}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(u,\nabla\Pi)$ be a smooth enough solution of (2.33) with $g=0$ and $\tau(t)$ be a non-negative Lipschitz function. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tau^{\frac12}u_t\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\tau^{\frac12}\nabla u_t\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}&\leq\exp\big(C\exp(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2})\big)\Big(\|\tau^{\frac12}f_t\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}\\ &+\|\tau^{\frac12}\sigma^{-\frac12}f\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\tau^{\frac12}\sigma^{-\frac12}\nabla u\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\int_0^t\big(\tau'+\tau\sigma^{-1}\big)\|u_t\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Proof. We first get, by taking ∂_t to the u equation of (2.33), that

$$\rho\partial_t^2u+\rho v\cdot\nabla u_t-\Delta u_t+\nabla\Pi_t=-\rho_t(u_t+v\cdot\nabla u)-\rho v_t\cdot\nabla u+f_t.$$

Taking $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ inner product of the above equation with u_t gives

$$\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\nabla u_t\|^2_{L^2}=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\big(\rho_t(u_t+v\cdot\nabla u)+\rho v_t\cdot\nabla u\big)|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}f_t|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x.\tag{3.11}$$

By using the transport equation of (1.1) and integrating by parts, one has

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho_t u_t|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| =2\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho v\cdot\nabla u_t|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| \le C\|v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}\|u_t\|_{L^4},$$

so that by applying the 2-D inequality (2.20) and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho_t u_t|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| \le \frac{1}{6}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|v\|_{L^4}^4\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.12}$$

Similarly, we get, by using integration by parts, that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho_t v\cdot\nabla u|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x =& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho(v\cdot\nabla)v\cdot\nabla u|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho(v\otimes v):\nabla^2 u|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}v\cdot\nabla u|\rho v\cdot\nabla u_t\,\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Applying 2-D interpolation inequality (2.20) and the Estimate (3.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho(v\cdot\nabla)v\cdot\nabla u|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| \lesssim& \|v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|u_t\|_{L^4}\\ \lesssim& \|v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\left(\|v_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}+\|v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\\ &\times\left(\|u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}+\|v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}+\|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\right)\|u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac12},\end{aligned}$$

from which and Young's inequality, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho(v\cdot\nabla v)\cdot\nabla u|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| \le& \frac{1}{18}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\big(\|v\|_{L^4}^4+\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\big)\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2\\ &+\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\|f\|_{L^2}^2+C\left(\|v_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|v\|_{L^4}^4\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\right)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Exactly along the same line, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho(v\otimes v):\nabla^2 u|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| \le& C\|v\|_{L^8}^2\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}\|u_t\|_{L^4}\\ \le& C\|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac32}\left(\|u_t\|_{L^2}+\|v\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}+\|f\|_{L^2}\right)\|u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\\ \le& \frac{1}{18}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\|v\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^4\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\ &+\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\|f\|_{L^2}^2+C\left(1+\|v\|_{L^2}^2\right)\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}v\cdot\nabla u|\rho v\cdot\nabla u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| \le& C\|v\|_{L^8}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}\\ \le& C\|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac32}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\left(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}+\|v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}+\|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\right)\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}\\ \le& \frac{1}{18}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\left(\|v\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^4\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\big(\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|f\|_{L^2}^2\big)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence it comes out

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho_t v\cdot\nabla u|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big| \le& \frac{1}{6}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\big(1+\|v\|_{L^2}^2\big)\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2\\ &+C\left(\|v_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|v\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^4\right)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\|f\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Finally it is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho v_t\cdot\nabla u|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big|&\leq C\|v_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|u_t\|_{L^4}\\&\leq C\|v_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\left(\|u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}+\|v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}+\|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\right)\|u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Young's inequality gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho v_t\cdot\nabla u|u_t\,\mathrm{d}x\Big|&\leq\frac16\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|v_t\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\&\quad+\sigma^{-1}(t)\big(\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|f\|_{L^2}^2\big)+C\left(\|v\|_{L^4}^4+\sigma(t)\|v_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

Inserting the Estimates (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) into (3.11) gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2&\leq C\left(\big(1+\|v\|_{L^2}^2\big)\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2+\sigma(t)\|v_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2\\&\quad+C\left(\|v_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|v\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^4\right)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\&\quad+\sigma^{-1}(t)\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\big(\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2+1\big)\sigma^{-1}(t)\|f\|_{L^2}^2+\|f_t\|_{L^2}\|u_t\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above inequality by $\tau(t)$ and then applying Gronwall's inequality and Young's inequality to the resulting inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tau^{\frac12}u_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2+\|\tau^{\frac12}\nabla u_t\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2&\leq C\Big(\|\tau^{\frac12}f_t\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}^2+\big(\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2+1\big)\|\tau^{\frac12}\sigma^{-\frac12}f\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2\\&\quad+\big(\|\sigma^{\frac12}v_t\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2+\|v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2\|\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2\big)\|\tau^{\frac12}\sigma^{-\frac12}\nabla u\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2\\&\quad+\int_0^t\big(\tau'+\tau\sigma^{-1}\big)\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big)\times\exp\Big(C\int_0^t\big(\big(1+\|v\|_{L^2}^2\big)\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2+\sigma\|v_t\|_{L^2}^2\big)\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big),\end{aligned}$$

from which, (3.1) and (3.9), we conclude the proof of (3.10). □

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(u,\nabla\Pi)$ be a smooth enough solution of* (2.33) *with $f=g=0$. Then one has*

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac12}(u_t,\nabla^2u,\nabla\Pi)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2+\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2\leq\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2\exp\big(C\exp\big(C\|v_0\|_{L^2}^4\big)\big),\tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\big\|\sigma(u_t,\nabla^2u,\nabla\Pi)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2+\|\sigma\nabla u_t\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2\leq\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2\exp\big(C\exp\big(C\|v_0\|_{L^2}^4\big)\big).\tag{3.16}$$

Proof. Taking $f=0$ and $\tau(t)=\sigma(t)$ in (3.10) and using (3.5) gives

$$\|\sigma^{\frac12}u_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2+\int_0^t\sigma(t')\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'\leq\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2\exp\big(C\exp\big(C\|v_0\|_{L^2}^4\big)\big).\tag{3.17}$$

Resuming the Estimate (3.17) into (3.4) and using (3.1), (3.5) and (3.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{\frac12}(\nabla^2u,\nabla\Pi)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2&\leq C\left(\|\sigma^{\frac12}u_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2+\|v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2\right)\\&\leq C\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2\exp\big(C\exp\big(C\|v_0\|_{L^2}^4\big)\big).\end{aligned}$$

This achieves (3.15).

While by taking $f=0$ and $\tau(t)=\sigma^2(t)$ in (3.10) and using (3.6) leads to

$$\|\sigma u_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2+\int_0^t\sigma^2\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'\leq\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2\exp\big(C\exp\big(C\|v_0\|_{L^2}^4\big)\big).$$

And hence thanks to (3.4) and (3.1), (3.6), (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma(\nabla^2 u,\nabla\Pi)\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \leq & C\left(\|\sigma u_t\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla u\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\right)\\ \leq & \|u_0\|^2_{L^2}\exp\big(C\exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big)\big).\end{aligned}$$

This yields (3.16), and we complete the proof of the proposition. □

In particular, taking $u=v$ in (3.16) gives rise to

Corollary 3.2. *The following estimate is valid for smooth enough solution $(\rho,v,\nabla\pi)$ of (1.1)*

$$\|\sigma(v_t,\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\big\|\sigma\nabla v_t\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\exp\big(C\exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big)\big). \tag{3.18}$$

3.2. Time-weighted $\mathcal{B}^s$ estimate of u.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(\rho,v,\nabla\pi)$ be a smooth enough solution of (1.1) and $(u,\nabla\Pi)$ solve (2.33) with $f=g=0$ and with initial data $u_0\in\mathcal{B}^s$ for some $s\in]0,1[$. Then there holds*

$$\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^s)}+\|\nabla u\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(\mathcal{B}^s)}\leq C\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^s}\exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big), \tag{3.19}$$

$$\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^s)}+\|\sigma^{\frac12}u_t\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_T(\mathcal{B}^s)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,u_0,s), \tag{3.20}$$

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^1)}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}(u_t,\nabla^2 u,\nabla\Pi)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,u_0,s), \tag{3.21}$$

where $\mathcal{C}(v_0,u_0,s)$ is given by (2.5).

Proof. Let $(u_q,\nabla\Pi_q)$ be determined by

$$\begin{cases}\rho\partial_t u_q+\rho v\cdot\nabla u_q-\Delta u_q+\nabla\Pi_q=0,\\ \operatorname{div}u_q=0,\\ u_q|_{t=0}=\Delta_q u_0.\end{cases} \tag{3.22}$$

Then by the uniqueness of solution to (2.33) with $f=g=0$, we have

$$u=\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}u_q\quad\text{and}\quad\nabla\Pi=\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}\nabla\Pi_q.$$

While it follows from Definition 2.1, Bernstein type inequality (see [5]) and (3.5) that

$$\|u_q\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\nabla u_q\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq C\|\Delta_q u_0\|^2_{L^2}\lesssim d_q^2 2^{-2qs}\|u_0\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^s}, \tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u_q\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\big\|(\partial_t u_q,\nabla^2 u_q,\nabla\Pi_q)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq & C\|\Delta_q u_0\|^2_{\dot{H}^1}\exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big)\\ \lesssim & d_q^2 2^{2q(1-s)}\|u_0\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^s}\exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Exploiting the idea used in the proof of the characterization of Besov space with positive index (see Lemma 2.88 of [5] for instance) gives for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\Delta_j \nabla u\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq & \sum_{q>j} \Big(\|\Delta_j u_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\Delta_j \nabla u_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big) \\ & + 2^{-j} \sum_{q\leq j} \Big(\|\Delta_j \nabla u_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\Delta_j \nabla^2 u_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big) \\ \lesssim & \sum_{q>j} \Big(\|u_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\nabla u_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big) \\ & + 2^{-j} \sum_{q\leq j} \Big(\|\nabla u_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\nabla^2 u_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big) \\ \lesssim & d_j 2^{-js} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^s} \exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with Definition 2.1 ensures the Inequality (3.19).

Similarly, we deduce from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla u_q\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_t u_q, \nabla^2 u_q, \nabla \Pi_q)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} &\leq C\|\Delta_q u_0\|^2_{L^2} \exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big) \\ &\lesssim d_q^2 2^{-2qs} \|u_0\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^s} \exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

And it follows from (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla^2 u_q\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \partial_t u_q\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} &\leq \|\nabla \Delta_q u_0\|^2_{L^2} \exp\big(C \exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big)\big) \\ &\lesssim d_q^2 2^{2q(1-s)} \mathcal{C}^2(v_0, u_0, s).\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Then exactly along the same line of the proof to the Inequality (3.19), we achieve the Inequality (3.20).

Finally we deduce from the following interpolation inequality in Besov spaces:

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}} u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^1)} \lesssim \|u\|^s_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^s)} \|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla u\|^{1-s}_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^s)},$$

and (3.19) and (3.20), that

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}} u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^1)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, u_0, s). \tag{3.27}$$

Whereas in view of (3.24) and (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \sigma(t)^{1-s} \|\partial_t u_q\|^2_{L^2} \,\mathrm{d}t \leq & \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \sigma(t) \|\partial_t u_q(t)\|^2_{L^2} \,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{1-s} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|\partial_t u_q(t)\|^2_{L^2} \,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{s} \\ \lesssim & d_q^2 \mathcal{C}^2(v_0, u_0, s),\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \sigma(t)^{1-s} \|u_t\|^2_{L^2} \,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \sigma(t)^{1-s} \|\partial_t u_q\|^2_{L^2} \,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{C}(v_0, u_0, s).$$

The same estimate holds for $\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}(\nabla^2 u, \nabla \Pi)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}$. This together with (3.27) ensures (3.21). We thus complete the proof of the proposition. □

Let us remark that the estimate of $\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}} u_t\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}$ presented in Proposition 3.3 will be crucial for us to deal with the energy estimate of u_t.

Corollary 3.3. *Under the same assumptions of Proposition 3.3, one has*

$$\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}(u_t,\nabla^2 u,\nabla\Pi)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla u_t\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,u_0,s). \tag{3.28}$$

Proof. Taking $f=0$ and $\tau(t)=\sigma(t)^{2-s}$ in Lemma 3.2 gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}u_t\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla u_t\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&\quad\leq\exp\big(C\exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big)\big)\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\nabla u\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}u_t\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with Proposition 3.3 ensures that

$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}u_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla u_t\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,u_0,s). \tag{3.29}$$

As a result, by virtue of (3.4) and Corollary 3.1, Proposition 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}(\nabla^2 u,\nabla\Pi)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}&\lesssim\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}u_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\&\quad+\|v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\nabla u\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\lesssim\mathcal{C}(v_0,u_0,s).\end{aligned}$$

By summing up (3.29) and the above inequality, we achieve (3.28). □

Thanks to (3.1), Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.3, we conclude the proof of Proposition 2.1 by taking $u=v$ and $f=g=0$ in (2.33). Next let us present the proof of Corollary 2.1.

Proof of Corollary 2.1. We first deduce from the 2-D interpolation inequality (2.20) that for any $r\in[2,+\infty[$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}\left(1-\frac2r\right)}v\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\lesssim\|v\|^{\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v\big\|^{1-\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)};\\&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\big\|_{L^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}_t(L^r)}\lesssim\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\big\|^{\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla^2 v\big\|^{1-\frac2r}_{L^2_t(L^2)};\\&\big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac1r-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\nabla v\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\lesssim\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\big\|^{\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla^2 v\big\|^{1-\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)};\\&\big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac1r-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}v_t\big\|_{L^2_t(L^r)}\lesssim\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v_t\big\|^{\frac2r}_{L^2_t(L^2)}\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v_t\big\|^{1-\frac2r}_{L^2_t(L^2)};\\&\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}v_t\big\|_{L^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}_t(L^r)}\lesssim\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}v_t\big\|^{\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v_t\big\|^{1-\frac2r}_{L^2_t(L^2)},\end{aligned}$$

from which and Proposition 2.1, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}\left(1-\frac2r\right)}v\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\big\|_{L^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}_t(L^r)}\\&+\big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac1r-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\nabla v\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}+\big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac1r-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}v_t\big\|_{L^2_t(L^r)}+\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}v_t\big\|_{L^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}_t(L^r)}\lesssim\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0).\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

While it follows from the classical estimate of Stokes operator, (2.20) and the 2-D interpolation inequality $\|a\|_{L^{2r}}\lesssim\|a\|^{1-\frac1r}_{L^r}\|\nabla a\|^{\frac1r}_{L^r}$, that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\big\|_{L^r}&\lesssim\|v_t\|_{L^r}+\|v\|_{L^{2r}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2r}}\\&\lesssim\|v_t\|_{L^r}+\|v\|^{\frac1r}_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|^{1-\frac1r}_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|^{1-\frac1r}_{L^r}\|\nabla^2 v\|^{\frac1r}_{L^r},\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L^r}\lesssim\|v_t\|_{L^r}+\|v\|^{\frac{1}{r-1}}_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^r}.$$

We then deduce from (3.30) that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{(1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})}(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} &\lesssim \big\|\sigma^{(1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})}v_t\big\|_{L^2_t(L^r)}\\ &\quad+\|v\|^{\frac{1}{r-1}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\big\|\sigma^{(1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})}\nabla v\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\lesssim \mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0).\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

Along the same line, it follows from Corollary 3.1 and (3.30) that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\big\|_{L^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}_t(L^r)} &\lesssim \big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}v_t\big\|_{L^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}_t(L^r)}\\ &\quad+\|v\|^{\frac{1}{r-1}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\big\|_{L^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}_t(L^r)}\lesssim \mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0).\end{aligned}$$

Finally taking $r=\frac{2}{1-s}$ in (3.31) and using the 2-D interpolation inequality

$$\|a\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim \|a\|^s_{L^{\frac{2}{1-s}}}\|\nabla a\|^{1-s}_{L^{\frac{2}{1-s}}}\lesssim \|a\|^s_{\dot H^s}\|\nabla a\|^{1-s}_{L^{\frac{2}{1-s}}},$$

and Proposition 2.1, we infer

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\big\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}\lesssim\|\nabla v\|^{s_0}_{L^2_t(\dot H^{s_0})}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^2 v\big\|^{1-s_0}_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-s_0}})}\lesssim \mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0).$$

This ends the proof of Corollary 2.1. □

3.3. **Time-weighted H^1 estimate of D_tv.** The main result of this subsection is to perform the time-weighted $\dot H^1$ estimate of D_tv. To the end, let us first present the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.1, for any $r\in[2,\infty[$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(D_tv,v\otimes\nabla v)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(\nabla v\otimes\nabla v,v\otimes\nabla^2 v)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\ &+\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\big(\nabla v_t,\nabla D_tv,D_t\nabla v,\nabla v\otimes\nabla v,v\otimes\nabla^2 v\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\ &+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\big(v\otimes(\nabla v_t,\nabla D_tv),\nabla v\otimes\nabla^2 v,\nabla v\otimes\nabla\pi,D_t(v\otimes\nabla v)\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\ &+\big\|\sigma^{(1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})}\big(v_t,\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi,D_tv,v\otimes\nabla v\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^r)}\le\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0).\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

Proof. By virtue of (2.20) and

$$\|a\|_{L^\infty}\le C\|a\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 a\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2},$$

we deduce from (3.2), (3.9), (3.18) that for any $t\in\mathbb{R}^+$ and $r\in[2,\infty[$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\\ &+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{1}{r}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}+\|\sigma(v_t,\nabla^2 v,v\otimes\nabla v)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\le\exp\big(C\exp\big(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\big)\big).\end{aligned}\tag{3.33}$$

It is easy to observe that

$$\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(D_tv,v\otimes\nabla v)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\le\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}v_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{4}}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^4)}\|\sigma^{\frac{3}{4}-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^4)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(\nabla v\otimes\nabla v,v\otimes\nabla^2 v)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\le&\|\sigma^{\frac{3}{4}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^4)}\|\sigma^{\frac{3}{4}-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^4)}\\ &+\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla^2 v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we deduce from Proposition 2.1, Corollary 2.1 and (3.33) that

$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(D_tv,v\otimes\nabla v)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(\nabla v\otimes\nabla v,v\otimes\nabla^2 v)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\le\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0).$$

Exactly along the same line, we have

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}(D_tv, v\otimes\nabla v)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v_t\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\big(\nabla D_tv, D_t\nabla v, \nabla v\otimes\nabla v, v\otimes\nabla^2 v\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}&\leq\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v_t\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}+\|\sigma^{\frac12}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0),\end{aligned}$$

from which and (2.20), we infer for any $r\in[2,\infty[$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac1r-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\big(D_tv, v\otimes\nabla v\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^r)}\leq&C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}(D_tv, v\otimes\nabla v)\|^{\frac2r}_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&\times\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(\nabla D_tv, \nabla(v\otimes\nabla v))\|^{1-\frac2r}_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\big(v\otimes(\nabla v_t,\nabla D_tv)\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\|\sigma^{\frac12}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(\nabla v_t, D_t\nabla v)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0).$$

Moreover, we deduce from Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.1 that

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\big(\nabla v\otimes\nabla^2 v,\nabla v\otimes\nabla\pi\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}D_t(v\otimes\nabla v)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq&\|\sigma D_tv\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}\\&+\|\sigma^{\frac12}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}D_t\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma. □

Before proceeding the time-weighted $\dot H^1$ energy estimate, let us first present the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. By taking $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ inner product of (2.24) with $D_t\mathrm{w}$, one has

$$\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}D_t\mathrm{w}(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\mathrm{F}-\nabla\mathrm{q})|\mathrm{D_t w}\,\mathrm{dx}.$$

Multiplying the above equality by $\sigma(t)^{2-s}$ and then integrating the resulting equality over $[0,t]$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac12\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t\mathrm{w}(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&=\frac{2-s}{2}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma^{2-s}(\mathrm{F}-\nabla\mathrm{q})|\mathrm{D_t w}\,\mathrm{dx}\,\mathrm{dt}'.\end{aligned}\tag{3.34}$$

It is easy to observe that

$$\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma^{2-s}\mathrm{F}|D_t\mathrm{w}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big|\leq\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\mathrm{F}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}D_t\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}.$$

While due to $\operatorname{div}D_t\mathrm{w}=\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a}$, by virtue of (2.24), we write

$$-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma^{2-s}\nabla\mathrm{q}|D_t\mathrm{w}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma^{2-s}(\rho D_t^2\mathrm{w}-\Delta D_t\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{F})|\mathfrak{a}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'.$$

As a result, for any $\varepsilon>0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma^{2-s}\nabla \mathrm{q}|D_t\mathrm{w}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big|&\leq\frac{1}{2}\Big(\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\varepsilon\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big)\\&\quad+C_\varepsilon\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\mathfrak{a}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{a}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\mathrm{F}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above inequalities into (3.34) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t\mathrm{w}(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\varepsilon\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+C_\varepsilon\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\mathfrak{a}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{a}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\mathrm{F}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{3.35}$$

On the other hand, due to $\operatorname{div}v=0$, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}-\Delta D_t\mathrm{w}|D_t^2\mathrm{w}\,\mathrm{d}x=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}:(\nabla v_\alpha\partial_\alpha D_t\mathrm{w})\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}:(\nabla v_\alpha\partial_\alpha D_t\mathrm{w})\,\mathrm{d}x\Big|&\leq\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^4}\\&\leq C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2D_t\mathrm{w}\|_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

so that we get, by taking the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ inner product of (2.24) with $D_t^2\mathrm{w}$, that

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\mathrm{F}-\nabla\mathrm{q})|D_t^2\mathrm{w}\,\mathrm{d}x+C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2D_t\mathrm{w}\|_{L^2}.$$

For any $\eta>0$, multiplying the above equality by $\sigma(t)^{3-s}$ and then integrating the resulting equality over $[0,t]$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}(t)\big\|^2_{L^2}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{w}\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\frac{3-s}{2}\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&\quad+\frac{1}{4}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{w}\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}+C\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\mathrm{F}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\eta\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\nabla^2D_t\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2}\\&\quad+C_\eta\int_0^t\|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\big\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma^{3-s}\nabla\mathrm{q}|D_t^2\mathrm{w}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}\tag{3.36}$$

By using integration by parts and the condition: $\operatorname{div}D_t^2\mathrm{w}=\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{b}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma^{3-s}\nabla\mathrm{q}|D_t^2\mathrm{w}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big|&=\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma^{3-s}\nabla\mathrm{q}|\mathfrak{b}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big|\\&\leq\eta\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{q}\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+C_\eta\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\mathfrak{b}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.37}$$

To deal with the estimate of $\nabla^2D_t\mathrm{w}$ and $\nabla\mathrm{q}$, in view of (2.24), we write

$$-\Delta\big(D_t\mathrm{w}-\nabla\Delta^{-1}\operatorname{div}D_t\mathrm{w}\big)+\nabla\mathrm{q}=-\rho D_t^2\mathrm{w}+\nabla\operatorname{div}D_t\mathrm{w}+\mathrm{F}.$$

Since $\operatorname{div}\big(D_t\mathrm{w}-\nabla\Delta^{-1}\operatorname{div}D_t\mathrm{w}\big)=0$, we deduce from the classical estimate on Stokes' operator that

$$\|(\nabla^2D_t\mathrm{w},\nabla\mathrm{q})\|_{L^2}\lesssim\|\rho D_t^2\mathrm{w}\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\operatorname{div}D_t\mathrm{w}\|_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{F}\|_{L^2},$$

which implies

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}(\nabla^2D_t\mathrm{w},\nabla\mathrm{q})\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq C\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}(\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{w},\nabla\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a},\mathrm{F})\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}.\tag{3.38}$$

Inserting (3.38) into (3.37) and then substituting the resulting inequality into (3.36), we get, by taking η so small that $C^2\eta \leq \frac{1}{4}$, that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{w}\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} &\leq C\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}(\nabla\,\mathrm{div}\,\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathrm{F})\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\ &\quad + 3\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + 2\int_0^t \|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{w}\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{8}$, we deduce (2.25) by summing up the above inequality with $4\times$(3.35) and then applying Gronwall's inequality and using (3.38). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

Let us now turn to the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Taking into account of (2.23), we get, by applying Lemma 2.1 to (2.22), that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\nabla D_t v\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2 v, \nabla^2 D_t v, \nabla D_t\pi)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\ &\leq \mathcal{A}_0\Big(\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(D_t v, v\cdot\nabla v)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla(v\cdot\nabla v)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\ &\qquad + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(\nabla v\otimes\nabla^2 v, \mathfrak{b}_0, F_D(v,\pi))\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{3.39}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(D_t v, v\cdot\nabla v)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla(v\cdot\nabla v)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}_0(v_0,s_0).$$

In view of (2.22), (2.23), we deduce from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}F_D(v,\pi)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(\nabla v\otimes\nabla^2 v, \nabla v\otimes\nabla\pi)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0),$$

and

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\mathfrak{b}_0\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\big(v\otimes(\nabla v_t, D_t\nabla v), D_t v\otimes\nabla v\big)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0).\tag{3.40}$$

Substituting the above inequalities into (3.39) gives rise to

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\nabla D_t v\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\big(D_t^2 v, \nabla^2 D_t v, \nabla D_t\pi\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0),\tag{3.41}$$

from which and Lemma 3.3 again, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(D_t\nabla v, \nabla v_t)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \leq&\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}\nabla D_t v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\ &+ \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(\nabla v\otimes\nabla v, v\otimes\nabla^2 v)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0).\end{aligned}$$

Along with (3.41), we complete the proof of Proposition 2.2. □

We conclude this section with the following corollary concerning the estimates of such terms as $\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}$, $\|\mathfrak{B}_0\|_{L^1_t}$, which will play an important role in the following context.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $(\rho, v, \nabla\pi)$ be a smooth enough solution of (1.1). Let $r \in [2,\infty[$ and $\mathfrak{B}_0(t)$ be determined by (2.36). Then one has*

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\big(D_t v, v_t, v\otimes\nabla v, \nabla^2 v, \nabla\pi\big)\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\\ &\qquad + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)} + \int_0^t \mathfrak{B}_0(t')\,\mathrm{d}t' \leq \mathcal{C}^2(v_0,s_0).\end{aligned}\tag{3.42}$$

Proof. It follows from (2.20) that for any $r \in [2, \infty[$,

$$\|\sigma^{(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})} D_t v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)} \leq C\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}} D_t v\|^{\frac{2}{r}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}} \nabla D_t v\|^{1-\frac{2}{r}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)},$$
$$\|\sigma^{(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})} \nabla D_t v\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} \leq C\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}} \nabla D_t v\|^{\frac{2}{r}}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}} \nabla^2 D_t v\|^{1-\frac{2}{r}}_{L^2_t(L^2)},$$

which together with (3.32), (3.41) and classical estimates on Stokes operator $-\Delta v + \nabla \pi = -\rho D_t v$, ensures that for any $r \in [2, \infty[$,

$$\big\|\sigma^{(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})}(D_t v, \nabla^2 v, \nabla \pi)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)} + \|\sigma^{(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})} \nabla D_t v\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0). \tag{3.43}$$

Hence thanks to

$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}} \nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)} \leq C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}} \nabla v\|^{\frac{1}{3}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \|\sigma^{\frac{5}{4}-\frac{s_0}{2}} \nabla^2 v\|^{\frac{2}{3}}_{L^\infty_t(L^4)},$$
$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}} D_t v\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)} \leq C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}} D_t v\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}} \nabla^2 D_t v\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_t(L^2)},$$

and Proposition 2.1, (3.32), (3.41), (3.43), we deduce that

$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}} \nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)} + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}} D_t v\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0). \tag{3.44}$$

While it follows from Corollary 2.1 and (3.33) that for any $r \in [2, \infty[$,

$$\|\sigma^{(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})}(v \otimes \nabla v)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)} \leq C\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)} \|\sigma^{1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2}} \nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0),$$

from which and $v_t = D_t v - v \cdot \nabla v$, we infer from (3.43) that for $r \in [2, +\infty[$

$$\big\|\sigma^{(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})}(v \otimes \nabla v, v_t)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0).$$

Finally it is easy to observe that

$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(v \otimes \nabla v)\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)} \leq C\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)} \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}} \nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(D_t \nabla^2 v, \nabla D_t \nabla v, D_t \nabla \pi)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq{}& \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(\nabla^2 D_t v, \nabla D_t \pi)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \\ &+ C\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(\nabla v \otimes \nabla^2 v, \nabla v \otimes \nabla \pi)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2}}(\nabla v \otimes \nabla v, v \otimes \nabla^2 v)\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} \leq{}& \|\sigma^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)} \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}} \nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)} \\ &+ \|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)} \|\sigma^{1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2}} \nabla^2 v\|_{L^2_t(L^r)}.\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we deduce from (3.32), (3.33), (3.41) and Corollary 2.1 that for $r \in [2, +\infty[$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(v \otimes \nabla v)\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(D_t \nabla^2 v, \nabla D_t \nabla v, D_t \nabla \pi)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \\ + \|\sigma^{(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})}(\nabla v \otimes \nabla v, v \otimes \nabla^2 v)\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0).\end{aligned}$$

This together with

$$D_t \nabla v = \nabla D_t v - \nabla v \cdot \nabla v, \quad v_t = D_t v - v \cdot \nabla v$$

and (3.43), (3.44) ensures that for $r \in [2, +\infty[$

$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}} v_t\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)} + \|\sigma^{(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_0}{2})}(\nabla D_t v, D_t \nabla v, \nabla v_t)\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0).$$

This together with Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, Corollary 2.1, Lemma 3.3 and (3.40) ensures that

$$\int_0^t \mathfrak{B}_0(t')\,\mathrm{d}t' \leq \mathcal{C}^2(v_0, s_0).$$

This completes the proof of (3.42). □

4. Propagation of the time-weighted H^1 regularity of v^1

The goal of this section is to study the propagation of time-weighted H^1 regularity of v^1. To this end, in view of (2.31), we split $(\mathrm{v}^1, \nabla\pi^1)$ as

$$\mathrm{v}^1 = \mathrm{v}_{11} + \mathrm{v}_{12} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla\pi^1 = \nabla \mathrm{p}_{11} + \nabla \mathrm{p}_{12}, \tag{4.1}$$

with $(\mathrm{v}_{11}, \nabla \mathrm{p}_{11})$ and $(\mathrm{v}_{12}, \nabla \mathrm{p}_{12})$ solving the following systems respectively

$$\begin{cases} \rho\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{11} + \rho v\cdot\nabla \mathrm{v}_{11} - \Delta \mathrm{v}_{11} + \nabla \mathrm{p}_{11} = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathrm{v}_{11} = 0, \\ \mathrm{v}_{11}|_{t=0} = \partial_{X_0} v_0, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \rho\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{12} + \rho v\cdot\nabla \mathrm{v}_{12} - \Delta \mathrm{v}_{12} + \nabla \mathrm{p}_{12} = F_1(v,\pi), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathrm{v}_{12} = \operatorname{div}(v\cdot\nabla X), \\ \mathrm{v}_{12}|_{t=0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

where the source term $F_1(v,\pi)$ is given by (2.32).

Then we deduce from Proposition 3.3 that

$$\|\mathrm{v}_{11}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_1})} + \|\nabla \mathrm{v}_{11}\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_1})} \leq C\|\partial_{X_0} v_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_1}} \exp\bigl(C\|v_0\|_{L^2}^4\bigr), \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}} \mathrm{v}_{11}\|^2_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^1)} + \bigl\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{11}, \nabla^2 \mathrm{v}_{11}, \nabla \mathrm{p}_{11})\bigr\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, \partial_{X_0} v_0, s_1). \tag{4.5}$$

4.1. Time-weighted $\dot{H}^1$ estimate for v_{12}.

Let us first present the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We first get, by multiplying (3.3) by σ^{1-s}, that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\nabla u(t)\|^2_{L^2} + \bigl\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}(u_t, \nabla^2 u, \nabla\Pi)\bigr\|^2_{L^2} &\leq (1-s)\sigma^{-s}\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C\sigma^{1-s}\bigl(\|v\|^4_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2} + \|(g_t, \nabla\operatorname{div} g, f)\|^2_{L^2}\bigr), \end{aligned}$$

so that applying Gronwall's inequality gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\nabla u\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \bigl\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}(u_t, \nabla^2 u, \nabla\Pi)\bigr\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} &\leq C\exp\bigl(C\|v\|^4_{L^4_t(L^4)}\bigr) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t \bigl(\sigma^{-s}\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2} + \sigma^{1-s}\|(g_t, \nabla\operatorname{div} g, f)\|^2_{L^2}\bigr)\,\mathrm{d}t'. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

To handle the term $\int_0^t \sigma^{-s}\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2}\,dt'$, let us denote

$$\mathfrak{y} \overset{\text{def}}{=} u - g, \quad \mathfrak{y} = \mathfrak{y}_1 + \mathfrak{y}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla\Pi \overset{\text{def}}{=} \nabla\Pi_1 + \nabla\Pi_2, \tag{4.7}$$

with $(\mathfrak{y}_1, \nabla\Pi_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{y}_2, \nabla\Pi_2)$ solving respectively

$$\begin{cases} \rho\partial_t \mathfrak{y}_1 + \rho v\cdot\nabla \mathfrak{y}_1 - \Delta \mathfrak{y}_1 + \nabla\Pi_1 = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathfrak{y}_1 = 0, \\ \mathfrak{y}_1|_{t=0} = -g(0), \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\rho\partial_t\mathfrak{y}_2+\rho v\cdot\nabla\mathfrak{y}_2-\Delta\mathfrak{y}_2+\nabla\Pi_2=f-\left(\rho\partial_t g+\rho v\cdot\nabla g-\Delta g\right),\\ \operatorname{div}\mathfrak{y}_2=0,\\ \mathfrak{y}_2|_{t=0}=0.\end{array}\right. \tag{4.9}$$

For any $\delta\in]0,1[$, it follows from Proposition 3.3 that

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{y}_1\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{A}_0\|g(0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^\delta},$$

which in particular implies for $s\in]0,\delta[$ that

$$\big\|\sigma^{-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{y}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{y}_1\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{-1+\delta-s}\|_{L^1_t}\leq\frac{\mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle}{\delta-s}\|g(0)\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^\delta}. \tag{4.10}$$

Whereas for any fixed $t_0\in]0,1[$, we denote $\sigma_{t_0}(t)\overset{\text{def}}{=}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\sigma(t) & \text{if } t\geq t_0,\\ t_0 & \text{if } t\leq t_0.\end{array}\right.$ Then we get, by first taking the L^2 inner product of (4.9) with $\mathfrak{y}_2$, then multiplying the equality by $\sigma^{-s}_{t_0}(t)$ and finally integrating the resulting inequality over $[0,t]$, that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma_{t_0}^{-\frac{s}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}\mathfrak{y}_2(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\int_0^t\sigma_{t_0}^{-s}\|\nabla\mathfrak{y}_2\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\ =&-s\int_0^t\sigma_{t_0}^{-1-s}\sigma'_{t_0}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathfrak{y}_2\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma_{t_0}^{-s}\left(f-\rho\partial_t g-\rho v\cdot\nabla g+\Delta g\right)|\mathfrak{y}_2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\\ \leq&C\big\|\sigma_{t_0}^{-\frac{s}{2}}(f,g_t)\big\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}+C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\big\|\sigma^{-\frac{1-(s_0-s)}{2}}\nabla g\big\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}\\ &\qquad+\frac{1}{2}\|\sigma_{t_0}^{-\frac{s}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}\mathfrak{y}_2\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma_{t_0}^{-s}\nabla g|\nabla\mathfrak{y}_2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma_{t_0}^{-s}\nabla g|\nabla\mathfrak{y}_2\,\mathrm{d}x\,dt'\Big|\leq\frac{1}{2}\big\|\sigma_{t_0}^{-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla g\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\frac{1}{2}\|\sigma_{t_0}^{-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{y}_2\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}.$$

This together with Corollary 2.1 ensures that

$$\int_0^t\sigma_{t_0}^{-s}\|\nabla\mathfrak{y}_2\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'\leq\mathcal{A}_0\Big(\big\|\sigma^{-\frac{s}{2}}(f,g_t)\big\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\big\|\sigma^{-\frac{1-(s_0-s)}{2}}\nabla g\big\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla g\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big),$$

from which, (4.7) and (4.10), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\sigma_{t_0}^{-s}\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2}\mathrm{d}t'\leq\mathcal{A}_0\Big(&\frac{\langle t\rangle}{\delta-s}\|g(0)\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^\delta}+\big\|\sigma^{-\frac{s}{2}}(f,g_t)\big\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}\\ &+\big\|\sigma^{-\frac{1-(s_0-s)}{2}}\nabla g\big\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla g\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Applying Fatou's Lemma, we deduce that as $t_0\to0_+$ in the above inequality, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\sigma^{-s}\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2}\mathrm{d}t'\leq\mathcal{A}_0\Big(&\frac{\langle t\rangle}{\delta-s}\|g(0)\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^\delta}+\big\|\sigma^{-\frac{s}{2}}(f,g_t)\big\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}\\ &+\big\|\sigma^{-\frac{1-(s_0-s)}{2}}\nabla g\big\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{-\frac{s}{2}}\nabla g\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above estimate into (4.6) leads to (2.34). □

Applying Lemma 2.2 to the system (4.3) yields the time-weighted $\dot{H}^1$ estimate of v_{12}.

Proposition 4.1. *Let* $(\mathrm{v}_{12}, \nabla \mathrm{p}_{12})$ *be a smooth enough solution of* (4.3). *Then there holds*

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{12}\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{12}, \nabla^2 \mathrm{v}_{12}, \nabla p_{12})\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t) \quad \textit{with}\\ &\qquad \mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t) \overset{def}{=} \exp\big(\mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle^2\big)\Big(\mathcal{A}_1 + \int_0^t \big(\mathfrak{B}_0(t') + \sigma(t')^{-1+\frac{\theta_0}{2}}\big)\|\nabla X(t')\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,dt'\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where $\mathfrak{B}_0(t)$ *is given by* (2.36).

Proof. Noticing that for $\theta_0 = s_0 - s_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{-\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\nabla g\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)} \leq \|\sigma^{-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla g\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{-1+s_0}\|_{L^1_t} \leq C\langle t\rangle\|\sigma^{-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla g\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}, \quad \text{and}\\ &\|\sigma^{-\frac{s_1}{2}}(f,g_t)\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)} \leq \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}(f,g_t)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{-1+\theta_0}\|_{L^1_t} \leq C\langle t\rangle\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}(f,g_t)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\end{aligned}$$

we get, by applying Lemma 2.2 (with $s = s_1$ and $\delta = s_0$) to the system (4.3), that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{12}\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{12}, \nabla^2 \mathrm{v}_{12}, \nabla \mathrm{p}_{12})\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle\Big(\|v_0\cdot\nabla X_0\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^{s_0}}\\ &\quad + \big\|\sigma^{-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla(v\cdot\nabla X)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\big(\partial_t(v\cdot\nabla X), \nabla\,\mathrm{div}(v\cdot\nabla X), F_1(v,\pi)\big)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

It follows from the law of product in Besov spaces (see [5] for instance) that

$$\|v_0\cdot\nabla X_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_0}} \lesssim \|v_0\|_{L^2\cap\mathcal{B}^{s_0}}\|\nabla X_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{2}{p}}_{p,1}} \lesssim \|v_0\|_{L^2\cap\mathcal{B}^{s_0}}\|\nabla X_0\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq \mathcal{A}_1.$$

It follows from Corollary 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla(v\cdot\nabla X)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq& \int_0^t \sigma^{-1+\theta_0}\big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v\|^2_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^2}\big)\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\ \leq& \mathcal{A}_0\int_0^t \sigma(t')^{-1+\theta_0}\|\nabla X(t')\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}$$

While in view of (2.4), we have

$$\partial_t(v\cdot\nabla X) = v_t\cdot\nabla X - (v\cdot\nabla)v\cdot\nabla X - v_\alpha v\cdot\nabla\partial_\alpha X + v\cdot\nabla \mathrm{v}^1, \tag{4.13}$$

and thus it comes out

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\partial_t(v\cdot\nabla X)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \lesssim& \int_0^t \Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v_t\|^2_{L^2} + \sigma^{-(1-s_0)}\big(\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}\left(\frac12+\frac1p\right)}v\big\|^4_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{4p}{p-2}})}\\ &+ \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\big)\Big)\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\ &+ \int_0^t \sigma^{-(1-s_1)}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\big(\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{11}\|^2_{L^2} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{12}\big\|^2_{L^2}\big)\,\mathrm{d}t',\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

from which, Corollary 2.1 and (4.5), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\partial_t(v\cdot\nabla X)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq& \mathcal{A}_1\langle t\rangle + \mathcal{A}_0\int_0^t \big(\big(\mathfrak{B}_0(t') + \sigma(t')^{-1+s_0}\big)\|\nabla X(t')\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\\ &+ \sigma(t')^{-(1-s_1)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{12}(t')\|^2_{L^2}\big)\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that due to $\mathrm{div}\, X = 0$, we write

$$\|\nabla \mathrm{div}\,(v\cdot\nabla X)\|_{L^2} = \|\nabla(\partial_\alpha v\cdot\nabla X^\alpha)\|_{L^2} \leq C\big(\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big)\|\nabla X\|_{W^{1,p}}, \tag{4.15}$$

which together with Corollary 2.1 ensures that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{div}\,(v\cdot\nabla X)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} &\leq \int_0^t \big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla^2 v\|^2_{L^2} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big)\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\ &\leq \int_0^t \mathfrak{B}_0(t')\|\nabla X(t')\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we deduce from (2.27) and (2.32) that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}F_1(v,\pi)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} &\lesssim \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}D_t v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\ &\quad+\int_0^t\Big(\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\big\|^2_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\big\|^2_{L^2}\Big)\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t',\end{aligned}$$

which together with Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 ensures that

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}F_1(v,\pi)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq \mathcal{A}_0+\int_0^t \mathfrak{B}_0(t')\|\nabla X(t')\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'.$$

Inserting the above estimates into (4.12) gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{12}\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{12},\nabla^2\mathrm{v}_{12},\nabla \mathrm{p}_{12})\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq \mathcal{A}_1\langle t\rangle^2\\ &\quad+\mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle\int_0^t\Big(\big(\mathfrak{B}_0(t')+\sigma(t')^{-1+\theta_0}\big)\|\nabla X(t')\|^2_{W^{1,p}}+\sigma(t')^{-(1-s_1)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{12}(t')\|^2_{L^2}\Big)\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality leads to (4.11). This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Combining (4.5) with Proposition 4.1, we obtain

Corollary 4.1. *Let $(\rho, v, \nabla\pi, X)$ be a smooth enough solution of the coupled system* (1.1) *and* (2.4)*. Then one has*

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\int_0^t \sigma(t')^{1-s_1}\big\|(\partial_t \mathrm{v}^1,\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla\pi^1)\big\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2}\,\mathrm{dt}'\leq \mathfrak{G}_{1,\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{t}),\tag{4.16}$$

with $\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t)$ being given by (4.11)*.*

4.2. **The proof of Proposition 2.3.** We first present the L^2 energy estimate of v_{12}.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(\mathrm{v}_{12},\nabla \mathrm{p}_{12})$ be a smooth enough solution of* (4.3)*. Then there holds*

$$\|\mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\nabla \mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq \mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t),\tag{4.17}$$

for $\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t)$ given by (4.11)*.*

Proof. We get, by using standard energy estimate to (4.3), that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\nabla \mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\big(-\nabla \mathrm{p}_{12}+F_1(v,\pi)\big)|\mathrm{v}_{12}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'.$$

By using $\mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{v}_{12}=\mathrm{div}(v\cdot\nabla X)$, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\nabla \mathrm{p}_{12}|\mathrm{v}_{12}\,\mathrm{d}x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\nabla \mathrm{p}_{12}|v\cdot\nabla X\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

from which and the momentum equation of (4.3), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\nabla\mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}&=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}F_1(v,\pi)|(\mathrm{v}_{12}-v\cdot\nabla X)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\\&\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\rho\partial_t\mathrm{v}_{12}+\rho v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}_{12}-\Delta\mathrm{v}_{12}\right)|v\cdot\nabla X\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

It is easy to observe from (2.27) and (2.32) that

$$\begin{aligned}|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}F_1(v,\pi)|(\mathrm{v}_{12}-v\cdot\nabla X)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'|&\leq\frac{1}{4}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\&+C\Big(\int_0^t\sigma^{-\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\times\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\big(\|\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}+\|(D_tv,\nabla^2v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L^2}\big)(1+\|\nabla X\|_{W^{1,p}})\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big)^2\\&+C\int_0^t\sigma^{-\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\times\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\big(\|\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}+\|(D_tv,\nabla^2v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L^2}\big)\|v\|_{L^2}(1+\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}})\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}$$

Hence by virtue of Corollary 2.1 and (2.36), we deduce

$$\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}F_1(v,\pi)|(\mathrm{v}_{12}-v\cdot\nabla X)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big|\leq\frac{1}{4}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t)\tag{4.19}$$

for $\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t)$ given by (4.11).

Let us now turn to the estimate of the second line of (4.18). Once again, we get, by applying Hölder's inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho(\partial_t\mathrm{v}_{12}-\Delta\mathrm{v}_{12})|v\cdot\nabla X\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big|&\leq C\int_0^t\|(\partial_t\mathrm{v}_{12},\nabla^2\mathrm{v}_{12})\|_{L^2}\|v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\&\leq C\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\partial_t\mathrm{v}_{12},\nabla^2\mathrm{v}_{12})\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+C\int_0^t\sigma^{-(1-s_1)}\|v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t',\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}_{12}|v\cdot\nabla X\,dx\,dt'\Big|&\leq\int_0^t\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\mathrm{v}_{12}\|_{L^2}\|v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\&\leq\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\int_0^t\sigma^{-(1-s_0)}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}$$

Together with Corollary 2.1, the above inequalities ensure that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\rho\partial_t\mathrm{v}_{12}+\rho v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}_{12}-\Delta\mathrm{v}_{12}\right)|v\cdot\nabla X dx\,dt'\Big|&\leq\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+C\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\partial_t\mathrm{v}_{12},\nabla^2\mathrm{v}_{12})\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\mathcal{A}_0\int_0^t\sigma(t')^{-1+s_1}\|\nabla X(t')\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

Inserting the Inequalities (4.19) and (4.20) into (4.18) leads to

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\nabla\mathrm{v}_{12}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\partial_t\mathrm{v}_{12},\nabla^2\mathrm{v}_{12})\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t)$$

for $\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t)$ given by (4.11). This together with Proposition 4.1 leads to (4.17). We finish the proof of the lemma. □

Thanks to (4.1), we conclude the proof of Proposition 2.3 by combining the Estimates (4.4) and (4.5) with Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.1. Moreover, we have the following corollary, which will be used in Section 5:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t)$ be given by* (4.11)*. Then under the assumptions of Proposition 2.3, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\big(D_t\mathrm{v}^1, \mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla v, v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1, \Delta D_tX, D_t\Delta X\big)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^4_t(L^4)}+\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\nabla v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1, v\otimes\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1, \mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla^2 v)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla v, v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1)\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^1\otimes(D_t\nabla v, \nabla v_t)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla v\otimes(\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1, \nabla\pi^1, D_t\mathrm{v}^1, D_t\Delta X)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}(\nabla\mathrm{v}^1, D_t\nabla X)\otimes(\nabla^2 v, \nabla\pi, D_tv, v\otimes\nabla v)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

Proof. We first deduce from (2.20) and Proposition 2.3 that

$$\|\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^4_t(L^4)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^4_t(L^4)}\leq\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).\tag{4.22}$$

Then due to

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1, \mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla v)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\|v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\|\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^4_t(L^4)},$$

and

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\partial_t\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^2_t(L^2)},$$

we deduce from Corollary 2.1 and Proposition 2.3 that

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1, \mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla v)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).$$

Note that $D_tX=\mathrm{v}^1$, we have

$$D_t\Delta X=\Delta D_tX-\Delta v\cdot\nabla X-2\nabla v_\alpha\cdot\partial_\alpha\nabla X,\tag{4.23}$$

from which and Proposition 2.3, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\Delta D_tX, D_t\Delta X)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}&\lesssim\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\Delta\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&+\int_0^t\big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\Delta v\|^2_{L^2}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big)\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'\leq\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to observe from Corollary 2.1, Proposition 2.3 and (4.22) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\nabla v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1, v\otimes\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1, \mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla^2 v)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\\
&+\|\sigma^{\frac12}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla^2 v\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\leq\mathfrak{G}^{\frac12}_{1,X}(t).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

Similarly we deduce from Corollaries 2.1 and 3.4, Proposition 2.3 and (4.24) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla v, v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq&\|\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\\
&+\|\sigma^{\frac12}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\mathfrak{G}^{\frac12}_{1,X}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla v\otimes(\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla\pi^1,D_t\mathrm{v}^1,D_t\Delta X)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&\quad\le\|\sigma\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla\pi^1,D_t\mathrm{v}^1,D_t\Delta X)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\le\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}^{\frac12}(t),\\
&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\otimes(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi,D_t v,v\otimes\nabla v)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&\quad\le\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\|\sigma(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi,D_t v,v\otimes\nabla v)\|_{L^4_t(L^4)}\le\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}^{\frac12}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we infer from Corollary 2.1 and Corollary 3.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}D_t\nabla X\otimes(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi,D_t v,v\otimes\nabla v)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&\le\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\otimes(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi,D_t v,v\otimes\nabla v)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&\quad+\int_0^t\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty}\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi,D_t v,v\otimes\nabla v)\big\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,dt'\le\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally it follows from Corollary 3.4 and Proposition 2.3 that for any $r\in]2,+\infty[$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^1\otimes D_t\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}&\le\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{r}}\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2r}{r-2}})}\|\sigma^{\left(\frac32-\frac1r-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}D_t\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^r)}\\
&\le C\|\mathrm{v}^1\|^{1-\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|^{\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\left(\frac32-\frac1r-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}D_t\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^r)}\le\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}^{\frac12}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

which together with the above estimate, (4.25) and Corollary 2.1 ensures

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla v_t\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}&\le\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^1\otimes D_t\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^1\otimes(v\cdot\nabla^2 v)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&\le\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}^{\frac12}(t)+\|\sigma^{\frac12}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\le\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}^{\frac12}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the corollary. □

5. Propagation of the first-order striated regularity (continued)

The aim of this section is to prove Proposition 2.4. In order to do it, we shall first present the time-weighted H^1-energy estimate for $D_t\mathrm{v}^1$, and then we derive the global *a priori* estimate of $\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{1,p})}$. Finally we study the propagation of $\mathcal{B}^{s_1}$ regularity of v^1.

5.1. H^1 energy estimates for $D_t\mathrm{v}^1$.

In view of of Lemma 2.1, to close the time-weighted H^1 estimate of $D_t\mathrm{v}^1$, it remains to deal with the estimates of $\operatorname{div}D_t\mathrm{v}^1$ and $\operatorname{div}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1$, which are the lemmas as follows:

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $\mathfrak{a}_1\overset{def}{=}2\mathrm{v}^1\cdot\nabla v+v_t\cdot\nabla X+v\partial_\alpha X\cdot\nabla v_\alpha-(v\cdot\nabla X)\cdot\nabla v$. *Then we have* $\operatorname{div}D_t\mathrm{v}^1=\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a}_1$, *and for* $\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t)$ *given by* (4.11), *there holds*

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\mathfrak{a}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{a}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\le\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).\tag{5.1}$$

Proof. Due to $\operatorname{div}X=\operatorname{div}v=0$ and $[D_t;\partial_X]=0$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}(D_t\mathrm{v}^1)=\operatorname{div}(\partial_X D_t v)&=\operatorname{div}\,(D_t v\cdot\nabla X+X\operatorname{div}D_t v)\\
&=\operatorname{div}\,(D_t v\cdot\nabla X+X\operatorname{div}(v\cdot\nabla v))\\
&=\operatorname{div}\,(D_t v\cdot\nabla X+\partial_X(v\cdot\nabla v)-(v\cdot\nabla v)\cdot\nabla X),
\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}\left(\partial_X(v\cdot\nabla v)\right)&=\operatorname{div}\,\big(\mathrm{v}^1\cdot\nabla v+v\,\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^1-(v\cdot\nabla X)\cdot\nabla v\big)\\&=\operatorname{div}\,\big(2\mathrm{v}^1\cdot\nabla v+v\operatorname{div}\mathrm{v}^1-(v\cdot\nabla X)\cdot\nabla v\big)\\&=\operatorname{div}\,\big(2\mathrm{v}^1\cdot\nabla v+v\,\partial_\alpha X\cdot\nabla v_\alpha-(v\cdot\nabla X)\cdot\nabla v\big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\operatorname{div}D_t\mathrm{v}^1=\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a}_1$. Moreover, it is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^1\cdot\nabla v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}\|\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)},\\&\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\big(v_t\cdot\nabla X,v\otimes\nabla v\otimes\nabla X\big)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\int_0^t\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}(v_t,v\otimes\nabla v)\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla X\|^2_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}t',\end{aligned}$$

from which, Proposition 2.3 and (2.36), we infer

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\mathfrak{a}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).$$

While we deduce from (2.36) and Corollary 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{a}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\lesssim&\,\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\cdot\nabla v,\mathrm{v}^1\cdot\nabla^2v)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\int_0^t\|\sigma^{\frac12+\frac1p-\frac{s_0}{2}}(v_t,v\otimes\nabla v)\|^2_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\|\nabla^2X\|^2_{L^p}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\&+\int_0^t\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\nabla v_t,\nabla(v\otimes\nabla v))\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla X\|^2_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}t'\leq\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (5.2) that

$$\operatorname{div}D_t\mathrm{v}^1=\operatorname{div}\partial_XD_tv=\partial_\alpha X\cdot\nabla D_tv^\alpha+2\mathrm{tr}(\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\nabla v)-2\mathrm{tr}\big((\nabla X^\alpha\partial_\alpha v)\nabla v\big),$$

from which, Corollaries 2.1, 3.4 and 4.2, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\lesssim&\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla(\nabla v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\int_0^t\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla^2D_tv\|^2_{L^2}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}(\nabla D_tv,\nabla v\otimes\nabla v)\|^2_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\\&+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla^2v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty}\Big)\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,dt'\leq\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (5.1). □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathfrak{b}_0(t)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t)$ be given by* (2.23) *and* (4.11) *respectively. Let $\mathfrak{b}_1\overset{def}{=}D_t^2v\cdot\nabla X-\mathfrak{b}_0\cdot\nabla X+\partial_X\mathfrak{b}_0$. Then we have*

$$\operatorname{div}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1=\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{b}_1,\tag{5.3}$$

and for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $C_\varepsilon>0$ so that

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\mathfrak{b}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\varepsilon\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+C_\varepsilon\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).\tag{5.4}$$

Proof. It follows from (2.23) and $[\partial_X;D_t]=0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1=\operatorname{div}\left(\partial_XD_t^2v\right)&=\operatorname{div}\left(D_t^2v\cdot\nabla X\right)+\operatorname{div}\big(X\operatorname{div}\left(D_t^2v\right)\big)\\&=\operatorname{div}\left(D_t^2v\cdot\nabla X\right)+\operatorname{div}\big(X\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{b}_0\big).\end{aligned}$$

This together with $\operatorname{div}X=0$ ensures (5.3).

Observing from (2.23) that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_X\mathfrak{b}_0=&\,\mathrm{v}^1\cdot(\nabla v_t+D_t\nabla v)+D_t\mathrm{v}^1\cdot\nabla v+D_tv\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^1-(D_tv\cdot\nabla X)\cdot\nabla v\\&+v\cdot\big(\nabla\partial_t\mathrm{v}^1+D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^1-\nabla X^\alpha\partial_\alpha v_t-\nabla(X_t\cdot\nabla v)-D_t(\nabla X^\alpha\partial_\alpha v)\big),\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\partial_X\mathfrak{b}_0\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\lesssim&\,\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^1\otimes(\nabla v_t+D_t\nabla v)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}(D_t\mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla v,D_tv\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\int_0^t\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}D_tv(t')\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\nabla X(t')\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,dt'\\&+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\int_0^t\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v_t(t')\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla X(t')\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,dt'\\&+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\big\|\sigma\big(\nabla\partial_t\mathrm{v}^1+D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla(X_t\cdot\nabla v),D_t(\nabla X\cdot\nabla v)\big)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

To handle the last line of (5.5), we first deduce from (2.37) and (3.35) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\le&\,C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\varepsilon\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+C_\varepsilon\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\mathfrak{a}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{a}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}F_{1D}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

While in view of (2.27) and (2.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}F_{1D}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\lesssim&\,\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla v\otimes(\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla\pi^1,D_t\Delta X)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}(\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,D_t\nabla X)\otimes(\nabla^2v,\nabla\pi)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}D_t^2v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\int_0^t\big(\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}D_t\nabla v\|^2_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_0}{2}}(D_t\nabla^2v,D_t\nabla\pi)\|^2_{L^2}\big)\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t',\end{aligned}$$

from which and Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 4.2, we infer

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}F_{1D}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\le\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).\tag{5.7}$$

Inserting the Inequality (5.7) into (5.6) and using Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 5.1, we achieve

$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\le\varepsilon\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+C_\varepsilon\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t),$$

which together with Corollary 4.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma(\nabla\partial_t\mathrm{v}^1+D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^1)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\le&\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(v\otimes\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\\le&\varepsilon\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+C_\varepsilon\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).\end{aligned}$$

Wheres due to $D_tX=\mathrm{v}^1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma\nabla(X_t\cdot\nabla v)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\le\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\big(\nabla v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,\mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla^2v\big)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\int_0^t\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{p}}v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty}+\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\big(\nabla v\otimes\nabla v,v\otimes\nabla^2v\big)\big\|^2_{L^2}\Big)\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t',\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma D_t(\nabla X)\cdot\nabla v)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\lesssim&\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla v\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\int_0^t\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\otimes\nabla v\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t',\\\|\sigma\nabla X\otimes D_t\nabla v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\lesssim&\int_0^t\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}D_t\nabla v\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla X\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t',\end{aligned}$$

we thus deduce from Corollaries 2.1 and 4.2 that

$$\big\|\sigma\big(\nabla(X_t\cdot\nabla v),D_t(\nabla X\cdot\nabla v)\big)\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).$$

Inserting the above inequalities into (5.5) and using Corollaries 2.1 and 4.2, we find

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\partial_X\mathfrak{b}_0\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\varepsilon\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t),\tag{5.8}$$

which together with the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\mathfrak{b}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq&\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\partial_X\mathfrak{b}_0\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\int_0^t\big(\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}D_t^2v\|^2_{L^2}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\mathfrak{b}_0\|^2_{L^2}\big)\|\nabla X\|^2_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}t',\end{aligned}$$

ensures (5.4). □

With the above preparations, we can close the time-weighted H^1 energy estimate of $D_t\mathrm{v}^1$.

Proposition 5.1. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.4, we have*

$$A_{11}(t)+A_{12}(t)+\|X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t),\tag{5.9}$$

where $A_{11}(t),A_{12}(t)$ are given by (2.9) *for $\ell=1$.*

Proof. We get, by applying Lemma 2.1 to (2.37), that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)\cap L^2_t(\dot H^1)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla^2D_t\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla D_t\pi^1)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&\quad\leq\mathcal{A}_0\big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(D_t\mathrm{v}^1,\mathfrak{a}_1)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{a}_1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}(\nabla\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{b}_1,F_{1D})\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (4.21), by substituting the Estimates (5.1), (5.4) and (5.7) into the above inequality and then choosing ε sufficiently small, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)\cap L^2_t(\dot H^1)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\&\qquad+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla^2D_t\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla D_t\pi^1)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

Moreover, it follows from the 2-D interpolation inequality (2.20) that for any $r\in[2,\infty[$

$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}_t(L^r)}\leq C\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^{2\cdot\frac{2}{r}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^{2(1-\frac{2}{r})}_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).\tag{5.11}$$

Along the same line, we deduce from Proposition 2.2 that

$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}D_tv\|_{L^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}_t(L^r)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0)\quad\forall\ r\in[2,\infty[.\tag{5.12}$$

Let us now turn to the estimate of $\|X(t)\|_{W^{2,p}}$. We first get, by using L^p energy estimate to (2.4) and (4.23), that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|X\|_{W^{2,p}}\leq C\big(\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|X\|_{W^{2,p}}+\|\Delta v\|_{L^p}\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}\big)+\|\Delta\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^p}.\tag{5.13}$$

To deal with the estimate of $\|\Delta \mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^p}$, we rewrite (2.31) as

$$-\Delta\big(\mathrm{v}^1 - \nabla\Delta^{-1}(\partial_\alpha v\cdot\nabla X^\alpha)\big) + \nabla\pi^1 = -\rho D_t\mathrm{v}^1 + \nabla(\partial_\alpha v\cdot\nabla X^\alpha) + F_1(v,\pi),$$

for $F_1(v,\pi)$ given by (2.32). Then due to $\operatorname{div}\mathrm{v}^1 = \partial_\alpha v\cdot\nabla X^\alpha$, we deduce from classical estimate for the Stokes operator and (2.32) that that for any $r\in[2,p]$

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla\pi^1)\|_{L^r} &\le C\big(\|\nabla(\nabla v\otimes\nabla X)\|_{L^r} + \|\rho D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^r} + \|F_1(v,\pi)\|_{L^r}\big)\\ &\le C\Big(\|(D_t\mathrm{v}^1, D_t v)\|_{L^r} + \big(\|\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{rp}{p-r}}} + \|(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L^r}\big)\|\nabla X\|_{W^{1,p}}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Inserting (5.14) for $r=p$ into (5.13), and integrating the resulting inequality over $[0,t]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|X(t)\|_{W^{2,p}} \le& \|X_0\|_{W^{2,p}} + C\|(D_t\mathrm{v}^1, D_t v)\|_{L^1_t(L^p)}\\ &+ C\int_0^t \big(\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} + \|(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L^p}\big)\|X\|_{W^{2,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Note from the hypothesis that $p\in\big]2, 2/(1-s_1)\big[$, which implies

$$\frac{2p}{p+2}(1-\frac{s_1}{2}) < 1.$$

This together with (5.11) and (5.12) ensures that

$$\|(D_t\mathrm{v}^1, D_t v)\|^2_{L^1_t(L^p)} \le \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(D_t\mathrm{v}^1, D_t v)\|^2_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}_t(L^p)}\|\sigma^{-(1-\frac{s_1}{2})}\|^2_{L^{\frac{2p}{p+2}}([0,t])} \le \mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).$$

And it is obvious to observe from $2/p+s_1>1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int_0^t \big(\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} + \|(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L^p}\big)\|X\|_{W^{2,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big)^2 &\lesssim \langle t\rangle\int_0^t \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty}\|X\|^2_{W^{2,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\ &+ \int_0^t \|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\|^2_{L^p}\|X\|^2_{W^{2,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'\int_0^t \sigma^{-2+\frac{2}{p}+s_0}\,\mathrm{d}t' \le \mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t).\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above estimates into (5.15) and using the definition of $\mathfrak{G}_{1,X}(t)$ given by (4.11) leads to

$$\|X(t)\|^2_{W^{2,p}} \le \|X_0\|^2_{W^{2,p}} + \exp\big(\mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle^2\big)\Big(\mathcal{A}_1 + \int_0^t(\mathfrak{B}_0(t') + \sigma(t')^{-1+\frac{\theta_0}{2}})\|X(t')\|^2_{W^{2,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big).$$

Then by virtue of (3.42), we get, by applying Gronwall's inequality, that

$$\|X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})} \le \mathcal{A}_1\big(1+\|X_0\|^2_{W^{2,p}}\big)\exp\big(\exp(\mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle^2)\big) \le \mathcal{H}_1(t), \tag{5.16}$$

which together with Proposition 2.3 and (3.42) implies that

$$A_{11}(t) \le \mathcal{H}_1(t). \tag{5.17}$$

Similarly, by inserting (5.16) into (5.10), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\ &\quad+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla^2 D_t v^1,\nabla D_t\pi^1)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \le \mathcal{H}_1(t).\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

On the other side, it follows from (5.14) for $r=2$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla\pi^1)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \le& C\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(D_t\mathrm{v}^1, D_t v)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\ &+ C\big(\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})} + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\big)\|X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})},\end{aligned}$$

from which, Proposition 2.1, (5.12), and (5.18), we infer

$$\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla\pi^1)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t),$$

which together with (5.18) implies

$$A_{12}(t)\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t).$$

Along with (5.16), (5.17), we conclude the proof of the proposition. □

5.2. **Some implications.** In this subsection, we shall derive some useful estimates implied by Proposition 5.1.

Corollary 5.1. *Under the assumptions of of Proposition 2.4, for any $\varepsilon_0\in]0,1[$ and $r\in\{2,p\}$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathfrak{A}}_1(t)\overset{def}{=}&\|\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_0-s_1}{2}}(\mathrm{v}^1,X_t)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\\&+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\partial_X\nabla v,\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla X_t)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\big\|\sigma^{(1-\frac{s_1}{2})}(\partial_X v_t,\partial_t\mathrm{v}^1,D_t\nabla^2X)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\&+\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac12+\frac1p-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\partial_X\nabla v,\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,D_t\nabla X)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\partial_X\nabla v,\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,D_t\nabla X)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\\&+\sum_{i+j+l=1}\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac32-\frac1r-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla\mathrm{v}^l,D_t\mathrm{v}^i,\partial_X^i\nabla\pi^j)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In view of Proposition 5.1, we have for any $r\in[2,+\infty[$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}(1-\frac2r)}\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\leq C\|\mathrm{v}^1\|^{\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|^{1-\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t),\\&\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac1r-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\leq C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|^{\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1\|^{1-\frac2r}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t),\end{aligned}\tag{5.19}$$

which together with 2-D the interpolation inequality, $\|a\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim\|a\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{1+\varepsilon_0}}\|\nabla a\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon_0}}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_0}}$, ensures that for any $\varepsilon_0\in]0,1[$

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_0-s_1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\leq C\|\mathrm{v}^1\|^{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{1+\varepsilon_0}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_0-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_0}}_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon_0}})}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t).$$

Furthermore, in view of (2.4) and Corollary 2.1, for any $\varepsilon_0\in]0,1[$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_0-s_1}{2}}X_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}X_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\\&\qquad\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t)+C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2\cap L^\infty)}\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\big(\partial_X\nabla v,\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla X_t\big)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\big(\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla v\otimes\nabla X,v\otimes\nabla^2X\big)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\&\leq\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla v)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\Big)\big(1+\|X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})}\big)\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t).\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_0-s_1}{2}}(\mathrm{v}^1,X_t)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\mathrm{v}^1,X_t)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\\&\qquad+\sum_{i+j=1}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}(\partial_X^i\nabla\mathrm{v}^j,\nabla X_t)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t).\end{aligned}\tag{5.20}$$

Whereas it follows from Proposition 5.1 and the 2-D interpolation inequality (2.20) that for any $r\in[2,+\infty[$,

$$\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\leq\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^{\frac{2}{r}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^1\|^{1-\frac{2}{r}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t),$$

which together with (5.14), Corollaries 2.1 and 3.4 ensures that for $r\in\{2,p\}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(D_t\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla\pi^1)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\leq C\big(1+\|X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})}\big)\\&\times\Big(\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(D_t\mathrm{v}^1,D_tv,\nabla^2v,\nabla\pi)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty\cap L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\Big)\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t).\end{aligned}\tag{5.21}$$

Then we deduce from 2-D interpolation inequality that

$$\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^\infty}\leq C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|^{\frac{1/2-1/p}{1-1/p}}_{L^2}\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1\|^{\frac{1/2}{1-1/p}}_{L^p}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t).\tag{5.22}$$

Observing that

$$\partial_X\nabla v=\nabla\mathrm{v}^1-\nabla X^\alpha\partial_\alpha v\quad\text{and}\qquad D_t\nabla X=\nabla\mathrm{v}^1-\nabla v_\alpha\partial_\alpha X.$$

Then in view of Corollaries 2.1, 3.4 and, (5.19), (5.22), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,\partial_X\nabla v,D_t\nabla X)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}+\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,\partial_X\nabla v,D_t\nabla X)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\\&\leq\Big(\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\nabla v,\nabla\mathrm{v}^1)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\nabla v,\nabla\mathrm{v}^1)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\Big)\\&\qquad\times\big(1+\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\big)\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t).\end{aligned}\tag{5.23}$$

Finally it is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i+j+l=1}\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}\big(\partial^i_X\nabla\partial^j_X\nabla\mathrm{v}^l,\partial^i_X\partial_t\mathrm{v}^j,D_t\mathrm{v}^i,\partial^i_X\nabla\pi^{\mathrm{j}},\mathrm{D_t}\nabla^2\mathrm{X}\big)\big\|_{\mathrm{L^\infty_t(L^r)}}\\&=\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}\big(\partial_X\nabla^2v,\nabla\partial_X\nabla v,\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,\partial_Xv_t,\partial_t\mathrm{v}^1,D_t\mathrm{v}^1,\partial_X\nabla\pi,\nabla\pi^1,D_t\nabla^2X\big)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\\&\leq\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}\big(\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,D_t\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla\pi^1\big)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\\&\quad+\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}\big(\nabla^2X\otimes\nabla v,\nabla X\otimes\nabla^2v,v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla X\otimes\nabla\pi,X_t\otimes\nabla v\big)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}.\end{aligned}$$

While it follows from Corollary 2.1 and (5.19) that for any $r\in[2,\infty[$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla v,v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\\&\qquad\leq\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}(v,\mathrm{v}^1)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\nabla v,\nabla\mathrm{v}^1)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t),\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.4) and (3.42) ensures that for $r\in\{2,p\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}\big(\nabla^2X\otimes\nabla v,\nabla X\otimes\nabla^2v,\nabla X\otimes\nabla\pi,X_t\otimes\nabla v,v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\big)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\\&\leq C\big(1+\|X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})}\big)\Big(\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty\cap L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\\&\qquad+\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\nabla^2v,\nabla\pi,v\otimes\nabla v,\mathrm{v}^1\otimes\nabla v,v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\Big)\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t),\end{aligned}$$

from which and (5.21), we infer that for $r\in\{2,p\}$,

$$\sum_{i+j+l=1}\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}\big(\partial^i_X\nabla\partial^j_X\nabla\mathrm{v}^l,\partial^i_X\partial_t\mathrm{v}^j,D_t\mathrm{v}^i,\partial^i_X\nabla\pi^j,D_t\nabla^2X\big)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t).$$

This together with (5.20) and (5.23) completes the proof of the corollary □

Corollary 5.2. *Under the assumptions Corollary 5.1, one has*

$$\int_0^t \dot{\mathfrak{B}}_1(t')\,dt' \leq \mathcal{H}_1(t), \tag{5.24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathfrak{B}}_1(t) \overset{def}{=} \sum_{i+j=1} \Big(& \big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)} D_t \mathrm{v}^1(t)\big\|^2_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} + \big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(\partial_X^i D_t \nabla \mathrm{v}^j, \partial_X^i \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^j)(t)\big\|^2_{L^2} \\ & + \big\|\sigma^{\left(1+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i D_t \nabla \mathrm{v}^j, \partial_X^i \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^j)(t)\big\|^2_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} \\ & + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\big(D_t^2 \mathrm{v}^i, \partial_X^i D_t \nabla^2 \mathrm{v}^j, \nabla \partial_X^i \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^j, \partial_X^i D_t \nabla \pi^j\big)(t)\big\|^2_{L^2}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 5.1 that

$$\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)} D_t \mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})} \leq C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}} D_t \mathrm{v}^1\|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}} \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^1\|^{\frac{2}{p}}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{H}_1(t),$$

and for any $r \in [2, +\infty[$

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}} \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} \leq C\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)} \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^1\|^{\frac{2}{r}}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)} \nabla^2 D_t \mathrm{v}^1\|^{1-\frac{2}{r}}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{H}_1(t).$$

As a result, for $r = 2, \frac{2p}{p-2}$, we deduce from Corollary 3.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i+j=1} \|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)} \partial_X^i \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} & \leq \big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}\big(\nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^1, [\partial_X; \nabla] D_t v\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} \\ & \leq \|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\nabla D_t v, \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^1)\|_{L^2_t(L^r)}(1 + \|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}) \leq \mathcal{H}_1(t).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $r = 2$ or $r = \frac{2p}{p-2}$, by virtue of Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 5.1, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i+j=1} \|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)} \partial_X^i D_t \nabla \mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} \leq \big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}\big(D_t \nabla \mathrm{v}^1, D_t[\partial_X; \nabla] v\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} \\ &\leq \big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^1, D_t \nabla v)\|_{L^2_t(L^r)}(1 + \|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}) \\ &\qquad + \|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\nabla v \otimes \nabla \mathrm{v}^1, D_t \nabla X \otimes \nabla v)\|_{L^2_t(L^r)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}_1(t) + \big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^p)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}\big)\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_1}{2}\right)}(\nabla \mathrm{v}^1, D_t \nabla X)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})} \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}_1(t).\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&[D_t; \nabla^2] \mathrm{v}^1 = -\nabla^2 v_\alpha \partial_\alpha \mathrm{v}^1 - 2\nabla v^\alpha \partial_\alpha \nabla \mathrm{v}^1, && [D_t; \nabla] \pi^1 = -\nabla v_\alpha \partial_\alpha \pi^1, \\ &D_t[\partial_X; \nabla^2] v = -D_t(\nabla^2 X^\alpha \partial_\alpha v + 2\nabla X^\alpha \partial_\alpha \nabla v), && D_t[\partial_X; \nabla] \pi = -D_t(\nabla X^\alpha \partial_\alpha \pi), \\ &\nabla[\partial_X; \nabla] D_t v = -\nabla(\nabla X^\alpha \partial_\alpha D_t v),\end{aligned}$$

we deduce from Corollaries 3.4, 4.2 and 5.1, Proposition 5.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i+j=1}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\big(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^i,\partial_X^iD_t\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^j,\nabla\partial_X^i\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^j,\partial_X^iD_t\nabla\pi^j\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&\lesssim\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\big(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla^2D_t\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla D_t\pi^1,\nabla^2v\otimes\nabla\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla v\otimes\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla v\otimes\nabla\pi^1\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&\quad+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}D_t\big(\nabla^2X\otimes\nabla v,\nabla X\otimes(\nabla^2v,\nabla\pi)\big)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}\nabla(\nabla X\otimes\nabla D_tv)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&\lesssim\mathcal{H}_1(t)+\|\sigma^{\frac12}(\nabla v,D_t\nabla X)\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_1}{2}}(D_t\nabla^2X,\nabla^2v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\
&\quad+\|X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})}\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}(D_t\nabla v,\nabla D_tv)\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\\
&\qquad\qquad+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_1}{2}}(D_t\nabla^2v,\nabla^2D_tv,D_t\nabla\pi)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big)\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up the above estimates leads to (5.24). □

5.3. **Propagation of the $\mathcal{B}^{s_1}$-regularity for v^1.** We shall use the same strategy as that used in the proof of Proposition 3.3 to study the Besov regularity of $(\mathrm{v}^1,\nabla\pi^1)$. Indeed let $(v_q,\nabla\pi_q)$ be the unique solution of (2.18), we shall first investigate the following system for the unknown $(\mathfrak{u}_q,\nabla\mathfrak{p}_q)$:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\rho\partial_t\mathfrak{u}_q+\rho v\cdot\nabla\mathfrak{u}_q-\Delta\mathfrak{u}_q+\nabla\mathfrak{p}_q=F_{1,q}\quad\text{with}\\ F_{1,q}\overset{\text{def}}{=}-\rho^1D_tv_q-(\Delta X\cdot\nabla v_q+2\partial_\alpha X\cdot\nabla\partial_\alpha v_q)+\nabla X^\alpha\partial_\alpha\pi_q,\\ \operatorname{div}\mathfrak{u}_q=\operatorname{div}(v_q\cdot\nabla X),\\ \mathfrak{u}_q|_{t=0}=0.\end{array}\right.\tag{5.25}$$

Let $(\mathrm{v}_{12},\nabla\mathrm{p}_{12})$ be a smooth enough solution of (4.3). Then by virtue of (2.31), (4.3) and (5.25), we have

$$\mathrm{v}_{12}=\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{u}_q\quad\text{and}\quad\nabla\mathrm{p}_{12}=\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}\nabla\mathfrak{p}_q.\tag{5.26}$$

5.3.1. *The L^2 energy estimate.* The following lemma concerning the norms of solution to (2.18) will be very useful in the estimates that follows.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(v_q,\nabla\pi_q)$ be the unique solution determined by the System* (2.18)*. Let θ_0 and $\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0)$ be given by* (2.5)*, then the following inequality is valid*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\theta_0}})}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}v_q\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}+\|\nabla v_q\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\theta_0}})}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\nabla v_q\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\
&\quad+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\nabla v_q\big\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}(D_tv_q,\partial_tv_q,\nabla^2v_q,\nabla\pi_q)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&\quad+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{2-\theta_0}{4}}(D_tv_q,\partial_tv_q,\nabla^2v_q,\nabla\pi_q)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{4}{2-\theta_0}})}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0)\langle t\rangle^{\frac12}d_q2^{-qs_1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.27}$$

Proof. It follows from (2.20), (2.18) and (3.23), (3.24), (3.25) that for any $\delta\in]0,1[$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\theta_0}})}\lesssim\|v_q\|^{1-\theta_0}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\nabla v_q\|^{\theta_0}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0)d_q2^{-qs_1},\\
&\|\nabla v_q\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\theta_0}})}\lesssim\|\nabla v_q\|^{1-\theta_0}_{L^2_t(L^2)}\|\nabla^2v_q\|^{\theta_0}_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0)d_q2^{-qs_1},\\
&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}}v_q\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2}{\delta}})}\lesssim\|v_q\|^{\delta}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\big\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla v_q\big\|^{1-\delta}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_0)d_q2^{-qs_0},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with the 2-D interpolation inequality that

$$\|a\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|a\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\delta}}}^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}} \|\nabla^2 a\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}},$$

for $\delta = \frac{\theta_0}{1-\theta_0}$ and (3.26) ensures that

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}} v_q\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)} \lesssim \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-2\theta_0}{2(1-\theta_0)}} v_q\big\|^{1-\theta_0}_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2(1-\theta_0)}{\theta_0}})} \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^2 v_q\big\|^{\theta_0}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0) d_q 2^{-qs_1}.$$

It is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\nabla v_q\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} &\leq \|\nabla v_q\|^{\theta_0}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla v_q\big\|^{1-\theta_0}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)},\\
\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\partial_t v_q\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} &\leq \|\partial_t v_q\|^{\theta_0}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t v_q\big\|^{1-\theta_0}_{L^2_t(L^2)},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.24) and (3.25) implies that

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\nabla v_q\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\partial_t v_q\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0) d_q 2^{-qs_1}.$$

While note from (2.20), (3.25) and (3.26) that for $\delta \in [0, 1[$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla v_q\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}})} &\leq C\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla v_q\big\|^{1-\delta}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^2 v_q\big\|^{\delta}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0) d_q 2^{-q(s_0-\delta)},\\
\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t v_q\big\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}})} &\leq C\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t v_q\big\|^{1-\delta}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\partial_t v_q\big\|^{\delta}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0) d_q 2^{-q(s_0-\delta)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

Then it follows from the classical estimate on Stokes operator and Corollary 2.1, (2.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}(\nabla^2 v_q, \nabla\pi_q)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}})} &\lesssim \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t v_q\big\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}})}\\
&\quad + \langle t\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}} v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)} \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla v_q\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}})} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0)\langle t\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} d_q 2^{-q(s_0-\delta)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

Taking $\delta = \frac{\theta_0}{2-\theta_0}$ in the second inequality of (5.28) and using (3.24) gives rise to

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{2-\theta_0}{4}}\partial_t v_q\big\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{4}{2-\theta_0}})} \leq \|\partial_t v_q\|^{\frac{\theta_0}{2}}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t v_q\big\|^{1-\frac{\theta_0}{2}}_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2-\theta_0}{1-\theta_0}})} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0) d_q 2^{-qs_1},$$

which together with a similar derivation of (5.29) ensures that

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{2-\theta_0}{4}}(\nabla^2 v_q, \nabla\pi_q)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{4}{2-\theta_0}})} + \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}(\nabla^2 v_q, \nabla\pi_q)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0)\langle t\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} d_q 2^{-qs_1}.$$

Furthermore, thanks to the following interpolation inequality

$$\|a\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|a\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}} \|\nabla a\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}}}^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}},$$

and (5.29), we infer

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\nabla v_q\big\|_{L^2_t(L^\infty)} \lesssim \|\nabla v_q\|^{\theta_0}_{L^2_t(L^2)} \big\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^2 v_q\big\|^{1-\theta_0}_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2(1-\theta_0)}{1-2\theta_0}})} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, s_0)\langle t\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} d_q 2^{-qs_1}.$$

By summing up the above estimates and making use of the fact that $\rho D_t v_q = \Delta v_q - \nabla\pi_q$, we complete the proof of (5.27). □

Let us turn to the L^2 energy estimate of $\mathfrak{u}_q$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $(\mathfrak{u}_q, \nabla\mathfrak{p}_q)$ be the unique solution of (5.25). Then there holds*

$$\|\mathfrak{u}_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \|\nabla\mathfrak{u}_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{H}_1(t) d_q 2^{-qs_1}. \tag{5.30}$$

Proof. We first get, by using L^2 energy estimate to the $\mathfrak{u}_q$ equation of (5.25), that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathfrak{u}_q(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\mathfrak{u}_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2=-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\nabla\mathfrak{p}_q|\mathfrak{u}_q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}F_{1,q}|\mathfrak{u}_q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'. \tag{5.31}$$

It follows from the definition of $F_{1,q}$ and (2.27) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{2-\theta_0}{4}}F_{1,q}\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\lesssim&\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}D_tv_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\Delta X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\nabla v_q\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\\&+\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{4}{\theta_0}})}\|\sigma^{\frac{2-\theta_0}{4}}(\nabla^2v_q,\nabla\pi_q)\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{4}{2-\theta_0}})},\end{aligned}$$

from which, Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.3, we infer for $\beta=\frac{p-2}{(1-\theta_0)p}\in]0,1[$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{2-\theta_0}{4}}F_{1,q}\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\lesssim\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q2^{-qs_1}+\Big(&\|\nabla v_q\|^{\beta}_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\theta_0}})}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\nabla v_q\big\|^{1-\beta}_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}\\&+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{2-\theta_0}{4}}(\nabla^2v_q,\nabla\pi_q)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{4}{2-\theta_0}})}\Big)\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{1,p})}\lesssim\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q2^{-qs_1}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

We thus obtain

$$\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}F_{1,q}|\mathfrak{u}_q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big|\leq\int_0^t\sigma^{-1+\frac{\theta_0}{2}}\|\mathfrak{u}_q\|_{L^2}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'+\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q^22^{-2qs_1}. \tag{5.33}$$

While we get, by using integration by parts and (5.25), that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\nabla\mathfrak{p}_q|\mathfrak{u}_q\,\mathrm{d}x&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\nabla\mathfrak{p}_q|v_q\cdot\nabla X\,\mathrm{d}x\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(F_{1,q}-\partial_t(\rho\mathfrak{u}_q)-\mathrm{div}(\rho v\otimes\mathfrak{u}_q)+\Delta\mathfrak{u}_q\right)|v_q\cdot\nabla X\,\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

In view of Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.3, one has

$$\|v_q\cdot\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\|v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\theta_0}})}\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2}{\theta_0}})}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q2^{-qs_1}, \tag{5.35}$$

so that a similar derivation as (5.33) ensures

$$\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}F_{1,q}|v_q\cdot\nabla X\,dx\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big|\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q^22^{-2qs_1}.$$

Again by integration by parts, we write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\partial_t(\rho\mathfrak{u}_q)|v_q\cdot\nabla X\,\mathrm{d}x=\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho\mathfrak{u}_q|v_q\cdot\nabla X\,\mathrm{d}x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho\mathfrak{u}_q|\partial_t(v_q\cdot\nabla X)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Yet it follows from Proposition 5.1, Corollary 5.1 and Lemma 5.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{2-\theta_0}{4}}\partial_t(v_q\cdot\nabla X)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq&\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{1,p})}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{2-\theta_0}{4}}\partial_tv_q\big\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{4}{2-\theta_0}})}\\&+C\langle t\rangle\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla X_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q2^{-qs_1},\end{aligned}$$

which together with (5.35) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\partial_t(\rho\mathfrak{u}_q)|v_q\cdot\nabla X\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big| &\le \frac{1}{4}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathfrak{u}_q(t)\|_{L^2}^2+C\|v_q\cdot\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2\\ &\quad+C\int_0^t\sigma^{-1+\frac{\theta_0}{2}}\|\mathfrak{u}_q(t')\|_{L^2}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'+C\|\sigma^{\frac{2-\theta_0}{4}}\partial_t(v_q\cdot\nabla X)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2\\ &\le \frac{1}{4}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathfrak{u}_q\|_{L^2}^2+C\int_0^t\sigma^{-1+\frac{\theta_0}{2}}\|\mathfrak{u}_q(t')\|_{L^2}^2\,dt'+\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q^2 2^{-2qs_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Along the same line to the derivation of (5.32), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\nabla(v_q\cdot\nabla X)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} &\le \langle t\rangle\Big(\|\nabla v_q\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\theta_0}})}+\|v_q\|^{\beta}_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2}{1-\theta_0}})}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}v_q\|^{1-\beta}_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\Big)\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{1,p})}\\ &\le \mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q2^{-qs_1},\end{aligned}$$

which ensures that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathrm{div}(\rho v\otimes\mathfrak{u}_q)|v_q\cdot\nabla X\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big| &\le C\int_0^t\|v\|_{L^4}\|\mathfrak{u}_q\|_{L^4}\|\nabla(v_q\cdot\nabla X)\|_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\ &\le \frac{1}{6}\|\nabla\mathfrak{u}_q\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\int_0^t\|v\|_{L^4}^4\|\mathfrak{u}_q\|_{L^2}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'+\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q^2 2^{-2qs_1},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\Delta\mathfrak{u}_q|v_q\cdot\nabla X\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big|\le\frac{1}{6}\|\nabla\mathfrak{u}_q\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q^2 2^{-2qs_1}.$$

Inserting the above estimates into (5.34) gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\nabla\mathfrak{p}_q|\mathfrak{u}_q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big| &\le \frac{1}{3}\big(\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathfrak{u}_q(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\mathfrak{u}_q\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\big)\\ &\quad+C\int_0^t\big(\|v\|_{L^4}^4+\sigma^{-1+\frac{\theta_0}{2}}\big)\|\mathfrak{u}_q(t')\|_{L^2}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'+\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q^2 2^{-2qs_1}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.36}$$

Substituting the Inequalities (5.33) and (5.36) into (5.31), we arrive at

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathfrak{u}_q(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\mathfrak{u}_q\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\le C\int_0^t\big(\|v\|_{L^4}^4+\sigma^{-1+\frac{\theta_0}{2}}\big)\|\mathfrak{u}_q(t')\|_{L^2}^2\,dt'+\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q^2 2^{-2qs_1}.$$

Then applying Gronwall's inequality leads to (5.30). This completes the proof of the lemma. □

5.3.2. *The $\dot{H}^1$ energy estimate.*

Lemma 5.5. *Under the asme assumptions of Lemma 5.4, one has*

$$\|\nabla\mathfrak{u}_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\big\|(\partial_t\mathfrak{u}_q,\nabla^2\mathfrak{u}_q,\nabla\mathfrak{p}_q)\big\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\le\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q2^{q(1-s_1)}.\tag{5.37}$$

Proof. In view of (5.25), we get, by applying Lemma 3.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\mathfrak{u}_q(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|(\partial_t\mathfrak{u}_q,\nabla^2\mathfrak{u}_q,\nabla\mathfrak{p}_q)\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\qquad\le C\big(\|v\|_{L^4}^4\|\nabla\mathfrak{u}_q\|_{L^2}^2+\|\partial_t(v_q\cdot\nabla X)\|_{L^2}^2+\|(F_{1,q},\nabla\,\mathrm{div}(v_q\cdot\nabla X))\|_{L^2}^2\big).\end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\nabla\mathfrak{u}_q\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|(\partial_t\mathfrak{u}_q,\nabla^2\mathfrak{u}_q,\nabla\mathfrak{p}_q)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&\quad\leq\exp\bigl(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\bigr)\Bigl(\|\partial_t(v_q\cdot\nabla X)\|^2_{L^2}+\|(F_{1,q},\nabla\operatorname{div}(v_q\cdot\nabla X))\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Bigr).\end{aligned}\tag{5.38}$$

Note that since $v_0\in L^2\cap\mathcal{B}^{s_0}$, we observe that the Inequalities (3.23) to (3.26) hold for any $s\in]0,s_0[$. In particular, it follows from (3.24), (3.26) and $\rho D_tv_q=\Delta v_q-\nabla\pi_q$ that

$$\|(\nabla v_q,\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla^2v_q)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\bigl\|(D_tv_q,\nabla^2v_q,\partial_tv_q,\nabla\pi_q)\bigr\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\lesssim\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_1)d_q2^{q(1-s_1)},\tag{5.39}$$

which together with (2.20) ensures that for any $\delta\in]0,1[$,

$$\|\nabla v_q\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}}_t(L^{\frac{2}{\delta}})}\lesssim\|\nabla v_q\|^{\delta}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\nabla^2v_q\|^{1-\delta}_{L^2_t(L^2)}\lesssim\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_1)d_q2^{q(1-s_1)}.\tag{5.40}$$

Similarly for any $\delta\in]0,1[$, we have

$$\|v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2}{\delta}})}\lesssim\|v_q\|^{\delta}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\nabla v_q\|^{1-\delta}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\lesssim\mathcal{C}(v_0,s_1-\delta)d_q2^{q(1-s_1)},$$

and

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{\delta}{2(1+\delta)}}v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\lesssim\|v_q\|^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}}_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2}{\delta}})}\|\sigma^{\frac12}\nabla^2v_q\|^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{A}_0d_q2^{q(1-s_1)}.\tag{5.41}$$

Taking $\delta\in]0,s_1/(1-s_1)[$, we deduce from (5.39), (5.41) and Corollary 5.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t(v_q\cdot\nabla X)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq&\|\partial_tv_q\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\\&+\|\sigma^{\frac{\delta}{2(1+\delta)}}v_q\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_1}{2}}\nabla X_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{-\frac12\left(\frac{1}{1+\partial}-s_1\right)}\|_{L^2_t}\\\leq&\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q2^{q(1-s_1)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.42}$$

While due to $\operatorname{div}X=0$, we have

$$\nabla\operatorname{div}(v_q\cdot\nabla X)=\nabla\partial_\alpha v_q\cdot\nabla X^\alpha+\partial_\alpha v_q\cdot\nabla\nabla X^\alpha,$$

from which, (2.27) and (5.25), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\|(F_{1q},\nabla\operatorname{div}(v_q\cdot\nabla X))\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\lesssim&(1+\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{1,p})})\\&\times\bigl(\|(D_tv_q,\nabla^2v_q,\nabla\pi_q)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}+t^{\left(\frac12-\frac1p\right)}\|\nabla v_q\|_{L^p_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\bigr).\end{aligned}$$

As a result, by virtue of (5.39) and (5.40) (for $\delta=(p-2)/p$), it comes out

$$\|(F_{1q},\nabla\operatorname{div}(v_q\cdot\nabla X))\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t)d_q2^{q(1-s_1)}.\tag{5.43}$$

Substituting the Inequalities (5.42) and (5.43) into (5.38) gives rise to (5.37). This finishes the proof of Lemma 5.5. □

The main result of this subsection is as follows

Proposition 5.2. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.4, we have*

$$\|\mathrm{v}^1\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_1})}+\|\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_1})}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t).\tag{5.44}$$

Proof. Indeed in view of (5.26), Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, we deduce by a similar proof of Proposition 3.3 that

$$\|\mathrm{v}_{12}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_1})}+\|\nabla\mathrm{v}_{12}\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_1})}\leq\mathcal{H}_1(t),$$

which together with (4.1) and (4.4) leads to (5.44). □

Combing Proposition 5.1 with Proposition 5.2, we achieve Proposition 2.4.

6. Propagation of higher order striated regularity

The goal of this section is to present the proof of Proposition 2.5. To this end, for the functional $A_\ell(t)$ given by (2.8), we inductively assume that

$$A_l(t) \leq \mathcal{H}_l(t), \quad \text{for any } l \leq \ell-1 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell \leq k. \tag{6.1}$$

We shall always assume (6.1) throughout this section. We aim at establishing the Estimate (6.1) for $l = \ell$.

6.1. **Deductive estimates from** (6.1)**.**

In this subsection we shall derive some estimates from the inductive assumption (6.1), which will be used constantly in the following context. For $\ell \geq 1$, let

$$R_\ell(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m+n+\kappa\leq\ell-1} \big(\|\partial_X^m \nabla \mathrm{X}^n\|_{L_t^\infty(W^{1,p})} + \|\partial_X^m \nabla \partial_X^n \nabla \mathrm{X}^\kappa\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}\big). \tag{6.2}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} &r_1 \in \{2,\, 2p/(p-2),\, +\infty\}\,, \quad r \in \{2,\, p\},\\ &r_2 \in \{2p/(p-2),\, +\infty\}\,, \quad \text{and} \quad r_3 \in \{2,\, 2p/(p-2)\}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

and

$$0 < \varepsilon_0 < \min\big(\, s_k/2,\, \big(p/2-1\big)(1-s_0)\big)\,, \tag{6.4}$$

we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathfrak{A}}_\ell(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{i+j+l=\ell\\ m+n=\ell-1}} \Big(&\|\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L_t^\infty(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_0-s_\ell}{2}}(\mathrm{v}^i, \partial_X^m\partial_t X)\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\\ &+ \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^m \nabla X_t\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} + \big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}(\partial_X^i\partial_t \mathrm{v}^j, \partial_X^m D_t\nabla^2 \mathrm{X}^n)\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}\\ &+ \|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{s_\ell}{2}\right)}\partial_X^i\nabla \mathrm{v}^j\|_{L_t^\infty(L^{r_1})} + \|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{s_\ell}{2}\right)}\partial_X^m D_t\nabla \mathrm{X}^n\|_{L_t^\infty(L^{r_2})}\\ &+ \big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_\ell}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla \mathrm{v}^l, D_t\mathrm{v}^i, \partial_X^i\nabla\pi^j)\|_{L_t^\infty(L^r)}\Big); \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathfrak{B}}_\ell(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i+j=\ell} \Big(&\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r_3}-\frac{s_\ell}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^j, \partial_X^i D_t\nabla \mathrm{v}^j)(t)\|_{L^{r_3}}^2 + \|\sigma^{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_\ell}{2}\right)}D_t\mathrm{v}^i(t)\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}^2\\ &+ \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^i, \partial_X^i D_t\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^j, \nabla\partial_X^i\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^j, \partial_X^i D_t\nabla\pi^j)(t)\big\|_{L^2}^2\Big); \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{A}_\ell(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{l\leq\ell} \dot{\mathfrak{A}}_l(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{B}_\ell(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{B}_0(t) + \sum_{l\leq\ell} \dot{\mathfrak{B}}_l(t), \tag{6.7}$$

where $\mathfrak{B}_0(t)$ is given by (2.36).

Under the inductive assumption (6.1), the estimates of $R_{\ell-1}(t), \mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t), \mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t)$ relies on the following lemma concerning the commutative estimates, the proof of which will be postponed in the appendix.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\ell \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$ and (i,j) be any pair of nonnegative integers with $i+j \leq \ell$. Then for r_1, r, r_3 satisfying* (6.3)*, there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\|\partial_X^i \nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i} - \nabla \mathrm{X}^\ell\|_{L_t^\infty(W^{1,p})} + \|\partial_X^i \nabla \partial_X^j \nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i-j} - \nabla^2 \mathrm{X}^\ell\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)} \leq C R_\ell^2(t), \tag{6.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i \nabla \mathrm{v}^{\ell-i} - \nabla \mathrm{v}^\ell)\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^{r_1})} + \big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i \nabla \pi^{\ell-i} - \nabla \pi^\ell)\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^r)} \\ &\quad + \big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i \nabla \partial_X^j \nabla \mathrm{v}^{\ell-i-j} - \nabla^2 \mathrm{v}^\ell)\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^r)} \leq C R_\ell(t) \mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t), \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\big\|\sigma(t)^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r_3}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}\big(\partial_X^i \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^{\ell-i} - \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^\ell,\, \partial_X^i D_t \nabla \mathrm{v}^{\ell-i} - \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^\ell\big)(t)\big\|_{L^{r_3}}^2 \\ &+ \big\|\sigma(t)^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(\partial_X^i D_t \nabla^2 \mathrm{v}^{\ell-i} - \nabla^2 D_t \mathrm{v}^\ell,\, \nabla \partial_X^i \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^{\ell-i} - \nabla^2 D_t \mathrm{v}^\ell)(t)\big\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ \big\|\sigma(t)^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(\partial_X^i D_t \nabla \pi^{\ell-i} - \nabla D_t \pi^\ell)(t)\big\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C R_\ell^2(t) \mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t) + C \mathfrak{A}_\ell^4(t) \sigma^{-(1-s_\ell)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

Lemma 6.2. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.5 and the inductive assumption* (6.1)*, one has*

$$R_l(t) + \mathfrak{A}_l(t) + \textstyle\int_0^t \mathfrak{B}_l(t')\,dt' \leq \mathcal{H}_l(t), \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq l \leq \ell - 1. \tag{6.11}$$

Proof. We first deduce from Corollaries 2.1 and 3.4, Proposition 2.4, Corollaries 5.1 and 5.2 that (6.11) holds for $l = 1$. Inductively, we assume that

$$R_\kappa(t) + \mathfrak{A}_\kappa(t) + \int_0^t \mathfrak{B}_\kappa(t')\,dt' \leq \mathcal{H}_\kappa(t), \quad 2 \leq \kappa \leq l - 1. \tag{6.12}$$

We intend to show the Estimate (6.12) for $\kappa + 1$. Indeed it follows from (6.1) that

$$\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^\beta\|_{L_t^\infty(W^{1,p})} \leq \mathcal{H}_{\beta+1}(t) \quad \forall\ \beta \leq l - 1,$$

which together with the commutator estimate (6.8) for $\ell = \kappa$ and (6.12) ensures that

$$R_{\kappa+1}(t) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}(t). \tag{6.13}$$

While we deduce from (2.20) and (6.1) that for any $\beta \leq l$ and $r \in [2, \infty[$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathrm{v}^\beta\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)\cap L_t^2(\dot{H}^1)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\beta}{2}} \nabla \mathrm{v}^\beta\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\beta}{2}} \partial_t \mathrm{v}^\beta\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\ &+ \big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\beta}{2}}(D_t \mathrm{v}^\beta, \nabla^2 \mathrm{v}^\beta, \nabla \pi^\beta)\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\beta}{2}} \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^\beta\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \\ &+ \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\beta}{2}} \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^\beta\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\beta}{2}}(D_t^2 \mathrm{v}^\beta, \nabla^2 D_t \mathrm{v}^\beta, \nabla D_t \pi^\beta)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\ &+ \big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_\beta}{2}\right)} D_t \mathrm{v}^\beta\|_{L_t^\infty(L^r)} + \big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_\beta}{2}\right)} \nabla D_t \mathrm{v}^\beta\|_{L_t^2(L^r)} \leq \mathcal{H}_\beta(t), \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

from which, the second inequality of (6.30) below and (6.13), we infer

$$\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\kappa+1}}{2}\right)}(D_t \mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1}, \nabla^2 \mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1}, \nabla \pi^{\kappa+1})\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^r)} \leq \mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}(t), \quad r \in \{2, p\}. \tag{6.15}$$

Furthermore, by Corollary 2.1 and (6.14) one has

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\beta}{2}} D_t \mathrm{v}^\beta\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \leq \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\beta}{2}} \partial_t \mathrm{v}^\beta\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\beta}{2}} v\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\nabla \mathrm{v}^\beta\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{H}_\beta(t),\ \forall \beta \leq l,$$

from which, (6.14) and (2.20) we deduce

$$\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_{\kappa+1}}{2}\right)} D_t \mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1}\|_{L_t^2(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})} \leq \mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}(t). \tag{6.16}$$

On the other side, in view of (6.14) and (6.15), we get, by applying (2.20) and

$$\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{s}{2}\right)}a\|_{L^\infty}\leq C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s}{2}}a\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-2/p}{2(1-1/p)}}\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s}{2}\right)}\nabla a\|_{L^p}^{\frac{1}{2(1-1/p)}},$$

that

$$\big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{s_{\kappa+1}}{2}\right)}\nabla \mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{r_1})}\leq \mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}(t),\quad \forall r_1\in[2,+\infty]. \tag{6.17}$$

Noticing that for any $q\in]2,\infty[$,

$$\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{s}{4(1-1/q)}\right)}a\|_{L^\infty}\leq C\|a\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-2/q}{2(1-1/q)}}\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{s}{2}\right)}\nabla a\|_{L^q}^{\frac{1}{2(1-1/q)}},$$

and (2.20)

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\beta}{2}}a\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\leq C\|a\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\beta}{2}}\nabla a\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{p}},$$

we deduce from (6.14) and (6.17) (by taking $q=\frac{2(s_{\kappa+1}-\varepsilon_0)}{s_{\kappa+1}-2\varepsilon_0}$) that

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_0-s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\leq\mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}(t). \tag{6.18}$$

To deal with the estimates pertaining to X in $\dot{\mathfrak{A}}_{\kappa+1}(t)$, we first get from (2.4) that

$$\partial_X^\kappa\partial_t X=\partial_X^\kappa(\mathrm{v}^1-v\cdot\nabla X)=\mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1}-\sum_{n=0}^{\kappa}C_\kappa^n\mathrm{v}^n\cdot\partial_X^{\kappa-n}\nabla X, \tag{6.19}$$

and

$$\partial_X^\kappa\nabla X_t=\partial_X^\kappa\nabla(\mathrm{v}^1-v\cdot\nabla X)=\partial_X^\kappa\nabla\mathrm{v}^1-\sum_{n=0}^{\kappa}C_\kappa^n\big(\partial_X^n\nabla v\cdot\partial_X^{\kappa-n}\nabla X+\mathrm{v}^n\cdot\partial_X^{\kappa-n}\nabla^2X\big). \tag{6.20}$$

We then apply the operator $\partial_X^{\kappa-1}$ to (2.4) to get

$$D_t\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-1}=\partial_t\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-1}+v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-1}=\mathrm{v}^\kappa, \tag{6.21}$$

which together with (2.30) ensures that for any nonnegative integer $m\leq\kappa$,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_X^m D_t\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m}&=\partial_X^m\nabla D_t\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m}-\partial_X^m(\nabla v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m})\\&=\partial_X^m\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1-m}-\sum_{n=0}^{m}C_m^n\partial_X^n\nabla v_\alpha\,\partial_X^{m-n}\partial_\alpha\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m},\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_X^m D_t\nabla^2\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m}&=\partial_X^m\nabla^2D_t\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m}-\partial_X^m(\nabla^2v_\alpha\partial_\alpha\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m}+2\nabla v_\alpha\partial_\alpha\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m})\\&=\partial_X^m\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1-m}-\sum_{n=0}^{m}C_m^n\left(\partial_X^n\nabla^2v_\alpha\partial_X^{m-n}\partial_\alpha\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m}+2\partial_X^n\nabla v_\alpha\partial_X^{m-n}\partial_\alpha\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

By virtue of (6.2), we deduce from (6.19)-(6.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_0-s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\partial_X^\kappa X_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\partial_X^\kappa\nabla X_t\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq C(1+R_{\kappa+1}(t))\\&\quad\times\sum_{i+j\leq\kappa+1}\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_0-s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\partial_X^i\nabla\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and it follows from (6.22)-(6.23) that for $r_2 = \frac{2p}{p-2}$ or $r_2 = \infty$ and for any $m \leq \kappa$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sigma^{1-\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\partial_X^m D_t \nabla \mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^{r_2})} + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\partial_X^m D_t \nabla^2 \mathrm{X}^{\kappa-m}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \\
&\quad \leq C(1+R_{\kappa+1}(t)) \sum_{i+j\leq\kappa+1} \Big(\|\sigma^{1-\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\partial_X^i \nabla \mathrm{v}^j\|_{L_t^\infty(L^{r_2})} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\partial_X^i \nabla^2 \mathrm{v}^j\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\partial_X^i \nabla v\|_{L_t^\infty(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})} \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

The Estimates (6.13) to (6.18), along with (6.1), (6.9), (6.12), the above two inequalities and the following fact for any nonnegative integer $i \leq \kappa+1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\partial_X^i \partial_t \mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1-i}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} = \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}(\partial_X^i D_t \mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1-i} - \partial_X^i(v\cdot\nabla \mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1-i}))\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \\
&\leq \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\kappa+1}}{2}} D_t \mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} + C\sum_{n=0}^{i} \|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^n\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_{\kappa+1}}{2}}\partial_X^{i-n}\nabla \mathrm{v}^{\kappa+1-i}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)},
\end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\dot{\mathfrak{A}}_{\kappa+1}(t) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}(t). \tag{6.24}$$

Finally under the assumption (6.1), we deduce from the commutative estimates (6.10) and (6.12), (6.13), (6.14), (6.16), (6.24), that

$$\int_0^t \dot{\mathfrak{B}}_{\kappa+1}(t')\,dt' \leq \mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}(t). \tag{6.25}$$

Whence in view of (6.7), by summing up (6.12), (6.13), (6.24) and (6.25), we conclude that (6.12) is valid for $\kappa+1$. Hence Lemma 6.2 follows. □

6.2. **Some preliminary estimates.** According to Lemma 2.2 and (2.39), the time-weighted H^1 energy estimates of v^ℓ relies on the estimates of $F_\ell(v,\pi)$, $\mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{v}^\ell$ and $\nabla \mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{v}^\ell$, which is the goal of this subsection.

Let us first calculate $\mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{v}^\ell$. Notice that $\mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{v}^1 = \mathrm{div}\,(v\cdot\nabla X)$. Suppose inductively that $\mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1} = \mathrm{div}\,g_{\ell-1}$, then due to $\mathrm{div}\,X = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{v}^\ell = \mathrm{div}\,(\partial_X \mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}) &= \mathrm{div}\,\Big(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}\cdot\nabla X + X\mathrm{div}\,g_{\ell-1}\Big) \\
&= \mathrm{div}\,\Big(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}\cdot\nabla X + \partial_X g_{\ell-1} - g_{\ell-1}\cdot\nabla X\Big) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{div}\,g_\ell.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{E}_X f \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \partial_X f - f\cdot\nabla X. \tag{6.27}$$

It is easy to observe that

$$\mathrm{div}\,\mathcal{E}_X f = \partial_X \mathrm{div}\,f.$$

We thus get, by using (6.26) and an inductive argument, that

$$g_\ell = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{E}_X^i(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X), \tag{6.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div} \mathrm{v}^\ell &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{E}_X^i(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \partial_X^i \operatorname{div}(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X)\\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \partial_X^i(\partial_\alpha \mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X^\alpha).\end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

Lemma 6.3. *For $\ell = 2,\cdots,k$, and $r\in\{2,p\}$, there hold*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(F_\ell(v,\pi),\nabla\operatorname{div}\mathrm{v}^\ell)(t)\|_{L^r} \le \mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)(1+\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}) \quad \text{and}\\ &\left\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_\ell}{2}\right)}(\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell,\nabla\pi^\ell)(t)\right\|_{L^r} \le C\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_\ell}{2}\right)}D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell(t)\|_{L^r}\\ &\qquad\qquad + \mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\big(1+\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t)\|_{W^{1,p}}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

Proof. For $r=2$ or p, we deduce from (2.40) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|F_\ell(v,\pi)\|_{L^r} \le C\Big(R_{\ell-1}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\|\rho^j\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_X^{\ell-1}\Delta X\|_{L^p}\Big)\\ \times \sum_{i+j\le\ell-1}\Big(\|(D_t\mathrm{v}^j,\partial_X^i\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^j,\partial_X^i\nabla\pi^j)\|_{L^r} + \|\partial_X^i\nabla\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^{\frac{pr}{p-r}}}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with (6.5) and (6.8), ensures that for $r=2$ or $r=p$

$$\|F_\ell(v,\pi)\|_{L^r} \le C\Big(R_{\ell-1}(t) + R_{\ell-1}^2(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\|\rho^\ell\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}\Big)\sigma^{-\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}\mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t).$$

As a result, we deduce from Lemma 6.2 and (2.27) that for $r=2$ or $r=p$

$$\|F_\ell(v,\pi)\|_{L^r} \le \mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sigma(t)^{-\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}\big(1+\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}\big). \tag{6.31}$$

While it follows from (6.29) that

$$\nabla\operatorname{div}\mathrm{v}^\ell = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\sum_{j=0}^{i} C_i^j\nabla\Big(\partial_X^j\partial_\alpha\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\partial_X^{i-j}\nabla X^\alpha\Big),$$

from which, we infer for $r=2$ or $r=p$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\operatorname{div}\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^r} \le& C\big(R_{\ell-1}(t) + \|\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\|_{L^p}\big)\\ &\times\sum_{i+j\le\ell-1}\Big(\|\nabla\partial_X^i\nabla\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^r} + \|\partial_X^i\nabla\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^{\frac{pr}{p-r}}}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Then we deduce from (6.5) and the commutative estimate (6.8) that for $r=2,p$,

$$\|\nabla\operatorname{div} v_\ell\|_{L^r} \le C\big(R_{\ell-1}(t) + R_{\ell-1}^2(t) + \|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}\big)\sigma^{-\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}\mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t).$$

By virtue of Lemma 6.2 and (6.31), we obtain the first inequality of (6.30).

On the other hand, in view of (2.39), we write

$$-\Delta(\mathrm{v}^\ell - \nabla\Delta^{-1}\operatorname{div}\mathrm{v}^\ell) + \nabla\pi^\ell = \nabla\operatorname{div}\mathrm{v}^\ell - \rho D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell + F_\ell(v,\pi),$$

so that for any $r\in]1,\infty[$, it follows from classical estimates for Stokes operator that

$$\|(\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell,\nabla\pi^\ell)\|_{L^r} \le C\big(\|\nabla\operatorname{div}\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^r} + \|\rho D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^r} + \|F_\ell(v,\pi)\|_{L^r}\big), \tag{6.32}$$

which together with the first inequality of (6.30) gives rise to the second one of (6.30). This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let g_ℓ be given by* (6.28). *Then one has*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|g_\ell(t)\|_{L^2}+\sigma(t)^{\frac{1-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\|\nabla g_\ell(t)\|_{L^2}\leq\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\big(1+\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t)\|_{W^{1,p}}\big),\\&\|\partial_t g_\ell(t)\|_{L^2}\leq\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla \mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2}+\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sigma(t)^{-\big(1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\big)}\big(1+\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t)\|_{W^{1,p}}\big).\end{aligned}\tag{6.33}$$

Proof. It follows from (6.28) that

$$\begin{aligned}g_\ell&=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\big(\partial_X-(\nabla X)^T\cdot\big)^i(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X)\\&=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_r=i}\partial_X^{i_1}(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X)\cdot\partial_X^{i_2}(-\nabla X)^{i_3}\cdots\partial_X^{i_{r-1}}(-\nabla X)^{i_r}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.34}$$

It is obvious to observe from (6.34), Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|g_\ell\|_{L^2}\leq&\|v\cdot\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\|_{L^2}+\sum_{l\leq\ell-2,i\leq\ell-1}\|\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^2}\|\partial_X^l\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}^{\ell-1}\\\leq&\Big(\sum_{i\leq\ell-1}\|\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^2}\Big)\big(\|\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}+R_{\ell-1}^{\ell-1}(t)\big)\\\leq&\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)(1+\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}).\end{aligned}\tag{6.35}$$

While by taking ∂_κ with $\kappa=0,1,2$ (here $\partial_0\overset{\text{def}}{=}\partial_t$) to (6.34) and using (2.30), we write

$$\partial_\kappa g_\ell=g_{\ell,\kappa}^1+g_{\ell,\kappa}^2,\tag{6.36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}g_{\ell,\kappa}^1\overset{\text{def}}{=}&\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1}\sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_r=i-1}\Big(\partial_X^{i_1}\big(\partial_\kappa X\cdot\nabla\partial_X^{i_2}(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X)\big)\cdots\partial_X^{i_{r-1}}(-\nabla X)^{i_r}\\&+\partial_X^{i_1}(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X)\cdots\partial_X^{i_{j-1}}\big(\partial_\kappa X\cdot\nabla\partial_X^{i_j}(-\nabla X)^{i_{j+1}}\big)\cdots\partial_X^{i_{r-1}}(-\nabla X)^{i_r}\\&+\partial_X^{i_1}(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X)\cdot\partial_X^{i_2}(-\nabla X)^{i_3}\cdots\partial_X^{i_j}\partial_\kappa(-\nabla X)\cdots\partial_X^{i_{r-1}}(-\nabla X)^{i_r}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$g_{\ell,\kappa}^2\overset{\text{def}}{=}\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_r=i}\partial_X^{i_1}\partial_\kappa(\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X)\cdot\partial_X^{i_2}(-\nabla X)^{i_3}\cdots\partial_X^{i_{r-1}}(-\nabla X)^{i_r}.$$

Notice that the indices $i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_r$ satisfy $i_1+\cdots i_r\leq\ell-2$ in $g_{\ell,\kappa}^1$. We thus get, by applying Lemma 6.2, that for $\kappa=1,2$,

$$\|g_{\ell,\kappa}^1\|_{L^2}\leq C\sum_{i+j\leq\ell-2}\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\big(\|\partial_X^i\nabla \mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big)\leq C\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sigma^{-\frac{1-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t).$$

To handle $g_{\ell,\kappa}^2$, we separate the case when $i_1=i=\ell-1$ from others to get

$$\begin{aligned}\|g_{\ell,\kappa}^2\|_{L^2}\leq&\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}+\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\|\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla^2X\|_{L^p}\\&+C\sum_{i+j\leq\ell-1}\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\big(\|\partial_X^i\nabla \mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big),\end{aligned}$$

from which and the commutative estimate (6.8), we infer

$$\|g^2_{\ell,\kappa}\|_{L^2}\leq C\big(\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)+\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}\big)\sigma^{-\frac{1-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t).$$

Combining the above estimates of $g^1_{\ell,\kappa}$ and $g^2_{\ell,\kappa}$ with (6.35) and Lemma 6.2, we obtain the first estimate of (6.33).

Along the same line, we deduce from (6.36) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|g^1_{\ell,0}\|_{L^2}\lesssim&\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sum_{\substack{i+j\leq\ell-2\\ l\leq\ell-2}}\Big(\|\partial^l_X X_t\|_{L^\infty}\big(\|\partial^i_X\nabla \mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big)+\|\partial^l_X\nabla X_t\|_{L^2}\|\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^\infty}\Big)\\ \lesssim&\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sigma^{-\big(1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\big)}\sum_{\substack{i+j\leq\ell-2\\ l\leq\ell-2}}\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac12}\partial^l_X X_t\|_{L^\infty}\big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial^i_X\nabla \mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2}\\ &+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big)+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial^l_X\nabla X_t\|_{L^2}\|\sigma^{\frac12}\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^\infty}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

from which and Lemma 6.2, we infer that

$$\|g^1_{\ell,0}\|_{L^2}\leq\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sigma^{-\big(1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\big)}\mathfrak{A}^2_{\ell-1}(t)\leq\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sigma^{-\big(1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\big)}.\tag{6.37}$$

While by separating the case when $i_1=i=\ell-1$ from others and taking into account of the fact that: $X_t=-v\cdot\nabla X+\mathrm{v}^1$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|g^2_{\ell,0}\|_{L^2}\lesssim&\|v_t\cdot\partial^{\ell-1}_X\nabla X\|_{L^2}+\|v\otimes\partial^{\ell-1}_X\nabla(v\cdot\nabla X)\|_{L^2}+\|v\cdot\partial^{\ell-1}_X\nabla\mathrm{v}^1\|_{L^2}\\ &+C\sum_{\substack{i+j\leq\ell-1\\ l+m\leq\ell-1,m\leq\ell-2}}\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\big(\|\partial^i_X\partial_t\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{v}^l\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial^m_X\nabla X_t\|_{L^2}\big),\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|g^2_{\ell,0}\|_{L^2}\leq&\big(\|(v_t,v\otimes\nabla v)\|_{L^2}+\|v\otimes v\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big)\big(\|\partial^{\ell-1}_X\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}+\|\partial^{\ell-1}_X\nabla^2X\|_{L^p}\big)\\ &+\|v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2}+\sum_{i+j\leq\ell-1}\big(\|v\otimes\partial^i_X\nabla\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2}+\|v\otimes\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big)R_{\ell-1}(t)\\ &+\|v\otimes(\partial^{\ell-1}_X\nabla\mathrm{v}^1-\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell)\|_{L^2}+C\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sigma^{-\big(1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\big)}\big(\mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t)+\mathfrak{A}^2_{\ell-1}(t)\big).\end{aligned}$$

However, due to

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^{\ell-1}_X\nabla\mathrm{v}^1-\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-2}\partial^i_X[\partial_X,\nabla]\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i-1}=&-\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-2}\partial^i_X(\nabla X\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i-1})\\ =&-\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-2}\sum_{m=0}^{i}C^m_i\partial^m_X(\nabla X)\cdot\partial^{i-m}_X\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i-1},\end{aligned}$$

we deduce from the commutative estimate (6.8) and Lemma 6.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|g^2_{\ell,0}\|_{L^2}\leq&\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2}+\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sigma^{-\big(1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\big)}+C\big(\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)+\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}\big)\\ &\times\sum_{i+j\leq\ell-1}\Big(\|v_t\|_{L^2}+\|v\|_{L^\infty}\big(\|\partial^i_X\nabla\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big)\Big)\\ \leq&\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2}+\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sigma^{-\big(1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\big)}\big(1+\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}\big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with the Estimate (6.37) ensures the second estimate of (6.33). This finishes the proof of Lemma 6.4. □

6.3. **Time-weighted H^1 energy estimate of v^ℓ.** In this subsection, we follow the same lines as that in Section 4 to derive the time-weighted H^1 estimate of v^ℓ. Similar to the beginning of Section 4, due to (2.39), we first decompose $(\mathrm{v}^\ell, \nabla\pi^\ell)$ as

$$\mathrm{v}^\ell = \mathrm{v}_{\ell 1} + \mathrm{v}_{\ell 2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla\pi^\ell = \nabla \mathrm{p}_{\ell 1} + \nabla \mathrm{p}_{\ell 2}, \tag{6.38}$$

with $(\mathrm{v}_{\ell 1}, \nabla \mathrm{p}_{\ell 1})$ and $(\mathrm{v}_{\ell 2}, \nabla \mathrm{p}_{\ell 2})$ solving the following systems respectively

$$\begin{cases} \rho\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{\ell 1} + \rho v\cdot\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell 1} - \Delta \mathrm{v}_{\ell 1} + \nabla \mathrm{p}_{\ell 1} = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathrm{v}_{\ell 1} = 0, \\ \mathrm{v}_{\ell 1}|_{t=0} = \partial^\ell_{X_0} v_0, \end{cases} \tag{6.39}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \rho\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{\ell 2} + \rho v\cdot\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell 2} - \Delta \mathrm{v}_{\ell 2} + \nabla \mathrm{p}_{\ell 2} = F_\ell(v,\pi), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathrm{v}_{\ell 2} = \operatorname{div} g_\ell, \\ \mathrm{v}_{\ell 2}|_{t=0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{6.40}$$

where $F_\ell(v,\pi)$ and g_ℓ are given by (2.40) and (6.28) respectively.

It follows from Proposition 3.3 that

$$\|\mathrm{v}_{\ell 1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_\ell})} + \|\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell 1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_\ell})} \leq C\|\partial^\ell_{X_0} v_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_\ell}} \exp\bigl(C\|v_0\|^4_{L^2}\bigr), \tag{6.41}$$

and

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell 1}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)} + \bigl\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{\ell 1}, \nabla^2 \mathrm{v}_{\ell 1}, \nabla \mathrm{p}_{\ell 1})\bigr\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \mathcal{C}(v_0, \partial^\ell_{X_0} v_0, s_\ell). \tag{6.42}$$

Proposition 6.1. *Let $A_{\ell 1}(t)$ be given by* (2.9). *Then under the assumptions of Proposition 2.5, we have*

$$A^2_{\ell 1}(t) \leq \mathcal{A}_\ell \exp\bigl(\mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle^2\bigr) + \mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\Bigl(1 + \int_0^t \sigma^{-\bigl(1-\frac{\theta_0}{2}\bigr)} \|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t')\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\, dt'\Bigr) \overset{def}{=} \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t). \tag{6.43}$$

Proof. We first deduce from Lemma 2.2 and (6.40) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell 2}\|^2_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(L^2)} + \bigl\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{\ell 2}, \nabla^2 \mathrm{v}_{\ell 2}, \nabla \mathrm{p}_{\ell 2})\bigr\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \\ &\quad\leq \mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle\Bigl(\|g_\ell(0)\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^{s_\ell-1}} + \bigl\|\sigma^{-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}(\partial_t g_\ell, F_\ell(v,\pi))\bigr\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{-\frac{1-(s_0-s_\ell)}{2}}\nabla g_\ell\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)} \\ &\qquad\qquad + \|\sigma^{-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla g_\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \bigl\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(\partial_t g_\ell, \nabla \operatorname{div} g_\ell, F_\ell(v,\pi))\bigr\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Bigr). \end{aligned} \tag{6.44}$$

In view of (6.34), we get, by applying the law of product in Besov spaces (see [5] for instance), that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_\ell(0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_\ell-1}} &\leq C\sum_{i,j,l\leq \ell-1} \|\mathrm{v}^i(0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_\ell-1}} \|\partial^j_{X_0}\nabla X_0\|^l_{\dot{B}^{\frac{2}{p}}_{p,1}} \\ &\leq C\sum_{i,j\leq \ell-1} \|\mathrm{v}^i(0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_\ell-1}} \|\partial^j_{X_0}\nabla X_0\|^l_{W^{1,p}} \\ &\leq C\bigl(\|v\|_{L^2\cap\mathcal{B}^{s_0}}, \cdots, \|\partial^{\ell-1}_{X_0} v_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_\ell-1}}, \|X_0\|_{W^{2,p}}, \cdots, \|\partial^{\ell-1}_{X_0} X_0\|_{W^{2,p}}\bigr) \leq \mathcal{A}_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

While it follows from Lemma 6.3 and $\theta_0 = s_{\ell-1} - s_\ell$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigl\|\sigma^{-\frac{s_\ell}{2}} F_\ell(v,\pi)\bigr\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)} + \bigl\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(\nabla \operatorname{div} g_\ell, F_\ell(v,\pi))\bigr\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \\ &\qquad\lesssim \mathcal{H}^2_{\ell-1}(t)\int_0^t \sigma^{-\bigl(1-\frac{\theta_0}{2}\bigr)}\bigl(1 + \|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\bigr)\, dt'. \end{aligned}$$

And Lemma 6.4 ensures that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_t g_\ell\big\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{-\frac{1-(s_0-s_\ell)}{2}}\nabla g_\ell\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla g_\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\partial_t g_\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&\lesssim\langle t\rangle\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_0}{2}}v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\int_0^t\sigma^{-\left(1-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\&\qquad+\mathcal{H}^2_{\ell-1}(t)\int_0^t\sigma^{-\left(1-\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)}\big(1+\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\big)\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}$$

Yet it follows from (6.38) that

$$\int_0^t\sigma^{-\left(1-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'\lesssim\langle t\rangle\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell1}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\int_0^t\sigma^{-\left(1-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell2}\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'.$$

This together with (6.42) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_t g_\ell\big\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{-\frac{1-(s_0-s_\ell)}{2}}\nabla g_\ell\|^2_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla g_\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\partial_t g_\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&\lesssim\mathcal{A}_\ell\langle t\rangle^2+\mathcal{A}_0\langle t\rangle\int_0^t\sigma^{-\left(1-\frac{s_0}{2}\right)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell2}\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\&\qquad+\mathcal{H}^2_{\ell-1}(t)\int_0^t\sigma^{-\left(1-\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)}\big(1+\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\big)\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above estimates into (6.44) and applying Gronwall's inequality gives rise to

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell2}\|^2_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(L^2)}+\big\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{\ell2},\nabla^2 \mathrm{v}_{\ell2},\nabla \mathrm{p}_{\ell2})\big\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t),\tag{6.45}$$

for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t)$ given by (6.43).

Let us now turn to the L^2 energy estimate of v^ℓ. Similar to the derivation of (4.18), we get, by taking $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ inner product of (6.40) with $\mathrm{v}_{\ell2}$ and making use of the fact: $\operatorname{div}\mathrm{v}_{\ell2}=\operatorname{div}g_\ell$, that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathrm{v}_{\ell2}\|^2_{L^2}+\|\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell2}\|^2_{L^2}&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}F_\ell(v,\pi)|(\mathrm{v}_{\ell2}-g_\ell)\,\mathrm{d}x\\&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\rho\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{\ell2}+\rho v\cdot\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell2}-\Delta \mathrm{v}_{\ell2})|g_\ell\,\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}\tag{6.46}$$

By virtue of Lemmas 6.3 and 6.4, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}F_\ell(v,\pi)|(\mathrm{v}_{\ell2}-g_\ell)\,\mathrm{d}x\Big|&\leq\sigma^{1-s_\ell}\|F_\ell(v,\pi)\|^2_{L^2}+\sigma^{-(1-s_\ell)}\|\mathrm{v}_{\ell2}\|^2_{L^2}+\sigma^{-(1-s_\ell)}\|g_\ell\|^2_{L^2}\\&\leq\sigma^{-(1-s_\ell)}\|\mathrm{v}_{\ell2}\|^2_{L^2}+\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sigma^{-(1-\theta_0)}\big(1+\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\rho\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{\ell2}+\rho v\cdot\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell2}-\Delta \mathrm{v}_{\ell2})|g_\ell\,\mathrm{d}x\Big|&\lesssim\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{\ell2},\Delta \mathrm{v}_{\ell2})\|^2_{L^2}\\&\quad+C\|v\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell2}\|^2_{L^2}+\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\sigma^{-(1-s_\ell)}\big(1+\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above inequalities into (6.46) and then applying Gronwall's inequality to the resulting inequality, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathrm{v}_{\ell2}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell2}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq C\exp\left(\langle t\rangle\right)\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(\partial_t \mathrm{v}_{\ell2},\Delta \mathrm{v}_{\ell2})\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&\quad+\|v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell2}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\int_0^t\sigma^{-(1-\theta_0)}\big(1+\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\big)\,dt'\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with Corollary 2.1 and (6.45) ensures that

$$\|\mathrm{v}_{\ell 2}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}+\|\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\ell 2}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t). \tag{6.47}$$

By summing up (6.41), (6.42), (6.45) and (6.47), we conclude the proof of (6.43). □

Corollary 6.1. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 6.1, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{p}}\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}&+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell,\nabla\partial_X^\ell\nabla v,D_t\partial_X^{\ell-1}\Delta X)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{p}}(\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell,\partial_X^\ell\nabla v,D_t\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\leq\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t).\end{aligned} \tag{6.48}$$

Proof. We first deduce from Proposition 6.1 and the 2-D interpolation inequality (2.20) that

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{p}}\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\leq C\Big(\|\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^{\frac{2}{p}}_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\Big)^2\leq\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t).$$

It follows from Corollary 2.1 and Proposition 6.1 that

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\partial_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\leq\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t).$$

While it is easy to observe from (2.30) that

$$\nabla\partial_X^\ell\nabla v=\nabla\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\partial_X^i[\partial_X;\nabla]\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}+\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell=-\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\nabla\partial_X^i(\nabla X\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i})+\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell,$$

from which, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(\nabla\partial_X^\ell\nabla v-\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}&\lesssim\big(\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla^2 v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\big)\\&\quad\times\int_0^t\sigma^{-(1-\theta_0)}\big(\|\nabla\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\|^2_{L^p}+\|\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\|^2_{L^\infty}\big)\,\mathrm{d}t'\\&\quad+\langle t\rangle\sum_{l\leq\ell-2,i+j\leq\ell-1}\Big(\|\nabla\partial_X^l\nabla X\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^i\nabla\mathrm{v}^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\\&\qquad+\|\partial_X^l\nabla X\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\nabla\partial_X^i\nabla\mathrm{v}^j\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we deduce from Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, and Proposition 6.1 that

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\partial_X^\ell\nabla v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t). \tag{6.49}$$

Next due to $D_t\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}=\mathrm{v}^\ell$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}D_t\partial_X^{\ell-1}\Delta X&=D_t\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-2}\partial_X^i[\partial_X;\Delta]\mathrm{X}^{\ell-2-i}+D_t\Delta\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\\&=-\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-2}D_t\partial_X^i\big(\Delta X\cdot\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-2-i}+2\partial_\alpha X\cdot\nabla\partial_\alpha\mathrm{X}^{\ell-2-i}\big)\\&\quad+\Delta\mathrm{v}^\ell-\big(\Delta v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}+2\partial_\alpha v\cdot\nabla\partial_\alpha\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\big),\end{aligned}$$

and it thus comes out

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(D_t\partial_X^{\ell-1}\Delta X-\Delta \mathrm{v}^\ell)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2\\
&\lesssim\langle t\rangle\sum_{m+n+\kappa+l\le\ell-2}\Big(\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}D_t\partial_X^m\nabla^2\mathrm{X}^n\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2\|\partial_X^\kappa\nabla\mathrm{X}^l\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}^2\\
&\qquad\qquad+\|\partial_X^m\nabla^2\mathrm{X}^n\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}^2\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}D_t\partial_X^\kappa\nabla\mathrm{X}^l\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}^2\Big)\\
&\quad+\Big(\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\nabla^2 v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}^2+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}^2\Big)\int_0^t\sigma^{-(1-\theta_0)}\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}^2\,\mathrm{d}t',
\end{aligned}$$

from which and Lemma 6.2, Proposition 6.1, we infer that

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}D_t\partial_X^{\ell-1}\Delta X\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2\le\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t).$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (6.43), we get from (2.20) that

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{p}}\nabla \mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}^2\le C\Big(\|\nabla \mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^{\frac{2}{p}}\Big)^2\le\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t).\tag{6.50}$$

Then, due to

$$\partial_X^\ell\nabla v=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\partial_X^i[\partial_X;\nabla]\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}+\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell=-\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\partial_X^i(\nabla X\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i})+\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell,$$

we have (noticing $p>2$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{p}}(\partial_X^\ell\nabla v-\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell)\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}^2&\le\int_0^t\sigma^{-1+s_0-\frac{2}{p}s_\ell}\|\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'\|\sigma^{\frac12+\frac1p-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}^2\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle\sum_{m\le\ell-2,i+j\le\ell-1}\|\partial_X^m\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}^2\|\sigma^{\frac12+\frac1p-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^i\nabla\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with Lemma 6.2 and (6.50) ensures that (6.50) also holds for $\partial_X^\ell\nabla v$.

Finally observing from (2.4) and (2.30) that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_t\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X&=D_t\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-2}\partial_X^i[\partial_X;\nabla]\mathrm{X}^{\ell-2-i}+D_t\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\\
&=-\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-2}D_t\partial_X^i(\nabla X\cdot\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-2-i})+\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell-\nabla v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1},
\end{aligned}$$

we infer from Lemma 6.2 and (6.50)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{p}}(D_t\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X-\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell)\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}^2\\
&\le\int_0^t\sigma^{-1+s_0-\frac{2}{p}s_\ell}\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{L^\infty}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'\|\sigma^{\frac12+\frac1p-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}^2\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle\sum_{m+n+\kappa+l\le\ell-2}\|\sigma^{\frac12+\frac1p-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^m D_t\nabla\mathrm{X}^n\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}^2\|\partial_X^\kappa\nabla\mathrm{X}^l\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}^2\le\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (6.48). □

7. Energy estimate of $D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell$

The goal of this section is to derive the time-weighted H^1 energy estimate for $D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell$. To this end, for $F_{\ell D}$ given by (2.42), we denote

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{F}_{\ell D} \overset{\text{def}}{=} & F_{\ell D} + 2\partial_\alpha v\cdot\nabla\partial_\alpha \mathrm{v}^\ell + \Delta v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell - \nabla v\cdot\nabla\pi^\ell + \rho^\ell D_t^2 v\\ & + 2D_t(\partial_X^{\ell-1}\partial_\alpha X\cdot\nabla\partial_\alpha v) + D_t(\partial_X^{\ell-1}\Delta X\cdot\nabla v) - D_t(\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\cdot\nabla\pi).\end{aligned}\tag{7.1}$$

Then by (2.27) and (2.42), we find

$$\begin{aligned}|\widetilde{F}_{\ell D}| \lesssim & \sum_{i+j\le\ell-1,l\le\ell-2}\Big(|D_t^2\mathrm{v}^i| + |\partial_X^l D_t\nabla X|\big(|\partial_X^i\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^j| + |\partial_X^i\nabla\pi^j|\big)\\ & + |\partial_X^l\nabla X|\big(|\partial_X^i D_t\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^j| + |\partial_X^i D_t\nabla\pi^j|\big) + |\partial_X^l D_t\Delta X||\partial_X^i\nabla\mathrm{v}^j| + |\partial_X^l\Delta X||\partial_X^i D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^j|\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with (6.5), (6.6) and Lemma 6.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde{F}_{\ell D}\|_{L^2}^2 \le & \mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}^2(t)\sum_{i+j\le\ell-1}\Big(\|(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^i,\partial_X^i D_t\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^j,\partial_X^i D_t\nabla\pi^j)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_X^i D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}^2\Big)\\ & + C\sum_{i+j\le\ell-1,l\le\ell-2}\|(\partial_X^l D_t\nabla X,\partial_X^i\nabla\mathrm{v}^j)\|_{L^\infty}^2\|(\partial_X^i\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^j,\partial_X^i\nabla\pi^j,\partial_X^l D_t\Delta X)\|_{L^2}^2\\ \le & \mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}^2(t)\Big(\sigma(t)^{-(3-s_{\ell-1})}\mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t) + \sigma(t)^{-(4-2s_{\ell-1})}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we deduce from (7.1) and Lemma 6.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}F_{\ell D}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \le & \mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}^2(t) + C\|\sigma\nabla v\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}^2\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell,\nabla\pi^\ell,D_t\partial_X^{\ell-1}\Delta X)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2\\ & + C\|\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}(\nabla^2 v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}^2\|\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}}(\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell,D_t\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X)\|_{L_t^2(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}^2\\ & + C\int_0^t\big(\|(\rho^\ell,\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\partial_X^{\ell-1}\Delta X\|_{L^p}^2\big)\\ & \quad\times\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}(D_t^2 v,D_t\nabla^2 v,D_t\nabla\pi)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}D_t\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}^2\Big)\,\mathrm{d}t'.\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (2.27), Corollary 3.4, Proposition 6.1 and Corollary 6.1, we arrive at

Lemma 7.1. *For $\ell = 2,\cdots,k$, there holds*

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}F_{\ell D}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \le \mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}^2(t)\Big(\mathcal{A}_\ell + \int_0^t\big(\mathfrak{B}_0(t') + \sigma(t')^{-(1-\frac{\theta_0}{2})}\big)\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t')\|_{W^{1,p}}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big),$$

for $\mathfrak{B}_0(t)$ given by (2.36).

Let the operator $\mathcal{E}_X$ be given by (6.27). Then in view of (5.2), we have

$$\mathfrak{a} \overset{\text{def}}{=} D_t v\cdot\nabla X + \mathcal{E}_X(v\cdot\nabla v) \quad\text{and}\quad \operatorname{div} D_t\mathrm{v}^1 = \operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a}.\tag{7.2}$$

Inductively, let us assume that $\operatorname{div} D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1} = \operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a}_{\ell-1}$ for $\ell\ge 2$. We then deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div} D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell = \operatorname{div}(\partial_X D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}) &= \operatorname{div}\big(D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}\cdot\nabla X + X\operatorname{div} D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}\big)\\ &= \operatorname{div}\big(D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}\cdot\nabla X + \mathcal{E}_X\mathfrak{a}_{\ell-1}\big).\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\mathfrak{a}_\ell \overset{\text{def}}{=} D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}\cdot\nabla X + \mathcal{E}_X\mathfrak{a}_{\ell-1} \quad\text{and}\quad \operatorname{div} D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell = \operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a}_\ell.\tag{7.3}$$

We thus get by induction that for $\ell \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{a}_\ell &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{E}_X^i (D_t \mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i} \cdot \nabla X) + \mathcal{E}_X^\ell (v \cdot \nabla v) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_r=i} \partial_X^{i_1}(D_t \mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i} \cdot \nabla X) \cdot \partial_X^{i_2}(-\nabla X)^{i_3} \cdots \partial_X^{i_{r-1}}(-\nabla X)^{i_r} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_l=\ell, j_1\neq \ell} \partial_X^{j_1}(v \cdot \nabla v) \cdot \partial_X^{j_2}(-\nabla X)^{j_3} \cdots \partial_X^{j_{l-1}}(-\nabla X)^{j_l} + \partial_X^\ell (v \cdot \nabla v).\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

The main result concerning the estimate of $\mathfrak{a}_\ell$ is as follows:

Lemma 7.2. *For $\ell = 2, \cdots, k$, let $\mathfrak{a}_\ell$ be given by* (7.3)*. Then there holds*

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}} \mathfrak{a}_\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2 + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}} \nabla \mathfrak{a}_\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2 + \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}} \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathfrak{a}_\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2 \leq \mathfrak{G}_{\ell,X}(t). \tag{7.5}$$

Here and in all that follows, for $\mathfrak{B}_\ell(t)$ determined by (6.7)*, we always designate*

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\ell,X}(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}^2(t)\Big(\mathcal{A}_\ell + \int_0^t \big(\mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t') + \sigma(t')^{-(1-\frac{\theta_0}{2})}\big)\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t')\|_{W^{1,p}}^2 \,\mathrm{d}t'\Big). \tag{7.6}$$

Proof. Let

$$\mathfrak{z}_\ell \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{a}_\ell - \mathrm{v}^\ell \cdot \nabla v - v \cdot \partial_X^\ell \nabla v. \tag{7.7}$$

Then we deduce from Lemma 6.2 and (7.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{z}_\ell(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \big(\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t) + \|\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}\big)\sigma(t)^{-\big(1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\big)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i+j\leq \ell-1} \big(\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}} D_t \mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^2} + \|\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^\infty}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^j \nabla v\|_{L^2}\big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with Lemma 6.2 implies

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}} \mathfrak{z}_\ell(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}^2(t)\sigma(t)^{-(1-\theta_0)}\big(1 + \|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}^2\big). \tag{7.8}$$

To handle the estimate of $\nabla \mathfrak{z}_\ell$, by virtue of (7.4) and (7.7), we write

$$\nabla \mathfrak{z}_\ell = \mathfrak{Z}_{\ell,1} + \mathfrak{Z}_{\ell,2} + \mathfrak{Z}_{\ell,3} + \sum_{\substack{i+j=\ell \\ i\neq\ell, j\neq\ell}} \nabla(\mathrm{v}^i \cdot \partial_X^j \nabla v),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{Z}_{\ell,1} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_r=i-1} \Big(&\partial_X^{i_1}\big(\nabla X \cdot \nabla \partial_X^{i_2}(D_t \mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i} \cdot \nabla X)\big) \cdots \partial_X^{i_{r-1}}(-\nabla X)^{i_r}, \\ &+ \partial_X^{i_1}(D_t \mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i} \cdot \nabla X) \cdots \partial_X^{i_{m-1}}\big(\nabla X \cdot \nabla \partial_X^{i_m}(-\nabla X)^{i_{m+1}}\big) \cdots \partial_X^{i_{r-1}}(-\nabla X)^{i_r} \\ &+ \partial_X^{i_1}(D_t \mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i} \cdot \nabla X) \cdot \partial_X^{i_2}(-\nabla X)^{i_3} \cdots \partial_X^{i_m}\nabla(-\nabla X) \cdots \partial_X^{i_{r-1}}(-\nabla X)^{i_r}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{Z}_{\ell,2}\overset{\text{def}}{=}&\sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_l=\ell-1}\Big(\partial_X^{j_1}\big(\nabla X\cdot\nabla\partial_X^{j_2}(v\cdot\nabla v)\big)\cdots\partial_X^{j_{l-1}}(-\nabla X)^{j_l}\\&+\partial_X^{j_1}(v\cdot\nabla v)\cdots\partial_X^{j_{n-1}}\big(\nabla X\cdot\nabla\partial_X^{j_n}(-\nabla X)^{j_{n+1}}\big)\cdots\partial_X^{j_{l-1}}(-\nabla X)^{j_l}\\&+\partial_X^{j_1}(v\cdot\nabla v)\cdot\partial_X^{j_2}(-\nabla X)^{j_3}\cdots\partial_X^{j_n}\nabla(-\nabla X)\cdots\partial_X^{j_{l-1}}(-\nabla X)^{j_l}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{Z}_{\ell,3}\overset{\text{def}}{=}&\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_r=i}\partial_X^{i_1}\nabla(D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X)\cdot\partial_X^{i_2}(-\nabla X)^{i_3}\cdots\partial_X^{i_{r-1}}(-\nabla X)^{i_r}\\&+\sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_l=\ell,j_1\neq\ell}\partial_X^{j_1}\nabla(v\cdot\nabla v)\cdot\partial_X^{j_2}(-\nabla X)^{j_3}\cdots\partial_X^{j_{l-1}}(-\nabla X)^{j_l}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\mathfrak{z}_\ell(t)\|_{L^2}\leq&\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)(1+\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}})\sum_{i+j,l\leq\ell-1}\Big(\|\partial_X^i\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2}+\|D_t\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\\&+\sigma(t)^{-\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^i\nabla\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^\infty}\big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^l\nabla v\|_{L^2}+\|\sigma^{\frac12}\mathrm{v}^l\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big)\\&+\sigma(t)^{-\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\|\sigma^{\frac12}\mathrm{v}^l\|_{L^\infty}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla v\|_{L^2}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

from which and Lemma 6.2, we infer that

$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{z}_\ell(t)\|_{L^2}^2\leq\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}^2(t)(1+\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}^2)\big(\mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t)+\sigma(t)^{-(1-\theta_0)}\big).\tag{7.9}$$

Taking into account of (7.7), we deduce from (7.8) and (7.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\mathfrak{a}_\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2\leq&\|\sigma^{\frac1p}\partial_X^\ell\nabla v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\|\sigma^{\frac12-\frac1p-\frac{s_0}{2}}v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\\&+\|\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^\infty)}+\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}^2(t)\Big(1+\int_0^t\sigma(t')^{-(1-\theta_0)}\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t')\|_{W^{1,p}}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{a}_\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2\leq&\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{p}}(\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell,\partial_X^\ell\nabla v)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\|\sigma^{1-\frac1p-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\\&+\|\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^4_t(L^4)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla^2v\|^2_{L^4_t(L^4)}+\|\sigma^{\frac12}v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\partial_X^\ell\nabla v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}^2(t)\Big(1+\int_0^t\big(\mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t')+\sigma(t')^{-(1-\theta_0)}\big)\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t')\|_{W^{1,p}}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Together with Corollary 2.1, Proposition 6.1 and Corollary 6.1, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\mathfrak{a}_\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{a}_\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&\qquad\leq\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}^2(t)\Big(\mathcal{A}_\ell+\int_0^t\big(\mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t')+\sigma(t')^{-(1-\frac{\theta_0}{2})}\big)\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t')\|_{W^{1,p}}^2\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{7.10}$$

Finally let us turn to the estimate of $\nabla\mathrm{div}\,\mathfrak{a}_\ell$. Indeed due to $\mathrm{div}\,\mathcal{E}_X f=\partial_X\mathrm{div}\,f$ and (7.4), we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{div}\,\mathfrak{a}_\ell&=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\partial_X^i\mathrm{div}\,(D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X)+\partial_X^\ell\mathrm{div}\,(v\cdot\nabla v)\\&=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\partial_X^i(\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}:\nabla X)+\partial_X^\ell(\nabla v:\nabla v),\end{aligned}$$

so that it comes out

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\,\mathrm{div}\,\mathfrak{a}_\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}&\le\Big(\int_0^t\big(\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla^2D_tv\|_{L^2}^2+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla D_tv\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}^2\big)\|\partial_X^{\ell-1}\nabla X\|_{W^{1,p}}^2\,dt'\Big)^{\frac12}\\&+CR_{\ell-1}(t)\sum_{i+j\le\ell-1}\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\partial_X^i\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^i\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\Big)\\&+\|\sigma^{\frac32-\frac1p-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla^2v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\|\sigma^{\frac1p}\partial_X^\ell\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}+\|\sigma\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\partial_X^\ell\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\&+\sum_{i,j\le\ell-1}\|\sigma^{\frac32-\frac1p-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\nabla\partial_X^i\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\|\sigma^{\frac12+\frac1p}\partial_X^j\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\|\sigma^{-\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\|_{L^2([0,t])},\end{aligned}$$

Then by virtue of (7.10) and Corollary 3.4, Lemma 6.2, (6.8), Proposition 6.1 and Corollary 6.1, we complete the proof of (7.5). □

In view of Lemma 2.1, to close the time-weighted H^1 energy estimate of $D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell$, we also need the estimate of $\mathrm{div}\,D_t^2\mathrm{v}^\ell$. Indeed taking into account of (5.3), we inductively assume that $\mathrm{div}\,D_t^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}=\mathrm{div}\,\mathfrak{b}_{\ell-1}$, then one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{div}\,D_t^2\mathrm{v}^\ell=\mathrm{div}\,(\partial_XD_t^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1})&=\mathrm{div}\,\big(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}\cdot\nabla X+X\mathrm{div}\,D_t^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}\big)\\&=\mathrm{div}\,\big(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}\cdot\nabla X+\mathcal{E}_X\mathfrak{b}_{\ell-1}\big)\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=}\mathrm{div}\,\mathfrak{b}_\ell.\end{aligned}\tag{7.11}$$

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\mathfrak{b}_\ell$ be given by* (7.11). *Then for $\ell=2,\cdots,k$, one has*

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}\mathfrak{b}_\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2\le C\varepsilon\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}^2+C_\varepsilon\mathfrak{G}_{\ell,X}(t),\tag{7.12}$$

with $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell,X}(t)$ given by (7.6).

Proof. For $\mathfrak{b}_0$ given by (2.23), we thus get, by using induction to (7.11), that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{b}_\ell&=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\mathcal{E}_X^i(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X)+\mathcal{E}_X^\ell\mathfrak{b}_0\\&=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_r=i}\partial_X^{i_1}(D_t^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i}\cdot\nabla X)\cdots\partial_X^{i_r-1}(-\nabla X)^{i_r}+\sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_l=\ell}\partial_X^{j_1}\mathfrak{b}_0\cdots\partial_X^{j_l-1}(-\nabla X)^{j_l}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to observe from (2.23) that

$$\mathfrak{b}_0=v\cdot(\nabla v_t+D_t\nabla v)+D_tv\cdot\nabla v=2v\cdot D_t\nabla v-v\cdot\nabla(v\cdot\nabla v)+D_tv\cdot\nabla v,$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}\mathfrak{b}_\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq \int_0^t \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}(D_t^2 v, \mathfrak{b}_0)\big\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|^2_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}t'\\
&+2\|\sigma^{\frac12}v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^\ell D_t\nabla v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&+C\|\sigma^{\frac1p}\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\|\sigma^{\frac32-\frac1p-\frac{s_0}{2}}(D_t\nabla v, \nabla(v\otimes\nabla v))\|^2_{L^2_t(L^p)}\\
&+\|\sigma^{\frac1p}\partial_X^\ell\nabla v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\|\sigma^{\frac32-\frac1p-\frac{s_0}{2}}(v\otimes\nabla v, D_t v)\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\\
&+C(1+R_{\ell-1}(t))^2\sum_{i,j\leq\ell-1}\Big(\langle t\rangle\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^i\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma\partial_X^j\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\\
&+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^i\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma\mathrm{v}^i\|^2_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}(\partial_X^j\nabla(v\cdot\nabla v), D_t\partial_X^j\nabla v)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.13}
$$

Noticing that

$$
\partial_X^\ell D_t\nabla v = \partial_X^\ell[D_t;\nabla]v + \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\partial_X^i[\partial_X;\nabla]D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1-i} + \nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell,
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^\ell D_t\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq&\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2_t(L^2)} + 2\|\sigma^{\frac1p}\partial_X^\ell\nabla v\|_{L^2_t(L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}})}\|\sigma^{1-\frac1p-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\\
&+\Big(\int_0^t\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla D_t v\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|^2_{L^\infty}\,dt'\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&+\sum_{i,j\leq\ell-1}\|\sigma\partial_X^i\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^j\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\|\sigma^{-\frac{1-\theta_0}{2}}\|_{L^2([0,t])}\\
&+C(R_{\ell-1}(t)+R^2_{\ell-1}(t))\sum_{i+j\leq\ell-1}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^i\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^j\|_{L^2_t(L^2)},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, Corollary 2.1, Lemma 6.2 and Corollary 6.1, we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^\ell D_t\nabla v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq&\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&+\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\ell,X}(t) + \int_0^t\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla D_t v\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|^2_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}t'.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.14}
$$

Yet for any $\varepsilon>0$, we get, by applying (3.35) to the equation (2.41), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq&C\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \varepsilon\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\\
&+C_\varepsilon\left(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\mathfrak{a}_\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{a}_\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + \|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}F_{\ell D}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting the above estimate into (7.14) and using Corollary 6.1, Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2, we achieve

$$
\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^\ell D_t\nabla v\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} \leq\varepsilon\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)} + C_\varepsilon\mathfrak{G}_{\ell,X}(t).
\tag{7.15}
$$

Finally, we notice that

$$\sum_{j\leq\ell-1}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^j\nabla(v\cdot\nabla v)\|_{L^2_t(L^2)}\leq C\langle t\rangle^{\frac12}\sum_{i+l\leq\ell-1}\Big(\|\sigma^{\frac12}\mathrm{v}^i\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^l\nabla^2 v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}$$
$$+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^i\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac12}\partial_X^l\nabla v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\Big).$$

Substituting the above inequality and (7.15) into (7.13) and using Corollaries 2.1 and 3.4, Lemma 6.2, Corollary 6.1, we conclude the proof of (7.12). □

Proposition 7.1. *Let $A_{\ell1}(t), A_{\ell2}(t)$ be given by* (2.9). *Then under the assumptions of Proposition 2.5, we have*

$$A_{\ell1}(t)+A_{\ell2}(t)+\|\mathrm{X}\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})}\leq\mathcal{H}_\ell(t). \tag{7.16}$$

Proof. We first get, by applying Lemma 2.1 to the System (2.41), that

$$A^2_{\ell2}(t)\leq\mathcal{A}_0\big(\|\sigma^{\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}(D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell,\mathfrak{a}_\ell)\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\mathfrak{a}_\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}(\nabla\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{a}_\ell,\mathfrak{b}_\ell,F_{\ell D})\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\big),$$

from which, Proposition 6.1, Corollary 6.1 and Lemmas 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3, we infer

$$A^2_{\ell1}(t)+A^2_{\ell2}(t)\leq C\varepsilon\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_\ell}{2}}D_t^2\mathrm{v}^\ell\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}+C_\varepsilon\mathfrak{G}_{\ell,X}(t),$$

for $A_{\ell1}(t), A_{\ell2}(t)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell,X}(t)$ given by (2.9) and (7.12) respectively. Taking ε so small that $C\varepsilon\leq\frac12$ ensures

$$A^2_{\ell1}(t)+A^2_{\ell2}(t)\leq\mathfrak{G}_{\ell,X}(t). \tag{7.17}$$

By virtue of (7.17), we get, by applying (2.20), that for any $r\in[2,\infty[$

$$\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}_t(L^r)}\leq\mathfrak{G}^{\frac12}_{\ell,X}(t),$$

which together with the fact: $p<2/(1-s_\ell)$, ensures that

$$\|D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^1_t(L^p)}=\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}_t(L^p)}\|\sigma^{-1+\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p+2}}([0,t])}\leq\mathfrak{G}^{\frac12}_{\ell,X}(t). \tag{7.18}$$

On the other hand, taking into account of (6.21) and $\mathrm{v}^\ell=X\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}$, we get, by using the L^p type energy estimate and Lemma 6.2, that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t)\|_{L^p}\leq&\|\partial^{\ell-1}_{X_0}X_0\|_{L^p}+\|\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^1_t(L^p)}\\ \leq&\|\partial^{\ell-1}_{X_0}X_0\|_{L^p}+\langle t\rangle\|X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-1}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\leq\mathcal{A}_\ell+\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t).\end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

Applying Δ to (6.21) gives

$$\partial_t\Delta\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}+v\cdot\nabla\Delta\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}=-\Delta v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}-2\partial_\alpha v\cdot\nabla\partial_\alpha\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}+\Delta\mathrm{v}^\ell,$$

from which, we infer

$$\|\Delta\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}(t)\|_{L^p}\leq\|\Delta\partial^{\ell-1}_{X_0}X_0\|_{L^p}+\int_0^t(\|\Delta v\|_{L^p}+\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'+\|\Delta\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^1_t(L^p)}.$$

Summing up the above inequality with (7.19), and then applying Gronwall's inequality to the resulting inequality and using Corollary 2.1, we achieve

$$\|\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})}\leq\exp\big(\mathcal{A}_0t\big)\big(\mathcal{A}_\ell+\mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)+\|\Delta\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^1_t(L^p)}\big),$$

which together with the second inequality of (6.30) ensures that

$$\|\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})} \leq \mathcal{H}_{\ell-1}(t)\Big(\mathcal{A}_\ell + \|D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^1_t(L^p)} + \int_0^t \sigma^{-\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_\ell}{2}\right)}\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big).$$

Inserting (7.18) into the above inequality and using the fact that (noticing also $\frac{1}{p} > \frac{1-s_\ell}{2}$)

$$\int_0^t \sigma^{-(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2})}\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t' \leq \langle t\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int_0^t \sigma^{-(1-\frac{\theta_0}{2})}\|\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|^2_{W^{1,p}}\,\mathrm{d}t'\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we infer

$$\|\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|^2_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})} \leq \mathfrak{G}_{\ell,X}(t).$$

Taking into account of the definition of $\mathfrak{G}_{\ell,X}(t)$ given by (7.6), we get, by applying Gronwall's inequality and Lemma 6.2, that

$$\|\mathrm{X}^{\ell-1}\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})} \leq \mathcal{H}_\ell(t). \tag{7.20}$$

Substituting (7.20) into (7.17) leads to (7.16). This completes the proof of Proposition 7.1. □

With Proposition 7.1, to complete the proof of Proposition 2.5, it remains to prove that

$$\|\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_\ell})} + \|\nabla \mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2_t(\mathcal{B}^{s_\ell})} \leq \mathcal{H}_\ell(t). \tag{7.21}$$

The proof of (7.21) follows exactly the same argument as those in proofs of Propositions 3.3 and 5.2. It is quite involved but does not contain new ideas. We skip the details here.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 6.1

In this appendix we present the proof of Lemma 6.1, which basically follows the same arguments as the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [21].

Let us first present some identities which will be used in what follows. Let (m,n) be nonnegative integer pair such that $m+n \leq \ell-1$, f be a smooth enough function. Then it follows from (2.30) that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla\partial_X^{\ell-m-1}f - \nabla\partial_X^\ell f &= \sum_{\kappa=0}^m \partial_X^\kappa[\partial_X;\nabla]\partial_X^{\ell-\kappa-1}f\\ &= -\sum_{\kappa=0}^m\sum_{l=0}^\kappa C_\kappa^l \partial_X^l\nabla X_\alpha\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\partial_\alpha\partial_X^{\ell-\kappa-1}f;\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla^2\partial_X^{\ell-m-1}f - \nabla^2\partial_X^\ell f = \sum_{\kappa=0}^m \partial_X^\kappa[\partial_X;\nabla^2]\partial_X^{\ell-\kappa-1}f\\ &= -\sum_{\kappa=0}^m\sum_{l=0}^\kappa C_\kappa^l\big(\partial_X^l\nabla^2 X_\alpha\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\partial_\alpha\partial_X^{\ell-\kappa-1}f + 2\partial_X^l\nabla X_\alpha\,\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla\partial_\alpha\partial_X^{\ell-\kappa-1}f\big);\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_X^m\nabla\partial_X^{n+1}\nabla\partial_X^{\ell-m-n-1}f-\partial_X^m\nabla^2\partial_X^{\ell-m}f&=\sum_{\kappa=0}^{n}\partial_X^m\nabla\partial_X^{\kappa}[\partial_X;\nabla]\partial_X^{\ell-m-\kappa-1}f\\&=-\sum_{\kappa=0}^{n}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}C_\kappa^l\partial_X^m\nabla\big(\partial_X^l\nabla X_\alpha\,\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\partial_\alpha\partial_X^{\ell-m-\kappa-1}f\big)\\&=-\sum_{q=0}^{m}\sum_{\kappa=0}^{n}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}C_m^qC_\kappa^l\Big(\partial_X^q\nabla\partial_X^l\nabla X_\alpha\,\partial_X^{\kappa-l+m-q}\partial_\alpha\partial_X^{\ell-m-\kappa-1}f\\&\qquad+\partial_X^{l+q}\nabla X_\alpha\partial_X^{m-q}\nabla\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\partial_\alpha\partial_X^{\ell-m-\kappa-1}f\Big);\end{aligned}\tag{A.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla\partial_X^{n}\nabla\partial_X^{\ell-m-n-1}f-\nabla\partial_X^{m+n+1}\nabla\partial_X^{\ell-m-n-1}f&=\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\partial_X^{\kappa}[\partial_X;\nabla]\partial_X^{m-\kappa+n}\nabla\partial_X^{\ell-m-n-1}f\\&=\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}C_\kappa^l\partial_X^l\nabla X_\alpha\,\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\partial_\alpha\partial_X^{m-\kappa+n}\nabla\partial_X^{\ell-m-n-1}f.\end{aligned}\tag{A.4}$$

A.1. **Proof of** (6.8)**.** We shall first present the estimate to the second term in (6.8). It is easy to observe that (6.8) holds trivially when $i+j=0$. Suppose by induction that (6.8) is valid for any pair of nonnegative integers (i,j) with $i+j\le\ell-1$, and it suffices to show (6.8) in the following two cases:

$$i\le\ell-1,\ j\le\ell\text{ such that }i+j\le\ell\quad\text{ or }\quad i\le\ell,\ j\le\ell-1\text{ such that }i+j\le\ell.$$

• Case $i\le\ell-1$, $j\le\ell$ with $i+j\le\ell$.

We first deduce from (A.3) and (6.2) that for nonnegative integers $m,n\le\ell-1$ with $m+n\le\ell-1$

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\partial_X^m\nabla\partial_X^{n+1}\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-m-n-1}-\partial_X^m\nabla^2\mathrm{X}^{\ell-m}\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}\\&\quad\le C\sum_{q=0}^{m}\sum_{\kappa=0}^{n}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}\Big(\big\|\partial_X^q\nabla\partial_X^l\nabla X\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}\big\|\partial_X^{\kappa-l+m-q}\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-m-\kappa-1}\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\\&\qquad\qquad+\|\partial_X^{l+q}\nabla X\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\big\|\partial_X^{m-q}\nabla\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-m-\kappa-1}X\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}\Big)\\&\quad\le C\sum_{l\le\ell-1}R_{l+1}(t)R_{\ell-l}(t)\le CR_\ell^2(t).\end{aligned}$$

This in turn shows that for any pair of nonnegative integers (i,j) with $i\le\ell-1$, $j\le\ell$, $i+j\le\ell$,

$$\big\|\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-i-j}-\partial_X^i\nabla^2\mathrm{X}^{\ell-i}\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}\le CR_\ell^2(t).\tag{A.5}$$

Taking $i=0$ in (A.5) leads to

$$\big\|\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla\mathrm{X}^{\ell-j}-\nabla^2\mathrm{X}^{\ell}\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}\le CR_\ell^2(t),\quad\forall\ j\le\ell.\tag{A.6}$$

• Case $i\le\ell$, $j\le\ell-1$ with $i+j\le\ell$.

It follows from (A.4) that for nonnegative integer pair (m,n) satisfying $m+n\leq \ell-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla\partial_X^n\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-m-n-1}-\nabla\partial_X^{m+n+1}\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-m-n-1}\big\|_{L^p}\\&\qquad\leq\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}C_\kappa^l\big\|\partial_X^l\nabla X\big\|_{L^\infty}\big\|\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla\partial_X^{m-\kappa+n}\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-m-n-1}\big\|_{L^p}\leq CR_\ell^2(t).\end{aligned}$$

This together with (A.6) ensures that for (i,j) satisfying $i\leq\ell$, $j\leq\ell-1$, and $i+j\leq\ell$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i-j}-\nabla^2 \mathrm{X}^\ell\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\leq&\big\|\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i-j}-\nabla\partial_X^{i+j}\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i-j}\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\\&+\big\|\nabla\partial_X^{i+j}\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i-j}-\nabla^2 \mathrm{X}^\ell\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\leq CR_\ell^2(t).\end{aligned}\tag{A.7}$$

Taking $j=0$ in (A.7) gives rise to

$$\big\|\partial_X^i\nabla^2 \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i}-\nabla^2 \mathrm{X}^\ell\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\leq CR_\ell^2(t),\quad\forall\, i\leq\ell.\tag{A.8}$$

Combining (A.5) with (A.8), we obtain for (i,j) satisfying $i\leq\ell-1$, $j\leq\ell$, and $i+j\leq\ell$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i-j}-\nabla^2 \mathrm{X}^\ell\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\leq&\big\|\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i-j}-\partial_X^i\nabla^2 \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i}\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\\&+\big\|\partial_X^i\nabla^2 \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i}-\nabla^2 \mathrm{X}^\ell\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\leq CR_\ell^2(t),\end{aligned}$$

which together with (A.7) ensures that (A.7) holds for all nonnegative integer pair (i,j) satisfying $i+j\leq\ell$.

Along the same line, it follows from (A.1) that for any integer $0\leq m\leq\ell-1$,

$$\big\|\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-m-1}-\nabla \mathrm{X}^\ell\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\leq C\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}\|\partial_X^l\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\|\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-\kappa-1}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)},$$

which together with the definition of $R_\ell(t)$ given by (6.2) implies

$$\|\partial_X^i\nabla \mathrm{X}^{\ell-i}-\nabla \mathrm{X}^\ell\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\leq CR_\ell^2(t),\quad\forall\, 0\leq i\leq\ell.$$

This together with (A.7) shows (6.8).

A.2. **Proof of** (6.9)**.**

A.2.1. *The estimates of* $(\partial_X^i\nabla \mathrm{v}^{\ell-i}-\nabla \mathrm{v}^\ell)$ *and* $(\partial_X^i\nabla\pi^{\ell-\mathrm{i}}-\nabla\pi^\ell)$. It is easy to observe from (A.1) that for any nonnegative integer $m\leq\ell-1$ and for any $r\in[1,+\infty]$ that

$$\|\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla \mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-1}-\nabla \mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^r}\leq C\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}\|\partial_X^l\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla \mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1}\|_{L^r},\quad\forall\, m\leq\ell-1.$$

Hence we get for $r_1\in\Big\{2,\frac{2p}{p-2},+\infty\Big\}$ and for $1\leq i\leq\ell$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i\nabla \mathrm{v}^{\ell-i}-\nabla \mathrm{v}^\ell)\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{r_1})}\\&\leq C\sum_{\kappa=0}^{i-1}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}\|\partial_X^l\nabla X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla \mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1}\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^{r_1})}\leq CR_\ell(t)\mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t).\end{aligned}\tag{A.9}$$

Along the same line, we obtain for $r\in\{2,p\}$,

$$\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i\nabla\pi^{\ell-i}-\nabla\pi^\ell)\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^r)}\leq CR_\ell(t)\mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t).\tag{A.10}$$

A.2.2. *The estimate of* $(\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i-j}-\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell)$. It follows from (A.3) that for the nonnegative integer pair (m,n) with $m+n\leq\ell-1$ and for $r\in\{2,p\}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^m\nabla\partial_X^{n+1}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-n-1}-\partial_X^m\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m})\|_{L^r}\\&\leq C\sum_{q=0}^{m}\sum_{\kappa=0}^{n}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}\Big(\|\partial_X^q\nabla\partial_X^l\nabla X\|_{L^p}\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^{\kappa-l+m-q}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-\kappa-1}\big\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}\cap L^\infty}\\&\qquad+\|\partial_X^{l+q}\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}\partial_X^{m-q}\nabla\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-\kappa-1}\big\|_{L^r}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with (6.2) and (6.5) implies that for $r\in\{2,p\}$ and any nonnegative integer pair (i,j) satisfying $i\leq\ell-1, j\leq\ell$ with $i+j\leq\ell$

$$\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i-j}-\partial_X^i\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i})\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^r)}\leq CR_\ell(t)\mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t).\tag{A.11}$$

Similarly for non-negative integer pair (m,n) satisfying $m+n\leq\ell-1$, we deduce from (A.4) that for $r\in\{2,p\}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla\partial_X^n\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-n-1}-\nabla\partial_X^{m+n+1}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-n-1}\|_{L^r}\\&\qquad\leq C\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}\big\|\partial_X^l\nabla X\big\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla\partial_X^{m-\kappa+n}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-n-1}\|_{L^r},\end{aligned}$$

which ensures that for $r\in\{2,p\}$ and any nonnegative integer pair (i,j) satisfying $i\leq\ell, j\leq\ell-1$ with $i+j\leq\ell$

$$\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i-j}-\nabla\partial_X^{i+j}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i-j})\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^r)}\leq CR_\ell(t)\mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t).\tag{A.12}$$

With (A.11) and (A.12), we can repeat the proof of (6.8) to conclude that for any integer pair (i,j) satisfying $i+j\leq\ell$ and $r\in\{2,p\}$

$$\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^i\nabla\partial_X^j\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i-j}-\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell)\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^r)}\leq CR_\ell(t)\mathfrak{A}_{\ell-1}(t).\tag{A.13}$$

Along with (A.9) and (A.10), we complete the proof of (6.9).

A.3. **Proof of** (6.10)**.**

A.3.1. *The estimates of* $\big(\partial_X^i\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i}-\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell,\ \partial_X^i D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i}-\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell,\ \partial_X^i D_t\nabla\pi^{\ell-i}-\nabla D_t\pi^\ell\big)$. It is easy to observe from (A.1) and $[D_t;\partial_X]=0$ that for nonnegative integer $m\leq\ell-1$,

$$\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-1}-\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell=-\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}C_\kappa^l\partial_X^l\nabla X_\alpha\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\partial_\alpha D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_X^{m+1}D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-1}-D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell=D_t(\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-1}-\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell)\\&=-\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}C_\kappa^l\left(\partial_X^l D_t\nabla X_\alpha\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\partial_\alpha\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1}+\partial_X^l\nabla X_\alpha\partial_X^{\kappa-l}D_t\partial_\alpha\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1}\right),\end{aligned}$$

so that we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r_3}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}\big(\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-1}-\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell},\partial_X^{m+1}D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-1}-D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell}\big)\big\|_{L^{r_3}}\\
&\le C\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}\Big(\|\partial_X^l\nabla X\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r_3}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1},\partial_X^{\kappa-l}D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1})\big\|_{L^{r_3}}\\
&\quad+\sigma(t)^{-\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^lD_t\nabla X\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\big\|\sigma^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r_3}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^{r_3})}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

which together with the fact

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r_3}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}(D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell}-\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell})\big\|_{L^{r_3}}&=\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r_3}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}\nabla v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell}\big\|_{L^{r_3}}\\
&\le\sigma^{-\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{1}{r_3}-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^{r_3})},
\end{aligned}$$

ensures that for nonnegative integer $i\le\ell$ and $r_3=2$ or $\frac{2p}{p-2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\sigma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r_3}-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}\right)}\big(\partial_X^i\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i}-\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell},\partial_X^iD_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i}-D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell}\big)\big\|_{L^{r_3}}^2\\
&\qquad\le CR_\ell^2(t)\mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t)+C\mathfrak{A}_\ell^4(t)\sigma^{-(1-s_\ell)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{A.14}$$

The same argument yields for $m\le\ell-1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(\partial_X^{m+1}D_t\nabla\pi^{\ell-m-1}-D_t\nabla\pi^{\ell})\big\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}\Big(\|\partial_X^l\nabla X\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^{\kappa-l}D_t\nabla\pi^{\ell-\kappa-1}\big\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\sigma(t)^{-\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^lD_t\nabla X\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla\pi^{\ell-\kappa-1}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

which together with the fact

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(D_t\nabla\pi^{\ell}-\nabla D_t\pi^{\ell})\|_{L^2}&=\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(\nabla v\cdot\nabla\pi^{\ell})\big\|_{L^2}\\
&\le\sigma^{-\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\pi^{\ell}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)},
\end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\big\|\sigma(t)^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(\partial_X^iD_t\nabla\pi^{\ell-i}-\nabla D_t\pi^{\ell})(t)\big\|_{L^2}^2\le CR_\ell^2(t)\mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t)+C\mathfrak{A}_\ell^4(t)\sigma^{-(1-s_\ell)}.\tag{A.15}$$

A.3.2. *The estimate of* $(\partial_X^iD_t\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i}-\nabla^2D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell})$. We first deduce from (A.2) that for nonnegative integer $m\le\ell-1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(D_t\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-1}-D_t\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell})\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad\le C\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}\Big(\sigma^{-\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^lD_t\nabla^2X\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\\
&\qquad\quad+\|\partial_X^l\nabla^2X\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^{\kappa-l}D_t\nabla\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1}\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\\
&\qquad\quad+\sigma^{-\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\partial_X^lD_t\nabla X\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}\\
&\qquad\quad+\|\partial_X^l\nabla X\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}\partial_X^{\kappa-l}D_t\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1}\|_{L^2}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that for any nonnegative integer $i \leq \ell$,

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(D_t\partial_X^i\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i} - D_t\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq CR_\ell^2(t)\mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t) + C\mathfrak{A}_\ell^4(t)\sigma^{-(1-s_\ell)}.$$

We notice then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(D_t\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell - \nabla^2 D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell)\big\|_{L^2} = \big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(\nabla^2 v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell + 2\nabla v\cdot\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell)\big\|_{L^2}\\
&\leq \sigma(t)^{-\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}}\Big(\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^\infty} + 2\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_0}{2}}\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\big\|\sigma^{1-\frac{s_\ell}{2}}\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2}\Big)\\
&\leq C\mathfrak{A}_\ell^2(t)\sigma(t)^{-\frac{1-s_\ell}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

from which and the the above inequality, we infer that for any nonnegative integer $i \leq \ell$,

$$\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(\partial_X^i D_t\nabla^2\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i} - \nabla^2 D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq CR_\ell^2(t)\mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t) + C\mathfrak{A}_\ell^4(t)\sigma^{-(1-s_\ell)}. \tag{A.16}$$

A.3.3. *The esimate of* $(\nabla\partial_X^i\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i} - \nabla^2 D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell)$. Again it is easy to observe from (A.1) that for nonnegative integer $m \leq \ell-1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-1} - \nabla^2 D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell\|_{L^2} = \|\nabla(\partial_X^{m+1}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-m-1} - \nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell)\|_{L^2}\\
&\leq C\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{\kappa}\Big(\|\nabla\partial_X^l\nabla X\|_{L^p}\|\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1}\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} + \|\partial_X^l\nabla X\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\partial_X^{\kappa-l}\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-\kappa-1}\|_{L^2}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies for any integer $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ that

$$\big\|\sigma^{\frac{3-s_{\ell-1}}{2}}(\nabla\partial_X^i\nabla D_t\mathrm{v}^{\ell-i} - \nabla^2 D_t\mathrm{v}^\ell)(t)\big\|_{L^2}^2 \leq CR_\ell^2(t)\mathfrak{B}_{\ell-1}(t). \tag{A.17}$$

By summing up the Estimates (A.14), (A.15), (A.16) and (A.17), we achiveve (6.10). This completes the proof of the lemma.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Professor Jean-Yves Chemin and Professor Raphaël Danchin for profitable discussions of this topic. Part of this work was done when we were visiting Morningside Center of Mathematics (MCM), Chinese Academy of Sciences. We appreciate the hospitality and the financial support from MCM. X. Liao is supported by SFB 1060, Universität Bonn at the end of the work. P. Zhang is partially supported by NSF of China under Grant 11371347 and innovation grant from National Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences.

References

[1] H. Abidi, Équations de Navier-Stokes avec densité et viscosité variables dans l'espace critique, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, **23** (2007), 537–586.

[2] H. Abidi and M. Paicu, Existence globale pour un fluide inhomogène, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **57** (2007), 883–917.

[3] H. Abidi, G. Gui and P. Zhang, On the wellposedness of 3−D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in the critical spaces, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **204** (2012), 189–230.

[4] H. Abidi, G. Gui and P. Zhang, Wellposedness of 3−D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations with highly oscillating initial velocity field, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **100** (2013), 166–203 .

[5] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, 2010.

[6] A.-L. Bertozzi and P. Constantin, Global regularity for vortex patches, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **152** (1993), 19-28.

[7] J.-Y. Chemin, Calcul paradifférentiel précisé et applications à des équations aux dérivées partielles non semilinéaires, *Duke Math. J.*, **56** (1988), 431–469.

[8] J.-Y. Chemin, Sur le mouvement des particules d'un fluide parfait incompressible bidimensionnel, *Invent. Math.*, **103** (1991), 599–629.

[9] J.-Y. Chemin, Persistance de structures géométriques dans les fluides incompressibles bidimensionnels, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, **26** (1993), 517-542.
[10] J.-Y. Chemin, *Perfect incompressible fluids*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, **14**. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
[11] R. Danchin, Poches de tourbillon visqueuses, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, **76** (1997), 609-647.
[12] R. Danchin, Density-dependent incompressible viscous fluids in critical spaces, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **133** (2003), 1311–1334.
[13] R. Danchin and P.-B. Mucha, A Lagrangian approach for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with variable density, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **65** (2012), 1458-1480.
[14] R. Danchin and P.-B. Mucha, Incompressible flows with piecewise constant density, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **207** (2013), 991–1023.
[15] R. Danchin and P. Zhang, Inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in the half-space, with only bounded density, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **267** (2014), 2371-2436.
[16] P. Gamblin and X. Saint-Raymond, On three-dimensional vortex patches, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **123** (1995), 375-424.
[17] T. Hmidi, Régularité Höldérienne des poches de tourbillon visqueuses, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, **84** (2005), 1455–1495.
[18] T. Hmidi, Poches de tourbillon singulières dans un fluide faiblement visqueux, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, **22** (2006), 489-543.
[19] J. Huang, M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global well-posedness of incompressible inhomogeneous fluid systems with bounded density or non-Lipschitz velocity, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **209** (2013), 631–682.
[20] O.-A. Ladyzhenskaja and V.-A. Solonnikov, The unique solvability of an initial-boundary value problem for viscous incompressible inhomogeneous fluids. (Russian) Boundary value problems of mathematical physics, and related questions of the theory of functions, 8, *Zap. Naucn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, **52** (1975), 52-109, 218-219.
[21] X. Liao and P. Zhang, On the global regularity of two-dimensional density patch for inhomogeneous incompressible viscous flow, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **220** (2016), 937-981.
[22] P.-L. Lions, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 1. Incompressible models.* Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, **3**. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.
[23] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global solutions to the 3-D incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **262** (2012), 3556–3584.
[24] M. Paicu, P. Zhang and Z. Zhang, Global unique solvability of inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations with bounded density, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **38** (2013), 1208-1234.
[25] P. Zhang and Q. Qiu, Propagation of higher-order regularities of the boundaries of 3-D vortex patches, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A*, **18** (1997), 381–390.
[26] J. Simon, Nonhomogeneous viscous incompressible fluids: existence of velocity, density, and pressure, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **21** (1990), 1093–1117.

(X. Liao) Academy of Mathematics & Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.R. China, Present address: Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany.
E-mail address: xian.liao@amss.ac.cn

(P. Zhang) Academy of Mathematics & Systems Science and Hua Loo-Keng Key Laboratory of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.R. China
E-mail address: zp@amss.ac.cn